

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA
ARCHÆOLOGICAL
LIBRARY

ACCESSION NO. **48573**

CALL No. **737-470934/I.N.O./Ell**

COINS OF SOUTHERN INDIA

GOING TO SOUTHERN INDIA

COINS OF SOUTHERN INDIA



By
SIR WALTER ELLIOT
K.C.S.I., LL.D., F.R.S.

48573



See Page XI

737.470934
I.N.O./ELL



1970

PRITHIVI PRAKASHAN
VARANASI-5 [India]

Published by Prithvi Prakashan, Varanasi-5 [India]

April 1970. All Rights Reserved.

CENTRAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL
LIBRARY, NEW DELHI.

NO. 48573
31.7.1970
737.470934/103/211

Photo Reprint of 1886 Edition in
The International NUMISMATA ORIENTALIA.

AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

THE appearance of this contribution to the INTERNATIONAL NUMISMATA ORIENTALIA has been much delayed by the failure of my sight, which has latterly proved a serious hindrance in the revision of the press, and more especially so in the re-examination and description of the coins themselves.

The difficulty of correcting proofs by means of the ear alone must be obvious, and will suffice to explain many of the typographical errors that would have been removed had the slips chanced to pass under my own eye.

In describing some of the coins here noticed, I had to trust chiefly to memory, which, notwithstanding the cheerful aid rendered by members of my own family, and by several friends, often left me in doubt and perplexity.

The plan I have followed in this essay has been to give a slight historical sketch of the principal southern rulers who issued dynastic coinages, as far as the limited means at my disposal would admit. Where the data for these were wanting or defective, I have indulged in greater detail, especially where the received history, such as it is, appeared to be inexact, or the chronology faulty or open to question. My speculations on these points may be amended and adjusted as the progress of the South Indian Archæological Survey brings more comprehensive evidence to light.

Residing in a distant part of this country, I have had to depend for the leading facts, in a great measure, on my own library and on my own original collection of coins. Aided by these, it has been my aim merely to give such a sketch of the monetary system of the Hindu principalities of the south, as will assist future numismatists to enter more fully into the coinage of the different dynasties. Hitherto specimens of earlier coins have rarely been met with, not because they

were wanting, but because when found, as they are, in considerable numbers, they are at once converted into articles of modern use. When, however, the demand for them now manifested has given to them an extrinsic value, in excess of the market price of bullion, they will find their way more readily into the hands of collectors.

In conclusion, I must express my gratitude to MR. EDWARD THOMAS, the superintending editor of the *INTERNATIONAL NUMISMATA ORIENTALIA*, for the constant aid he has afforded me, notwithstanding his own important and absorbing pursuits, not only in the shape of frequent advice and annotations, but in the arrangement and description of the plates, which are almost entirely his work. I have also to thank GENERAL G. G. PEARSE, C. B., for his liberality in placing the contents of his own valuable collection at my free disposal, and for the ready assistance he has afforded me in arranging my own specimens when I was unable to do so from the failure of my sight and on all other occasions when I consulted him; DR. CODRINGTON and MR. J. GIBBS for similar contributions of the early coins of Western India; and MR. P. RAMASWAMI RÁJU, Professor of Tâmil in London University, for his readings of the legends on the Pândyan coins. Nor must I omit to acknowledge the aid I have received from my old Tamil instructor, SAMUEL PILLAY, late of the Vepery Mission, Madras.

I have interchanged frequent communications with BISHOP CALDWELL while in England, with DR. GUNDERT of Calw in Wurtemberg, and DR. ROST of the India Office Library, to all of whom I must now express my grateful thanks. COLONEL YULE has kindly undertaken to superintend the correction of the map.

WALTER ELLIOT.

WOLFELEB, HAWICK N.B., November 15, 1884.

ALPHABETS OF SOUTHERN INDIA.

[illegible]

	a	i	e	o	u	r	i	ri	iri	uri	ś	ṣ	ai	au	an	ah
ASOKA, B.C. 250	𑀅	𑀆	𑀇	𑀈	𑀉	𑀊	𑀋	𑀌	𑀍	𑀎	𑀏	𑀐	𑀑	𑀒	𑀓	𑀔
SANSKRIT.	अ	इ	ए	ओ	उ	र	ः	ॠ	ॡ	ॢ	ॣ	।	॥	०	१	२
FAMIL.	ஸ	இ	ஊ	ஊ	உ	ர	:	ரி	ரி	ரி	ச	ஷ	அ	ௌ	ௌ	ௌ
MALAYALIM.	സ	ഇ	ഊ	ഊ	ഉ	ര	:	റി	റി	റി	ശ	ഷ	അ	ഈ	ഈ	ഈ
TELUGU.	స	ఇ	ఊ	ఊ	ఉ	ర	:	రి	రి	రి	శ	ష	అ	ఁ	ఁ	ఁ
CARNATA.	ಸ	ಇ	ಊ	ಊ	ಉ	ರ	:	ರಿ	ರಿ	ರಿ	ಶ	ಷ	ಅ	಼	಼	಼

"The following comparative view of the Devanāgarī and the Tamil alphabets exhibits the relations which the one bears to the other" (Caldwell, *Dravidian Grammar*, page 13).

VOWELS.

Sanskrit : a, ā : i, ī : u, ū : ri, rī : lri : — e : ai : — o : au : ṁ : ḥ

Tamil: a, d: é, é: u, ú: — — —: e, é: ei: o, ó: ai: —; h.

CONSONANTS.

Gutturals, Sanskrit: *k, kA: g, gA: ng.*

Tamil: *k*, —: —, —: *ng*.

Palatals, Sanskrit: $c\bar{A}$, $c\bar{A}h$: j , $j\bar{h}$: \bar{u} .

Tamil: eā, — : —, — : ā.

Linguals, Sanskrit: $f, f\dot{a} : d, d\dot{a} : n$.

Tamil: *த, —: —, —: ந.*

Dentals, Sanskrit: *t, t̥h: d, d̥h: n.*

Tamil: $t_1 - t_2 - t_3 - t_4 - t_5$.

Labials, Sanskrit: *p, pʰ : b, bʰ : m.*

Tamīl: $p, - : -, - : m.$

Semivowels Sanskrit: *y, r, l, v.*

Tamil: y, r, l, v; r, l, n.

Sibilants and Aspirate, Sanskrit: *ś* (or *ṣ*), *śh*, *ṣ*, *ṣh*.

Tamil: — — — —

METHODS OF transliteration suggested by different authorities, for the purpose of reproducing IN ROMAN TYPE,
THE CRITICAL EQUIVALENTS OF THE SEMITIC ALPHABETS, with the compromise suggested for
THE INTERNATIONAL NUMISMATA ORIENTALIA.—(Cols. 8, 9.)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Sir W. Jones.	Mirza Ibrahim.	Mr. F. Johnson.	M. A. Chodakow.	Dr. Wright.	Dr. Fuerst.	Mr. Lane.	Persian.	Arabic.		Sir W. Jones.	Mirza Ibrahim.	Mr. F. Johnson.	M. A. Chodakow.	Dr. Wright.	Dr. Fuerst.	Mr. Lane.	Persian.	Arabic.
ا	a	a	a	e, a	'			a		ع	e	a	e	'a	'		'	'	'
ب	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	غ	gh	gh	gh	gh	g	g	gh	gh	gh
پ	p	p	p	p	p	—	—	p	—	ف	f	f	f	f	f	f	f	f	f
ت	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	ك	ek	k	q	k	k	k	k	k	k
ث or س	s	s	s	s	t	t, θ	th	s	th	ك	k	k	k	k	k	k	k	k	k
ج	j	j	j	dj	g	g	j	j	j	ج	g	g	g	g	g	—	—	g	
ح	ch	ch	ch	tch	c	—	—	ch		ل	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l
ه	h	h	h	hh	h	h	h	h	h	م	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m
خ	kh	kh	kh	kh	h	h	kh	kh	kh	ن	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
د	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	و	v, w	v	v, w	v, ou	w	v, w, u	w	v, w	w
ذ	z	z	z	z	d	d	dh	z	d	ز	h	h	h	h, é	h	h	h	h	h
ر	r	r	r	r	r	r	r	r	r	ي	y	y	y	y, i	y	y, i	y	y, i, e	y
ز	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	ا	a	ā	a	e	a, è, e	a or e	a or e	a, ā	a
س	s	s	s	s	s	—	—	zh	—	ي	i	é	i	i	i, i	i or y	i	i, e	i
ش	sh	sh	sh	ch	s	s	sh	sh	sh	و	u	ū	u	u	u, o, ō	o or u	u or o	u	u
ص	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	ا	ā	—	ā	ā	ā	—	ā	ā	ā
ض	z	z	z	z	d	d	d	z	d	ا	ā	—	ā	ā	ā	—	ā	ā	ā
ط	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	ا	ā	—	ā	ā	ā	—	ā	ā	ā
ظ	z	z	z	z	z	z	dh	z	z	ا	ā	—	ā	ā	ā	—	ā	ā	ā

The diacritical dots may be omitted at option, but preferentially where the original text accompanies the romanized version.

- No. 1.—Persian Grammar. London, 1828. No. 2.—London, 1841.
No. 3.—Persian Dictionary. London, 1852.
No. 4.—Grammaire Persane. Paris, 1852.
No. 5.—Arabic Grammar. London, 1874-75.

- No. 6.—Hebrew and Chaldee Lexicon. London, 1867.
No. 7.—Arabic Lexicon. London, 1863-74.
No. 8.—The International Numismata Orientalia—Persian, etc.
No. 9.—The International Numismata Orientalia—Arabic.

CONTENTS.

	PAGES
AUTHOR'S PREFACE	v
TABLES OF ALPHABETS	vii-viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix-x
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	xi
INTRODUCTION	1
ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY OF THE DAKHAN	2-4
PRINCIPAL DYNASTIES OF SOUTHERN INDIA	4-5
COLLECTIONS OF THEIR COINS HITHERTO DESCRIBED AND CLASSIFICATION OF THEIR CONTENTS	6
PART I. A. NORTHERN DIE COINS. SECTION I. ANDHRAS	7
i. ANDHRA DYNASTY ACCORDING TO THE PURĀṆAS	8
TESTED BY—	
ii. CONTEMPORARY GREEK HISTORIANS	9
iii. INSCRIPTIONS	11
iv. COINS THEMSELVES	14
CONCLUSIONS DRAWN FROM THE ABOVE FOR THE CHRONOLOGY OF THE EARLIER ANDHRAS	14-16
ERA OF THE LATER ANDHRAS OR ANDHRA-BHĪṬYAS, AND THEIR SUPPOSED CONNECTION WITH THE	
SĀKA ERA	17-19
SUCCESSION OF THE ANDHRA-BHĪṬYAS	20
MEMORIALS OF ANDHRA RULE	21
CHARACTER OF THE ANDHRA COINAGE	22
[SERIES OF ANDHRA COINS WITH NĀGARĪ LEGENDS, BY THE EDITOR, PP. 25, 34.]	
SECTION II. COROMANDEL COAST DIE COINS	35
ATTRIBUTABLE TO—	
(a) THE KURUMBARAS, OR	36
(b) THE PALLAVAS	39
PART II. SECTION i. ORIGIN OF SOUTH INDIAN METRICAL SYSTEM	45
" " ii. EARLIEST INDIGENOUS COINS—	
(a) GOLD COINAGE	53
(b) SILVER	57
(c) COPPER	58
" " iii. SOUTH-WESTERN COINS	61

	PAGES
PART II. SECTION iv. DYNASTIES AND COINS OF KUNTALA—	
1. KADAMBA DYNASTY	64
2. CHALUKYA EMPIRE. (a) WESTERN CHALUKYA DYNASTY	70
3. RAJTA OR RASHTRAKUTA DYNASTY OF MANYAKHETA	74
4. THE KALACHURIS OF KALYAN	77
5. THE YADAVAS OF DEVAGIRI	79
6. YADAVAS OF DVARASAMUDRA, COMMONLY CALLED HOTSALA BALLALAS	80
7. KAKATIYA OF GANAPATI DYNASTY, OF WORANGAL	82
8. EASTERN CHALUKYA DYNASTY	86
9. LAST GREAT HINDU KINGDOM OF VIJAYANAGAR	88
10. THE VEMA REDDIS OF KONDAVID	101
11. SILAHARAS	102
12. WODEIYAR DYNASTY OF MAISUR	104
PART III. SECTION i. COINS OF DRÁVIDA	107
" " ii. DYNASTIES OF DRÁVIDA—	
1. CHÉRA AND KONGU DYNASTIES	111
2. PANDYAN DYNASTY	119
3. CHOLA DYNASTY	130
" " iii. TRAVANCORE, COCHIN, AND KÉRALA	136
COCHIN	141
SECTION IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS—	
MODERN GOLD PAGODAS	143
GOLD FANAMS	146
APPENDIX—	
RECENT DISCOVERIES OF ANCIENT RASHTRAKUTA COINS (P. 77)	149
CORRECTION OF EASTERN CHALUKYA GENEALOGY (P. 87)	150
INDEX	153

ILLUSTRATIONS.

SEAL OF ŚRĪMAD VIJAYA SINGHA DÉVAH, RĀJA OF CHEDI, A.D. 1181. THIS FAMILY INHERITED OR
 ASSUMED THE ANDHRA TITLE OF LORDS OF TRI-KALINGA. SEE P. 11; CP. CUNNINGHAM'S

ARCH. REPTS., IX.; J.A.S.B. VIII. 485; ALSO PLATE AT 354; AS. RES. IX. 108, 8VO.—*On Title page.*

MAP OF SOUTHERN INDIA	To face page xii
COIN OF GOTAMI-PUTASA	25
COIN OF CHASHTIĀṆA	27
KURUMBAR BRONZE IMPLEMENT	38
IMPRESSION OF A RATTĀ SEAL FROM THE KHĀREPĀṬAN GRANT, BOMBAY JOURNAL, I. 216	77
SEAL OF THE HOYSALA KING SOMESWARA DÉVA	81
SEAL OF KULASEKHARA DÉVA	123
SEAL OF MADACOLAM GRANT	127

PLATE I. ENGRAVED	} <i>At end of Text.</i>
" II. AUTOTYPE	
" III. DITTO	

COINS OF SOUTHERN INDIA.

INTRODUCTION.

THE part of India to which the following Section of the International Numismata Orientalia refers is that lying to the south of the Narbadá (Narmáda) river, and the Vindhya Mountains, now known as the Dakhan, to distinguish it from Hindustan proper, a term which, in its restricted sense, is confined to Northern India. Between them lies an extensive tract of hill and forest, the Highlands of Central India, which forms not only a geographical, but also an ethnographical boundary, separating the Aryan from the Dravidian races. The latter, although not the first occupants of the land, preceded the Aryans. They appear to have arrived by successive immigrations from their Trans-Himálayan seats, driving out or enslaving the aboriginal inhabitants, and each new swarm pushing the preceding arrivals onwards until they settled into their several localities, where they now form the Tamilian, Canarese, Telugu, and other communities. In the course of these displacements, some, unable to find a resting-place in the plains, betook themselves to the Highland region, where they have preserved their primitive character in feature, language, and manners, with little change.¹

Before being restricted to their present limits, the Dravidians, as may be inferred from scattered communities of their race still subsisting in the Sub-Himálayan region, the Rájmahal Hills, etc., appear to have been spread over Northern India, whence they were ejected by another race of intruders from the north-west. The Aryans, after establishing themselves in the Punjab, eventually became the predominant power in the Gangetic valley, but they do not appear to have crossed the Vindhyan barrier in force, or to have gained a permanent footing in the Dakhan.² This did not hinder them from maintaining a friendly intercourse with their southern neighbours. When the traditionary Agastya, overcoming the obstacles interposed by the mountainous regions, penetrated into the south,³ he found a people enjoying advanced institutions

¹ They are comprehended under the general Sanscrit term of *Dakshinápathajamanas*, of whom a list is given in Wilson's Sanscrit Dictionary, *sub voce*, p. 395. The only one of these now found existing, under the names therein given, as an independent clan, are the Savaras, who occupy a district to the west of Ganjam. To these may be added the Khonds, Gonds, Bhils, Santals, and other rude tribes.

² The Sanscrit word *Dakshina* (vulgo *dekhan*) signifies *right* as distinguished from *left*. The Aryans, coming from the west,

applied this term to the country on their right hand, which thus became synonymous with *south*. In like manner the country before (*púra*) then became *púrba*, and *purab—east*; and the inhabitants *púrbyas* or easterns. Another word for *east*, *práchi*, supplied the Greeks with their name of *Præsi* for the people of the Gangetic valley.

³ Hence the additional name or title given to him of *Vindya-Kuta*, Vindhya-humbler. Wilson, Dict. p. 772.

and a literature of their own, which enabled them to appreciate the elegance of the Sanscrit language, and to profit by the Aryan learning, of which it was the depositary. At the same time they imparted, not unacceptably, to their visitors, all that they knew themselves.¹ Nor did the mutual advantage of these new relations end here. Thenceforward Sanscrit continued to be sedulously cultivated in conjunction with native literature, and in after-ages, when the existence of the former was imperilled by the wars and political convulsions that overwhelmed its original seat, it not only found an asylum in the South,² but was transmitted in its most approved condition to modern times.³ But although the Dravidians were not the earliest settlers, and although they have not been exempt, and that in no small degree, from external influences, it is from them that the civilized part of the Dakhan derives its characteristic features in language and institutions. Among the latter may especially be noticed its monetary system, and the coins in which it is expressed. These occur in great variety in all parts of the country, according to the range of the dynasties from which they originated. But here a preliminary difficulty at once presents itself. The history of these Powers has never been written. Nor is this all. In some instances the territorial names of the countries over which they reigned have been changed and their limits altered. The sites of some of their capitals are unknown, or are only recognized by inscriptions (fortunately not rare), and by the coins found among their ruins. Even these, when discovered, are too often without legends or dates, by which they can be assigned to their proper authors. The principal and most important of the states of the Dakhan arose in the northern part of the country, the physical character of which was favourable to their territorial aggrandizement. The natural aspects of the southern districts, on the other hand, kept the people distinct from their northern neighbours, and their relations were confined in a great measure to transactions among themselves. The most remarkable feature of North Dakhan is an extensive table-land rising on the north from the Valley of the Tapti, and bounded on the west by the Sahyâdri Mountains. Most of our maps exhibit well-defined ranges

¹ That Dravidian literature had been highly cultivated by native students at an early period cannot be disputed. But the preference of European scholars for the study of Sanscrit has kept its merits too much in the shade, although it has not been possible to ignore them altogether. Professor Max Müller admits that there are grounds for believing that the *Taittiriya* or *Black Yajur Veda* had been studied and annotated by the non-Aryans of Drâvida, from which he draws a gratuitous inference adverse to its antiquity (*Sans. Lit.* 2nd ed. p. 334); and he refers to Dr. Weber's notice of a northern pandit quoting with respect the comments of *Dākṣhinātyas* on Vedic subjects. According to Burnell, the science of grammar (*vyākaraṇa*) was cultivated in the south from a very early period, not as derived from Sanscrit, but as communicated from a divine source, in other words, as being of indigenous origin (*Aindra Gram.*, 1876, pp. 5, 15, 63, 66, 67, etc.). It has further been held that the Sanscrit phonetic system was derived (in part at least) from the south, and that the original Aryan alphabet was "superseded by the more exact and appropriate system of the south" (E. Thomas, in *Prinsep's Essays*, vol. ii. p. 50; and *Dynasty of the Guptas*, p. 31, note), and certainly the harsh sounds of the cerebral consonants agree

better with the rugged tones of the Turanian dialects than with the polished utterance of the Aryan tongues. Dr. Gundert has pointed out the not inconsiderable number of Dravidian roots adopted into Sanscrit, a fact persistently ignored by northern pandits (*Zeit. Morg. Gesch.* vol. xliii. p. 517), to which Dr. Caldwell adds his testimony in the Second Edition of his *Comparative Grammar*, p. 454, *et seq.*

² "It must never be forgotten," Burnell observes, "that under the barbarian kings of Southern India . . . Sanskrit literature flourished more than it perhaps had ever done before, and that not only did this foreign civilization reduce Southern India to order, but even extended thence to the Malay Archipelago." And he adds, in a note, that the Javanese civilization was derived from Kalinga, and from Southern rather than Northern India. — Preface, Burnell's *Vamçabrahmana* of the Sama Veda, p. xxxix.

³ Several of the standard texts have been edited with much acceptance by southern scholars, and the most approved commentaries on the Vedas were the work of the celebrated minister of Vijayanagar, the last notable Hindu kingdom of the south. Burnell's *Aindra Gram.* 1876, pp. 5, 15, 63, 67, etc.; Sir W. Jones on *Vaivaṇvata*, *As. Res.* vol. i. p. 230, 8vo. edition.

of hills on the north, the east, and the south, but they are not really continuous like those on the west. On these three sides the plateau is defined by an abrupt slope or scarp, rising occasionally into detached groups of hills and rocky ridges unconnected with each other,¹ but, sometimes, as at Kambákam-drúg, Nagari-nose, and other places, attaining a considerable height. The eastern limit stretches in a southerly direction as far as Venkatagiri and Tripati, whence it slopes towards the south-west until it meets the Sahyádrí chain at the place where it is broken by the remarkable gap, Pálghât. These natural limits are pierced by passes called ghâts, through which the roads are carried that afford access from the low country (or *Páyan-ghât*) to the upper plateau (or *Bálá-ghât*). Hence the boundary-lines have received the names of the northern, eastern, and southern ghâts. It was on this elevated table-land of Kuntala, as it was originally called, that the principal Hindu kingdoms known to us were established on the subjugation of the aboriginal settlers. Here, for about fourteen or fifteen centuries, the Andhras, the Chalukyas, the Yádavas, and other dynasties ruled, until the Mahommedan conquest swept over the land, and continued in the ascendant. With the destruction of Hindu States the name of Kuntala fell into disuse, and was replaced by three principal territorial designations, viz. Maháráshtra, Karnataka, and Andhra, derived from the languages spoken by the inhabitants. A line drawn from the city of Bidar to Sadáshivgarh on the Malabar coast, curving first somewhat to the north, and then pursuing a south-west course, marks approximately the division between the Maháráṭṭa and the Canarese inhabitants. A second line, carried nearly due South from the same point, a little west of Nandidrúg, Adwáni, and Kolár, separates the Telugu-speaking people of Andhra from the Karnatak, a term which by a strange perversion has been transferred to the Tamil country, now known as the modern Carnatic. Of the maritime country on either side of Kuntala,² the Konkan on the west has generally followed the fortunes of the dominant power above the ghâts. The corresponding strip on the east side consisted of two provinces, Kalinga, reaching from the Mahánadi to the Godávári, was the first settlement of the Andhras, and Vengi, which, extending from the Godávári to Nellore, belonged to the Pallavas, an aboriginal or very early race, subjugated by the Chalukyas, first in the table-land, and afterwards in Vengi. Both fell under the sway of the Chalukyas of Rájamahendri; and the name of Vengi, like that of Kuntala, became obsolete, while Kalinga still retains its name, although it is now rarely used. Subsequently it was added to the kingdom of Orissa. The rest of the Dakhan, from Nellore and the ghâts to the sea, is the ancient Drávida-désam, the land of the Tamilians, the typical as well as the oldest section (certainly in India) of the Dravidian family. From time immemorial it has been known as the country of the Chola Chéra and Pāṇḍyan princes, dynastic titles assumed by different families which have successively risen to eminence. The northern

¹ Manual of Geology of India, 1879, vol. i. p. iv, introduction.

² The Konkans generally (Saptakonkana) were—1. Kérája; proper; 5. Karátaha; 6. Varalatta; 7. Barbara. But the lists vary, and some show Kuva and Mushika, as the two most southerly districts, but they are, in fact, subdivisions of Kérája.

portion, or Chola-mandalam,¹ extended from the Káveri to the Pálár, to which was added by the conquest of the Kurumbarnádu the district of Tonḍamandalam, as it was thenceforth called. From the Káveri to Cape Comorin, Paṇḍya mandalam, and the Chera country comprised all the territory westward to the sea; but on the dismemberment of the latter, then the most powerful of the three, in the fourth century, its eastern portions were formed into two minor states, Seralum or Chéra proper, the capital of which was Talkád and Konganádu, the chief city of which was Karúr (Caroor), near the Pálghát; while the western districts on the sea-coasts became known as Kéraḷa, now constituting the kingdom of Travancore.

The following is a tabular statement of the principal dynasties of the Dakhan, the coins of which may be expected to be identified.

A.—HINDU DYNASTIES OF SOUTH INDIA.

- I. The Andhras of Kuntala.
- II. The Pallavas of Bádámi, Vengi, and Kānchi.
- III. The Kadambas of Kéraḷa.
- IV. The Chalukyas of Kuntala. 489-1189 A.D.
- V. The Chalukyas of Rájamahendri and Vengi. 605-818-20.
- VI. The Chola-Chalukyas. 820-1150? A.D.
- VII. The Ráthors or Raṭṭas of Mányakhéta (Málkhed). 660-972.
- VIII. The Kalachuris of Kalyán. 1128-1183.
- IX. The Yádavas of Devagiri (Daulatábád). 1187-1311.
- X. The Yádava Hoysala Balláls of Dwarásamudra or Halábidu. 1047-1310.
- XI. The Kakátiyas of Anumakoṇḍa, or Orugal (Worangal).
- XII. The Cholas
- XIII. The Chéras
- XIV. The Paṇḍyas
- XV. The Paṇḍya-Cholas
- XVI. The Kongu, or Ganga Chéras.
- XVII. The Yádavas of Vijayanagar, Bijanagar, or Anagundi. 1334.
- XVIII. The Yádavas of Maisur.

This list does not include two minor dynasties, viz. the Siláháras of Kolhápúr and the Vema Reddis of Kondavidu, of which few details were forthcoming.

¹ The transliteration of this name causes much perplexity, owing to the peculiar sound of the Tamil letter ச , which has been rendered by *l*, *r*, *j*, *ś*. There being already two *l*'s and two *r*'s, Beschi describes it as another *ś*, formed by pressing the tip of the tongue to the extreme back of the palate, producing a sound, which, in despair, he compares *voce vitula matrem vocantis*.

The initial is pronounced sometimes as *ś*, sometimes as *ch*. Hence comes the *sōra*, *sōla*, *chōla*, *chōra* mandalam of different writers. The early Portuguese and Dutch voyagers always wrote Choramandala and Choramandel, and as the former, like the English, often pronounce the *ch* hard, the modern Coromandel is easily accounted for.—Caldwell, *Comp. Gram.* pp. 28, 29.

B.—THE MAHOMMEDAN KINGS OF THE DAKHAN.

- I. The Báhmanies of Kabburga. 1347-1525.
- II. The Adil Sháhí of Bijapur. 1489-1660.
- III. The Nizam Sháhí of Ahmadnagar. 1490-1607.
- IV. The Kutb Sháhí of Golconda. 1512-1671.
- V. The Ismáíl Sháhí of Elichpur. 1484-1568.
- VI. The Berad Sháhí of Bidar. 1492-1669.
- VII. The Fárúki of Kandesh. 1379-1396.
- VIII. The Ghori? of Malwa and Mandu (Capital Dhár). 1387-1568.

The coinage of these Mahommedan princes does not enter into the scope of our present paper.

Of all these dynasties, long enduring and widely ruling, as some of them were, we possess numismatic examples in appreciable numbers of the Andhra, Chalukya, and Bijanagar princes only in North Dakhan. Those of Drávida are more numerous, although examples of the earlier princes are still scanty.

Coins of the Mahommedan rulers are also rare, but are known to exist in larger numbers in private hands, and may hereafter possibly be fully illustrated.

During the last two centuries many local governors, Zamindárs, Poligars (Pálayakars), and district chiefs,¹ taking advantage of the weakness of the paramount power, assumed the right of issuing money coined by themselves, and thus have flooded the country with gold, silver, and copper in endless variety, the later issues becoming more and more degraded, till all resemblance to the type from which they professed to be derived was lost.

It thus happens that of the great number of uncurrent coins which are constantly discovered, very few are worth the attention of the numismatist. Specimens of value for their rarity, or the light they throw on the past, are doubtless not of unfrequent occurrence, but they seldom find their way into the cabinet of the collector. Gold and silver pieces are speedily converted into jewels. The copper, of which vast quantities are collected by itinerant pedlars, are sold as old metal, and amongst the heaps of these, which have been occasionally inspected, it requires a practised eye and much patience to select a piece worth preserving from the mass of dirt and verdigris with which they are associated.

The prizes that have occasionally rewarded such quests show what may be expected when more have been rescued from the goldsmith's crucible and the brazier's melting-pot.

¹ Such were the Rájás of Sunda, the Bedar chiefs of Shorapur, and Bedaur or Nagar, the Eddis of Condavir, the Poligars of the Ceded Districts (Ballari and Kodapa), the Zamindars of Ramnad and Shevaganga, the Nawabs of Saranur, Ginji, and Kadapa, the Nayaks of Tanjore, Trichinapali, and Madura, etc.

Of such as have hitherto escaped that fate, a few are preserved in museums, where they have excited little attention. More have passed into private hands and been dispersed.¹ Few have been hitherto published.²

The series now to be described consists of a Collection formed in India during several years, from 1825 to 1859, supplemented by a few examples communicated by the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, and such as could be found in the British and Indian Museums, and a few in private cabinets. They are arranged in three Classes:—

A.—Die Coins of lead, copper, and mixed metal, found in the north of Kuntala, especially in the Deltas of the Godáviri and Krishna.

B.—Coins of Drávida, and the Western coast, exhibiting the progress of the normal native currency, from the prehistoric *purána* (described by Mr. Thomas in Vol. I. p. 52) to the artistic issues of the Vijayanagar mint.

C.—Coins of Eastern Drávida and Coromandel, imitated apparently from a northern type, intermingling by degrees with those of Class II., and becoming gradually degraded till they exhibit the scarcely recognizable symbols of the latest native coinage.

¹ Prof. H. H. Wilson, *As. Res.* vol. xvii. p. 559.

² The following list contains all with which I am acquainted:

I. A single plate in Marsden's *Numis. Or.* 1823-5.

II. A single plate, No. civ. in More's *Hindu Pantheon*, 1828.

III. A nominal list of Col. Mackenzie's Collection, without figures or description, and comprising 55 gold coins, 33 silver, 47 copper, and a few lead types, of which a few are described in the next (Wilson's *Cat.* ii. ccxx. 1828).

IV. Select coins from originals and drawings in possession of

the As. Soc. by H. H. Wilson, with figures. *As. Res.* vol. xvii. 1832, plates iv. and v.

V. Incidental notices of single coins, by James Prinsep, in *Jour. As. Soc. Bengal*.

VI. Numismatic Gleanings. *Madras Jour. Lit. and Sc.* vols. xix. or xx. a.s. or vols. iii. and iv. n.s. 1857-9.

VII. Occasional notice in *J.R.A.S. Bombay*, from 1844 to 1870.

VIII. Occasional mention in *Proceedings J.A.S.B.*, and

IX. In *Indian Antiquary*.

A.—NORTHERN DIE COINS.

SECTION I.

ANDHRAS.

The first class contains the earliest die-struck coins.¹ They belong chiefly to the Andhra dynasty, of which both the era and the original seat are involved in much obscurity. It is certain that the princes of this race were established in the Valley of the Krishna and the Delta of the Godáviri about the beginning of the Christian era, whence they extended their sway across the Table-land to the opposite coast, and as far north as Bombay and Nasik. But they are also stated to have flourished previously on the banks of the Ganges, and the evidence in support of this assertion requires to be carefully examined in virtue of its bearing on the character and description of their coins. The materials available for this purpose are:—

- I. The Lists of Hindu kings found in the Purānas.
- II. Statements in the works of Greek and Roman writers.
- III. Contemporary historians.
- IV. The Coins themselves.

I. The historical part of the *purānas* professes to give the succession of all the princes who have ruled over India from the beginning. The earlier portion, relating to the avowed past, closes with the Great War, and is purely mythical. The rest purports to be a prophetic narrative of what will take place subsequent to that event. This part begins by stating that seven dynasties will reign over the kingdom of Māgadha, of which the last and the longest is that of the Andhras. The fourth is the well-known race of the Mauryas, the epoch of which has been fixed by the identification of its founder Chandragupta with the Sandracottus or Sandracoptas, who was the ally of Seleucus Nicator. An approximate date is thus obtained from which to calculate the era of those that follow.

The results so obtained, as will be seen hereafter, do not synchronize with calculations based on other more reliable data, and there is also reason for discrediting the list of princes as they stand in the several *purānas* on account of the irreconcilable differences they exhibit, as may be seen by the accompanying comparative tabular statement.

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. ix. p. 61.

ANDHRA DYNASTY ACCORDING TO THE PURĀNAS.

	MĀTSYA PURĀNA.			VISHNU PURĀNA.			VĀYU PURĀNA.			BRAHMANDE PURĀNA.			COL. WILFORD'S LIST AS IN "AS. RES." VOL. IX.		
	Years.		Years.	Years.		Years.		Years.		Years.		Years.			Years.
1	SISTKA	—	23	Sisraka	—	—	Sindhu	—	23	Ch'hemaka	—	23	SRI KARNA DIVA or SIPRAKA	—	23
2	Krishna	—	18	Krishna	—	—	Krishna	—	18	Krishna	—	18	Krishna	—	18
3	Simalakurpi	—	18	Sri Satakarni	—	—	Sri Satakarni	—	18	Sri Satakarni	—	18	Purnotsanga Sri Karṇa	—	56
4	Purnotsanga	—	18	Purnotsanga	—	—	—	—	—	Purnotsanga	—	18	—	—	—
5	{ Srivasthambhi }	—	18	Satakarni	—	—	—	—	—	Satakarni	—	56	—	—	—
6	SATKARNI	—	56	Lambodara	—	—	Lambodara	—	—	Lambodara	—	18	—	—	—
7	Lambodara	—	18	{ Irilaka }	—	—	—	—	—	Aplaka	—	12	—	—	—
8	Aplaka	—	12	{ Divilaka }	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
9	{ Sangha or Meghaswati }	—	18	Meghaswati	—	—	—	—	—	Sandasa	—	18	Sandasa Meghaswati	—	18
10	{ Satakarni or Meghaswati }	—	18	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
11	Skandhaswati	—	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
12	Mrigendra	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
13	KUSTALASWATI	—	8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
14	Swatikarṇa	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
15	Pulomavi	—	36	{ Patumati, or Paduravi, or Patumabi }	—	—	Patumavi	—	24	—	—	—	—	—	—
16	Gorakhaswati	—	25	{ Arishikarṇa }	—	—	Nemikrishna	—	25	Mahendra Satakarni	—	3	Mahendra Sri Karṇi.	—	3
17	Hala	—	5	{ Arishikarṇa }	—	—	Hala	—	—	Kuntala Satakarni	—	8	Kuntala Sri Karṇa.	—	—
18	Mandala	—	5	Hala	—	—	Patalaka	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
19	{ Purindrasena }	—	5	Patalaka or Talaka	—	—	Purindrasena	—	21	—	—	—	—	—	—
20	{ Sundarasawatikarnā }	—	5	Pravilasena	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
21	{ Rajadaswati }	—	1	{ Sundara Satakarni }	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
22	{ Chakorasawatikarnā }	—	28	Chakora Satakarni	—	—	Satakarni	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
23	Sivaswati	—	28	Sivaswati	—	—	{ Satakarni }	—	28	—	—	—	Sivaswati	—	28
24	Gautamiputra	—	21	{ Gautamiputra }	—	—	Chakora, Ch. Sata K.	—	28	—	—	—	Gautamiputra	—	21
25	Pulomat or Padumi	—	28	Pulomat	—	—	Satakarni	—	21	Yantramuli	—	34	—	—	—
26	Sivasri	—	7	Sivasri	—	—	—	—	—	Satakarni	—	29	—	—	—
27	Skandhaswati	—	7	{ Satakarni or Karṇi }	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
28	Yajnasri	—	9	Sivaskandha	—	—	Yajnasri	—	29	Sivaskandha Satakarni	—	8	Sivaskandha Sri Karṇi.	—	—
29	Vijaya	—	6	Yajnasri Satakarni	—	—	Vijaya	—	6	Yajnasri Satakarni	—	19	Yajnasri Karṇi.	—	29
30	{ Vadasri }	—	10	Chandrasri	—	—	Dandasi	—	3	Dandasi	—	3	Chandrasri	—	3
31	Pulomat	—	7	{ Pulomarchis or Pulomana (Will.) }	—	—	{ Puloripi }	—	7	Puloman	—	7	Puloman	—	7
32	Total	435 yrs. 6 m.													

The double names are variant readings from other MSS.

: Wilford interposes another name, Vataka, between Chakora and Sivaswati.

From this Table it will be seen that none of the lists agree absolutely with each other, either as to the succession of the names, or in the specified duration of the reigns. Neither do any of them establish conclusively that the dynasty contained thirty princes, nor that it lasted for the fixed period of 456 or 460 years. One name may probably have dropped out of the Matsya list, which if recovered would easily make up the full nominal tale.

Although such discrepancies and omissions in these lists militate generally against their reception as reliable authorities,¹ it must be remembered that they relate to matters of remote antiquity, that they probably were compiled at a comparatively recent period from older documents, which have perished, and that they have suffered equally from errors of transcription, the omission, displacement, and misreading of names and figures, to which MSS. on perishable materials like bark and palm-leaves are especially liable. Nor should a fertile source of confusion be overlooked in the practice, so frequent among Indian princes, of taking different titular designations during the course of their careers and assuming corresponding vernacular titles, by one or other of which they were subsequently distinguished during and after their own lifetimes.²

Allowance being made for these drawbacks, the lists exhibit a certain congruity in themselves, the average duration of reigns is nowhere in excess of probability, whilst they receive occasional confirmation from independent sources.³

II. Of this nature is the support derived from the works of Classical writers. These rest mainly on the statements of Megasthenes, who, about the year 295 B.C., was sent by Seleucus Nicator as ambassador to Chandragupta, Emperor of the Prasii, at whose Court, in the City of Palibothra, he resided for a considerable time. Unfortunately his work, τὰ Ἰνδικά, is lost, and his narrations are only known from fragments embodied in the writings of others. These have been diligently collected, and critically arranged by Schwanbach. Among them is found the oft-quoted extract, from the elder Pliny (vi. 17, 19), in which he refers to the Andhræ. In describing the lower course of the Ganges, he adverts to the

¹ Of the eighteen *purāṇas*, four only contain dynastic lists, representing all the indigenous history of which the Hindus can boast. These are :—

The Matsya.
The Vishnu.
The Bhāgavata, and
The Vāyu.

In these the events of the past are told in the form of dialogue, up to the close of the Great War of the Mahābhārata. The narrator then assumes a prophetic style, and foretells the succession of princes who are to rule in the future. The dates of these compositions are unknown, but the best critics consider that in their present form they cannot lay claim to high antiquity. Colonel Wilford pronounced them to be modern compilations from valuable materials no longer in existence (*As. Res.* v. 244. 8vo. ed.). In which he has been followed by M. Burnouf, the translator of the Bhāgavata; by Prof. Wilson, who has made the Vishnu accessible in an English Version, and by Colebrooke.

According to these authorities, the *purāṇas* date approximately, some from the ninth or tenth century, and others as late as the sixteenth or seventeenth. The Vishnu is assigned to the eleventh or twelfth century, and the author of the Bhāgavata is said to have lived at the Court of the Ballala king of Devagiri, in the thirteenth century (circa A.D. 1210-20). Burnouf, vol. i. pref. lvii-viii and xcvi; Wilson, pref. l-li, and *pūṇam*, Colebrooke's Essays, vol. i. p. 93. On the other hand, Col. Vans Kennedy thinks they were written in their present form at a very early period, and hence from their great age are called *purāṇas*. Mr. E. B. Powell, Principal of the Madras University, from the internal evidence of astronomical facts, contained in the Vishnu Purāṇa, assigns to it an origin west of the Indus. *Madras Journal Lit. and Science*, vol. xvii. p. 1.

² *As. Res.* vol. ix. p. 133, 8vo; Cunningham, *Bhilsa Tope*, pp. 92-93, also 108-109.

³ Prof. H. H. Wilson, Preface to *Vishnu Purāṇa*, vol. i. p. cviii.

Brachmanæ,¹ "a general name for many races, among whom are the Maccocalingæ. . . The [people called] Calingæ are those nearest the sea," above or beyond whom certain others are particularized. Then he adds, "The end of that tract is the Ganges. . ." Returning to the river, he continues, "The last part of its course is through the country of the Gangarides Calingæ; the country is called Parthalia. Seventy thousand infantry, a [a numeral probably omitted] thousand cavalry, and seven hundred elephants fully equipped for war serve as guards to the king. . . There is an island in the Ganges of great extent, inhabited by a single tribe called Modogalinga." After whom he names some others, and continues, "Whose king possesses an army of 50,000 foot, 3000 horse, and 400 elephants . . . but a still more powerful people are the Andaræ, who have many villages, thirty fortified and walled towns, and their king has a standing army of 100,000 infantry, 2,000 (?) cavalry, and 1000 elephants."

From these statements it would appear that the valley of the Ganges, on both sides of the river, from the frontier of Magadha to the sea, was possessed, in the third century B.C., by the Kalingæ and the Andaræ, the former consisting (apparently) of three distinct communities, inhabiting different but contiguous localities. Ptolemy, writing in the middle of the second century A.D., does not specify the Andaræ, but in his lists of names in India beyond the Ganges,² he makes mention of Triglypton regia, and in the notes on the map of the tract in which it is placed, he gives the duration of the day and the distance from Alexandria of Trilingum,³ both names being placed together on the map, as if they were one and the same people, on the east side of the river, and near the shore of the Gangetic Gulf, from which they are separated by the Cirradæ (Kirátas). This is nearly the position assigned to the Andre-Indi, in the Peutingerian Tables,⁴ except that the latter are closer to the left bank of the Ganges, and between it and the Catabeda, or Calincius River, which Ptolemy makes to intervene between the Trilinga regia and the Ganges. It may therefore be inferred that the ancient geographers considered the Andhras and Kalingas to be cognate races, and assigned to them a northern habitat, two centuries before the Christian era. This

¹ . . . Multorumque gentium cognomen Brachmannæ, quorum Maccocalingæ . . . Gentes Calingæ proximi mari, etc. . . . finisque ejus tractus est Ganges. . . novissimâ gente Gangaridum Calingarum; regio Parthalia vocatur. Regi LXX. Mill. peditum, equites mille, elephantum D.CC. in prociectu bellorum exarant. . . . Insula in Gange est magna amplitudinis gentem continens unam, Modogalingam nomine. Ultra siti sunt, etc. Rex horum peditum I. mill. equitum III. mill. elephantos CCCC. in armis habet. Validior deinde gens Andaræ, plurimis vicis, XXX. oppidis, quæ muris turribusque muniuntur, regi præbet peditum C. mill. equitum II. mill. elephantos mill. etc.—Schwanbach, p. 161-163; Plin. Lib. vi. cap. xvii. xix. Elz. 1635.

Megasthenes is really the only reliable authority on ancient India who speaks from personal knowledge. At the Court of Sybartes, Satrap of Arachosia, he had already become familiar with Eastern affairs, and he traversed the whole country in his journey from Philadelphia to Magadha, where he had ample time and opportunity for inquiry and observation. His veracity, it is

true, has been impeached by Strabo, Eratosthenes, Diodorus, and others, not one of whom had seen India; but Arrian is of a contrary opinion, and the indefatigable compiler Pliny, in the above passage, quotes his statements without question. In defending his accuracy Schwanbach rightly distinguishes between his statements as an eye-witness and those derived from native informants. Some of those for which he has been most vituperated are now proved to be well founded. The wild men who could not be brought to Sandracottus, because they would have died of starvation, whose heels were upturned, and toes bent back, are exactly the wolf-children of Oudh described by Col. Sleeman; and the monstrous snakes that swallowed deer were pythons, which are well known to do so (see Report Brit. Assoc. 1870, part ii. p. 115; see, too, H. H. Wilson, Notes on Ctesias, p. 29, and note to Lieut. Wilcox's Journey, As. Res. vol. xxii. p. 456).

² Lib. vii. cap. ii. p. 178.

³ Lib. viii. tab. xi. p. 211. Mercator in his notes on the map writes the name "Triglyphon," p. 28.

⁴ Segmentum, viii.

may explain the origin of a term which occurs in several inscriptions of the seventh and eighth centuries, in which the Rájás of Chedi are styled "Lords of Tri-Kalinga"¹—a name which Professor Kern² has found in the *purānas*, and which General Cunningham³ connects with the names in Pliny, pointing out that the Mahābhārata refers to the Andhras three times, on each occasion in conjunction with different peoples. The Sanscrit term Tri-Kalinga may therefore be taken as representing the three separate communities into which the Kalingas were divided. Moreover, the Dravidian equivalent of the three Kalingas is found in one of the names employed by Pliny, viz. that of the local Modu-Galingas.⁴

The use of the name of Tri-Kalinga did not cease with the departure of the Andhra-Kalingas from Bengal. Wilford⁵ in his essay on the Kings of Magadha identified the Sri Karṇa Deva of a copper grant found at Benares in 1801, where he is styled "Lord of Tri-Kalinga" with the old Andhra dynasty. That document, as well as several others in which the same title occurs, has now rightly been assigned to the Hai-haya or Kalachuri dynasty of Chedi in Malwa. In the last of these,⁶ the name of Karṇa occurs three times. The history of the Chedi dynasty has been investigated by Prof. FitzEdward Hall, and also by General Cunningham in volume ix. of his Arch. Reports, pp. 99, *et seq.* The symbol on the seals⁷ attached to their grants is the quasi-Buddhist emblem of elephants, pouring libations over a seated goddess, hence called Gajī Lakshmi, often found carved on the lintels of ancient temples. Coins with this device have been found in the valley of the Krishna.⁸ It also occurs on coins of the Bactrian King Azes, of much earlier date.

III. Of the third class of evidence, that of Contemporary Inscriptions, the earliest example hitherto met with is in the celebrated edicts of Asoka. The sixteenth tablet of the version at Shālbāzgarhi, in the Yusufzai country, contains a list of contemporary potentates, including the names of Alexander, Antiochus, etc., followed by an enumeration of the best known Indian kingdoms, the last two being the *Andhras* and *Pulindas*, proving that the former of these nationalities was recognized as a substantive power by the greatest monarch of his time, who reigned B.C. 259 to B.C. 235.⁹

¹ J.A.S.B. vol. viii. p. 485.

² Wilson's Vishnu Purana, vol. ii. pp. 132, 156, 166, 187. In all these the Kalingas are classed with Northern tribes and places; as Magadha, the Mālas of Mālbhūm or Midnapur, the Angas or Vangas of Eastern Bengal and Bhagalpur, to the Kīrātās, who are placed next the Andari and Trilingas in the Ptolemæic and Peutingeri Maps. Much reliance, however, cannot be placed on the strings of names.

³ Anet. Geogr. p. 519.

⁴ *Modu* = "three" in Telugu and Galinga—initial & becoming *g* in the middle of a Dravidian word (Caldwell, p. 32). Tri Kalinga by an obvious ellipse may easily pass colloquially into Trilinga, a form from which native grammarians have deduced the modern name of the Telugu dialect.

The late F. W. Ellis in his essay on the Telugu language quotes the Andhra Dipika, a standard lexicon, which, in giving examples of the rhetorical figure *tadāhara*, cites among others the following:—

SANSKRIT.	PAIRACHIL.	DRAVIDIAN BY TADAHAVAN.
Trilingah	Telingo	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 2em; margin-right: 5px;">{</div> <div> Telungu. Telugu. Tenugu. </div> </div>

See also Burnell, South Ind. Paleo., 1st ed. p. 20, note 1.

⁵ As. Res. vol. ix. p. 104, 8vo. ed.

⁶ J.A.S.B. vol. xxx. pp. 317-336; see also vol. viii. pp. 354, 481, and Oriental Amer. Journ. vol. vi. p. 616.

⁷ Wilford, As. Res. vol. ix. pp. 104-108; Beng. Journ. vol. viii. p. 354, Plate.

⁸ Num. Gl. 23; Madras Journ. vol. iii. n.s. pl. 1. fig. 68.

⁹ Cunningham, Arch. Rep. v. 20.

On the south gate of the Sanchi Tope, a memorial of an offering made by Ananda, son of Vāsishṭhā,¹ in the reign of Śātakarṇi, has been found by Gen. Cunningham, who attributes it to a younger son of Gautamiputra.

But the most interesting of the Northern inscriptions is engraved on a rock at Girnār in Kāthiāwād, to commemorate the repair of a tank, the dam of which had been breached by a flood. Originally constructed in the time of the Mauryan kings, it was now restored by order of Rudra Dāman, the Kshatrapa (or Satrap) ruler of Gujarāt, in the year 72 (?), who is stated, *inter alia*, to have "obtained glory, because he did not destroy (the) Śātakarṇi, Lord of the South (Dakshināpati), on account of their relationship, although he had twice conquered him." The era of the so-called Śāh dynasty, to which Rudra Dāman belonged, being still undetermined, the Andhra king referred to cannot be identified.²

As was to be expected, inscriptions become more frequent in the Dakhan. The rocky hills around Nasik, Junār, Kārli, etc., in the Pūna district, contain numerous Buddhist cave-temples, on the walls of which the names of their founders and the particulars of their gifts are engraved, but only a few contain matter of historical interest. Those at Nasik have been carefully copied and translated, and one of the longest among them records the grant of a village by "the Great Queen Gautami," in "the nineteenth year of her grandson, Śrī Puṣumayi Vāsishṭhiputra." She is described as "a daughter of royal sages, the mother of (the) Śātakarṇi Gautamiputra (who was) the destroyer of the Śākas, Yāvanas, and Palhavas, . . . who exterminated the race [*vansu*] of Khagārātha, and established the glory of the Śātavāhana family [*kula*], etc. She, the Great Queen, the mother of the Great King, and grandmother of the Great King, in the nineteenth year of Śrī Puṣumayi, bestows," etc. And this is followed by a later decree of Śrī Puṣumayi himself, here styled "the Lord of Navanara," in which he modifies the preceding acts of "the Lord of Dhanakaṭa" (his father), at whose instance the village had originally been given.

In the same cave a further edict records the gift of two pieces of land, the one conferred by (the) Śātakarṇi Gautamiputra, the Lord of Dhanakakata himself, the other by his Queen Vāsishṭhī.³

The name of Puṣumayi is found in two other caves (Nos. 3 and 27), spelt Puṣumai in No. 3, but in both he has the title of Vāsishṭhiputra. Cave 24 furnishes another royal name, (the) Śātakarṇi, Śrī Yajna Gautamiputra.⁴ A few more names of lesser note occur, such as that of Krishṇa, Rāja of (the) Śātavāhana family (*kula*), residing at Nasik, in No. 6, but

¹ Bhilsa Tope, pp. 264, 272, pl. xix. No. 190.

² Lassen places the event in 89 a.c. (Muir, *Sansk. Texts*, vol. ii. p. 142, 1st ed.); Prinsep, though with hesitation, in 189 a.c.; Dr. Bhanu Dāji inclines to 200 a.d. (*Journ. Bom. Branch Roy. As. Soc.* vol. vii. p. 117-120). Mr. Newton in his *Coins of the Śāh Kings* places Rudra Dāman about a.d. 40-45 (*ib.* vol. ix. p. 17), which Mr. Thomas is inclined to regard with favour (*J.R.A.S.* vol. xiii. n.s. p. 524). This inscription has attracted much attention, having been edited and translated

by Prinsep (*J.A.S.B.* vol. viii. pp. 334-343); by Prof. H. H. Wilson (Prinsep's *Essays*, ed. Thomas, vol. ii. pp. 57-67); by Prof. Eggeling (Burgess, *Second Rep.* p. 129), and by Bhagwan Lal Indraj and Dr. Bühler (*Ind. Ant.* vol. vii. pp. 257-263).

³ *Trans. Inter. Orient. Cong.* 1874, pp. 306-325; *Journ. Bom. Branch Roy. As. Soc.* vol. vii. pp. 37-41.

⁴ Rāja Gautamiputra Swami, Śrī Yajna Śātakarṇi or Gautamiputra II. (*Trans. Oz. Cong.* 1874, p. 340).

ERRATA.

Some errors have crept into the Map, which was not submitted for final revision, but only the two following are mentioned.

pp. 4, 14, 37, 89, 108, etc. The province of Tondamandalam between the Eastern Ghâts and the Pâlar river, corresponding with the modern districts of North and South Arcot, is omitted. The Tondaman, prominently inserted in Tanjore, head of the petty chief of Pudukotah, did not exist at the same early period.

p. 108. The western boundary of Cholamandalam, Kutakeri or Kotakerei, is synonymous with the modern Kodagu or Coorg.

p. 5. *For 'Kabburga' read 'Kalburga.'*

p. 24. *For 'Gougadh' read 'Jougadh.'*

p. 39. *For 'Musulipatam' read 'Masulipatam.'*

p. 44, line 22. *For 'specimens' read 'coins.'*

pp. 53, 57. *For 'Ala-ud-din' read 'Alâ-ud-dîn.'*

p. 59, line 19. *After 'Not worth a cash' add 'Gund. Mal. Dict. p. 245, s.v.'*

pp. 91, 93. *For 'Anégundi' read 'Anagundi.'*

THE
LIBRARY OF THE
MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY

NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK


THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK

THE LIBRARY OF THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
NEW YORK



**A MAP OF
SOUTHERN INDIA**
SHEWING THE TERRITORIES OF THE ANCIENT DYNASTIES
according to the best authorities.

Scale of English Miles
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

Omitted modern names are given in the letterpress.

75° Longitude East of Greenwich.

Ed. Saunders

London: Trulmer & Co.

Standard, Geog. Estab. London

without royal titles, and therefore probably a relation only of the royal house. He has been considered by many as the second in the *purāna* list, which is obviously incorrect, as the Andhras had not then settled in the Dakhan. If he was a Śātavāhana, he would, as will afterwards appear, be one of the later, or Andhrabhṛitya section. The name of Mahā Hākusri, found in what is called the Chaitya Cave (No. 10), may refer to the similar name in the remarkable sculptures at Nānaghāt, now to be described.¹ At the ancient site of Junār (not without some grounds supposed to be the Tagara of Ptolemy and the Periplus), the inscriptions in the numerous caves are of little historical importance. But a cave at the head of the Nānaghāt pass, on the road leading from Junār to the Konkan, calls for more special notice. It appears to have been a work of charity, excavated as a resting-place for pilgrims ascending the pass, the vestibule being furnished with seats for the use of weary travellers. Within this, on the front wall, are sculptured six life-size figures, now much defaced, each with a name carved above it. Within is an inscription² of some length, but in bad preservation. It imports that certain sacred offerings were given by Vedisiri or Vedaśrī, who is supposed to have constructed the cave; but his connection with the figures sculptured on the wall is not apparent, there being no complete translation of the text.³ They seem, however, to represent the members of a single family. The title of the first is, "The auspicious Rāja Śātavāhana, the prosperous."⁴ Next are two figures, a woman and a man, superscribed "Devi Nāyanikāya, the Queen," and "Śrī Śātakarṇi." Then, following them, "The Chief (*virah*) of the Mahārāshtras," or it may be "of the great Warriors"; and then two young men, styled respectively, "*kumāra* (or prince) Hākusri," and "*kumāra* Śātavāhana."

In 1839 Dr. Bird opened the largest tope at Kāṇheri (Kennery), in the island of Salsette, and found two inscribed copper plates, which, unfortunately, have disappeared without having been critically translated. Attempts made by Dr. Stevenson and Paṇḍit Bhagwān Lāl to restore the imperfect transcripts left by Dr. Bird have yielded results irreconcilable with each other—to the strange extent, that names read by the former cannot be traced at all by the latter. The same date, however, has been read by both, viz. the year 245, but there is nothing to show what era is referred to.⁵ Prinsep thought it might be equivalent to A.D. 189; but beyond proving the dominion of the Andhras in that region, this memorial, as we now possess it, must depend for its value upon new discoveries.

A few other Andhra names have been preserved, which, though not perhaps those of reigning princes, may be worth noting for comparison with the legends of any coins that may be discovered hereafter. Such are Dāsa Karṇi, Chivari Karṇa, Naga Kanda, the nephew

¹ Trans. Or. Cong. pp. 343-344; Journ. Bom. Branch. Roy. As. Soc. vol. vii. p. 43.

² J.R.A.S. vol. iv. p. 287; Journ. Bom. Branch. Roy. As. Soc. vol. xii. p. 404, and vol. xiii. pp. 310-312.

³ Probably owing to the dilapidation of the tablet. Mr. Bur-

gees submitted a large fac-simile of it in its present condition.

⁴ Rāja Srimukha Śātavāhana Srimān.

⁵ Journ. Bom. Branch Roy. As. Soc. vol. v. p. 32; Arch. Surv. West. India No. 10 (1881), p. 58.

of Śrī Yadnya, Śāta Karṇi, (son?) of Gotamīputra, at Kāṇheri, Raja Virasena, the Abhīra of Nasik,¹ etc.

The inscriptions in several cases also record benefactions made by a member of the family of the Kshatrapa ruler of Gujarāt, which bear on the history of the Andhras by the support they give to the assertions in the Gīrnār record of Rudra Dāman. They are found in five of the Nasik Caves, and in the great Chaitya Temple at Kārli. The donations are all made in the name of Ushavadāta, the son of Dīnīka, describing himself as son-in-law of Kshatrapa Nahapāna, the Kshaharata, Rāja or Ruler of Gujarāt. They consist of villages, cows, money, which he confers with a lavish hand on priests and Brahmins; in one instance the gift being that of his wife Dakhamitra, the daughter of Nahapāna. From this it would appear that Ushavadāta was Governor of the subjugated province of Nasik, under his father-in-law. It may further be inferred from the munificence of his gifts, from the length of time necessary for the construction of the cave-temples bearing his name, and from a military expedition he was ordered to conduct to Mālāya, that his charge must have been extensive, and that his rule lasted for a considerable time. The tablets at Kārli and Junār merely give Ushavadāta's name and family.

IV. The last remaining source of evidence is that derived from coins. These are found in considerable numbers in the deltas of the Krishna and Godāvari. The most common are of lead, rudely executed, more or less coated with white oxide; others are of copper mostly alloyed. They bear a general resemblance to the type described by Prinsep and Wilson, under the name of Indo-Scythian or Barbaric Coins.² Few have legible epigraphs, but some of them, which seem to be more recent, are better executed, and exhibit legends with the names of the later princes in the list.

Another type, differing remarkably from those above noticed, occurs in the Western or Mahārāshtra districts. They are of a coarse alloy, and like the last mentioned pertain exclusively to the latest names in the series.

The information gleaned from the foregoing sources of evidence may be summed up in few words. In the third century B.C. a Dravidian colony, probably the latest migration of the race, is found occupying the Lower Valley of the Ganges, and consisting of several communities, of whom the Andhras³ and the Kalingas were the most conspicuous.⁴ The earliest reliable

¹ Journ. Bom. Branch Roy. As. Soc. vol. v. pp. 22, 24, 26, 28; Trans. Or. Cong. 1874, pp. 329-342.

² J.A.S.B. vol. iii. pl. xviii. p. 227, figs. 2, 3, 5, 22, and pl. xiv. p. 436, figs. 1, 2, 3; vol. iv. p. 626, pl. xxiv. p. 684, pl. xlix. figs. 23-8; Ariana Ant. p. 414, pl. xv. figs. 27-33.

³ The Peutingerian Tables place the ANDHRE INDI to the North of the Ganges, plate xiii.

⁴ The normal constitution of these Turanian colonies appears

to have been somewhat of a Republican character. An aggregation of clans, independent as regards their internal economy, but united by a common interest. Colonel Dalton found something of the same kind among the Kōls, and a more perfect example is afforded by the Kurumbar communities of Tondamandalam in the Carnatic, described in Ellis's Treatise on Mirāsī Rights. Dalton, J.A.S.B. vol. xxiv. pt. ii. Ellis, Replies to Queries on Mirāsī Rights.

mention of them by Greek writers represent the Andhras as living under a monarchical form of government, and as being the most powerful section. Native accounts assign to them a succession of thirty princes, who ruled for a period of 456 years, first in the north and then in the Dakhan, but the details given on this authority are inexact. Of their alleged supremacy in Magadha during this long period there is no proof beyond the assertion of the Pauranik writers; while more trustworthy evidence shows that they could not have occupied so important a position during the period stated. The probability is that they took advantage of the anarchy consequent on the disruption of the Mauryan empire after the death of Asoka, and obtained a temporary footing in the eastern portion of it, from which they were dislodged by the arrival of later intruders, and driven to seek for a resting-place in the direction previously taken by colonists of their own race, of whose prosperity in their southern settlements they could not be ignorant. As seems to have been the general practice in these early times, they moved about bodily with their slaves,¹ their flocks and herds. Following the line of coast, the Kalingas settled in the country north of the Godávati, where their name is perpetuated in that of the province, as well as in that of the seaport of Kalingapatnam; while the Andhras, after resting awhile on the shores of the Chilka Lake, in some old maps called Parikad Andhari (and where a pergunna, entitled Andhari, still retains their name),² and proceeded further south, establishing themselves on the Krishna, and made the city of Dhanakakata their capital. This is supposed to be the modern Dharanakota, the ruined site of which, marked by the extensive mounds characteristic of old fortifications, is seen on the Krishna a little above Amrávati. Thence they spread over the greater part of Kuntala, till their territories extended from sea to sea, and from the Godávati on the north to the frontiers of Cholamandalam on the south, and Banawási on the south-west.

A pressure powerful enough to dislodge a whole people and drive them from the region in which they had taken root must have been no common one. Such a force is found in the restless spirit which animated the Scythian hordes about two centuries before the Christian era, and made itself felt through Central Asia.³ After they had overrun part of Persia and Affghánistán they entered India, took possession of the Gangetic Valley, and even penetrated beyond the Vindhyan Mountains.⁴

There is thus no ground for the statement of the *purānas*, which assigns the Empire of Magadha to the Andhras, in succession to two shorter dynasties following the Mauryas.

¹ The Telugu serfs or Agrestial slaves are called Mālas, a term of doubtful origin (Caldwell, p. 649), but it may with some probability be deduced from the aboriginal people of Māl-bhūm, now part of Midnapur, a Gangetic province, forming part of the earlier location of the Andhras. Vish. Pur. vol. ii. pp. 156, 166.

² Hunter's Orissa, *Official Map*, A.D. 1871. So also Rājendra's Map, vol. i. p. 201.

³ Cunningham Arch. Rep. vol. i. p. 139; vol. iii. p. 29 *et seq.* and p. 42; vol. v. pp. 20-1, 62; Bhilsa Topo, p. 125; Ariana Ant. pp. 134, 303; J.R.A.S. N.S. vol. v. p. 182; vol. vii. p. 380; Journ. Bom. Branch Roy. As. Soc. vol. ix. p. 143.

⁴ Inscriptions dated in the reign of Kanishka, the most powerful Indo-Sythian prince, have been copied at Mathura by Gen. Cunningham (*supra*), of the last century A.C.; and coins, evidently of the Indo-Sythic type, have been found in considerable numbers at Jougadh, in the Puda Kondah Taluk of Ganjam. Mad. Journ. of Lit. and Science, vol. iv. N.S. pp. 76-8. To the same cause may not improbably be assigned the introduction of several of the predatory tribes in India, as the Gājars, Ramdaís, Bedars, Marawars, noted for their warlike qualities and fondness for the chase.

The recently discovered inscriptions of Kanishka at Mathura prove that the Scythian power had been firmly established in Magadha during the last century B.C.; and as Kanishka was followed by more than one successor of his own race, their rule must have been prolonged into the first century of our era.¹ This view is also incompatible with the chronology of the *purāṇas* by which the epoch of the Andhras has been commonly computed.² According to that mode of reckoning the sum of the reigns assigned to the princes of the three preceding families,³ or 294 years, is deducted from the known date of Chandragupta, B.C. 317, and the commencement of the Andhra dynasty is thus placed in B.C. 23, and its termination, after a duration of 456 years, in A.D. 437—a conclusion irreconcilable with their recognition as an existing power by Asoka's edict, and the absence of any mention of them in the annals of the Dakhan, which have now been ascertained with tolerable accuracy from the latter part of the fifth century; on the other hand, they can only be relegated to an earlier date, antecedent to the time of Kanishka, by rejecting the *paurāṇic* list altogether.

A solution of the difficulty, however, is obtained by supposing the earlier Andhras to have been contemporaries of the Mauryas and their successors of the other earlier dynasties before they obtained a footing in Magadha.⁴

On this theory it is possible to derive a probable date for their migration to the Dakhan, which will harmonize better with other authorities.

Assuming, as before argued, that the Andhras were contemporary with the Mauryan dynasty, and accepting the statements of the *purāṇas* that they flourished under a succession of thirty princes for four centuries and a half,⁵ we obtain an average⁶ of fifteen years for each reign. This affords a clue by which to estimate, approximately, the time of the Andhra migration to the Dakhan.

Now, looking down the list of names in the Tabular statement, at page 8 (*ante*), the eye is arrested, about the middle of the column, by that of Kuntala Swāti Karṇa, as being the only instance in this series, of a personal formed on a territorial designation—a circumstance significant of some remarkable incident in the career of the individual, which may not unfairly be taken to have reference to the establishment of his people in the province of Kuntala. Now, as he stands thirteenth in the most complete list, his era, at the average of fifteen years to a reign, would be 122 B.C., which gives 261 for the duration of their empire in the Dakhan. In another list (that of the Brahmandu) he appears in the sixteenth place, which would bring him to 77 B.C., and the Dakhan rule to 216 years A.D.

Vague as these calculations are, they afford grounds for assuming, in the absence of more reliable data, that the Andhra migration took place about a century before the Christian era, and that their power survived for two centuries after it.

¹ Cunningham, Arch. Rep. vol. II. pp. 42-46.

² Wilson, Vish. Pur. vol. iv. p. 203, where the end of the dynasty is placed A.D. 419.

³ The Indo-Scythian dynasty of Kanishka. See note 4, p. 15.

⁴ Prinsep makes a similar suggestion with reference to the Sata or Satrapa princes of Gujarat. J.A.S.B. vol. vii. p. 347.

⁵ Wilson, Vishnu Pur. vol. iv. p. 199.

⁶ The exact average is 15.2 years per reign.

On the events that happened during this later period in the Dakhan, history is silent. Some light is obtained from the inscriptions before described, which show that the fortunes of the dynasty culminated in the reigns of the twenty-first and twenty-second kings. Gautamíputra, the latter of these, was the most conspicuous of the later Andhras. He offers the first example of a name taken by the reigning prince from that of his mother; a practice followed by many of his successors.

This has been considered by some to be a remnant of the Turanian law of inheritance, under which property was transmitted through females, as is the case to this day on the Malabar coast.

General Cunningham suggested that it arose from the Queen's desire to do honour to her *puróhit*, by adopting the name of his *guru*. This he afterwards rejected for an explanation proposed by Dr. Bühler, who attributed it to the practice of polygamy in Rájput families, where it is followed to distinguish the offspring of different mothers.¹

But none of these suggestions are satisfactory, no such customs having prevailed among the Andhras or other people of Dravidian race. With more probability it might be connected with something memorable in the character or history of the Queen-Mother herself, a view which derives support from an examination of the place in the list to which her name must be relegated. This, it will be seen, presents a somewhat unusual appearance, and, taken with the first occurrence of these metronyms, lends colour to a suspicion that there may have been some interruption to the succession, not unlike a break in the old line.

For the reigns immediately preceding the 22nd (*viz.* from the 18th to the 21st) are represented as having been unusually short, the 20th and 21st lasting only from one to five years and six months respectively, which, although not incompatible with the known uncertainty of human life, is also indicative of unsettled times, in connection either with hostile invasion or intestine disorders. The language of the inscriptions contains allusions which may apply to both these conditions. Rudra Dáman, in the Gírnár tablet, claims to have twice conquered the Andhra king, and to have been withheld from destroying him by motives of clemency and family ties. Again, the long inscription in the Nasik cave (No. 26) points to a turn in the tide of fortune, and credits Gautamíputra, not only with the expulsion of the invaders, but with dispensing the public revenues, "levied only according to law," as if such had not been the case previously. This may have been caused by the disorders to which all states, especially those in the East, are liable under the scourge of foreign invasion, and still more if the indigenous population should take part with the invaders. The normal inhabitants of Kuntala appear to have been the people called Pallavas—a bold, hardy, warlike race, who never failed to assert their independence when a favourable occasion offered, as will appear more fully hereafter. In the inscription they are mentioned in the same breath with the Sákas and Yávanas, by whom we understand the Scythian and Indo-Bactrian invaders from

¹ *Stupa of Bharabati*, p. 129.

Gujarát. Sákas or Sacæ is a common equivalent for Scythians, and in the Nasik caves the term occurs more than once.¹ And Yávanas is now a general term for foreigners, but at first was more particularly applied to Greeks; and here with especial force to the conquerors of Gujarát, whose silver coins of the so-called Sáh type are evidently taken from Greek models. The expression, "he left no trace of the race of Khagarátha," has probably further reference to the expulsion of the Sákas. The tribal name of the Satrapa ruler is not mentioned, but in No. 16 (p. 335) Nahapápa is styled the Satrap King Kshaharáta Nahapápa, a title approaching closely to Khagarátha Nahapápa.

In support of the other hypothesis that the old Andhra dynasty underwent a change, there is the assertion that Gautamíputra "established the glory of the Sátaváhana race or *Kula*," a name not before known in connection with the old royal family.

On the above grounds, slight and isolated as they are, we may be permitted to found a hypothesis, which may give them some coherence.

The power which gained possession of Gujarát in the century before the Christian era, pushing its advances onwards, effected a lodgment in the Dakhan, about the same time that the Andhras were advancing along the valleys of the Godávári and Krishná.

Nahapápa obtained his position in Gujarát by conquest, either on his own account, or as the delegate of some Scythian or Parthian lord paramount.

The Satrapa rule was long firmly established in Gujarát, and their hold on the Dakhan provinces was no transient one.

Ushavadátha, the governor, on the part of the first Satrapa, constructed some of the Nasik Cave-temples, and conducted a military expedition into Máláya (Malwa) or Malabar? (No. 19, p. 328). Rudra Dáman was 5th or 6th in succession to him.²

I assume that the relations between the two powers³ were sometimes friendly, sometimes the reverse, and that at length they ended in a serious war, in which the Andhras were defeated, and a period of anarchy ensued. A bold adventurer, whether a relative, or a subject of the reigning family, took advantage of the confusion to seize on the throne. Having driven out the foreigners, and restored order in the provinces, he established the Sátaváhana branch of the Andhra dynasty firmly on the throne, of which it continued in possession for about 115 years, until its extinction in the person of Pulomat, the thirtieth Prince of the line. This supposed revolution may explain the origin of the term *Andhrabhṛitya*, or servants of the Andhras, in contradistinction to the *Andhrajátiya*, or the true Andhras. That the mother of Gautamíputra took a prominent part in the transaction seems probable. She describes herself as "Queen Gautamí, the presiding genius

¹ Nos. 14 and 2, though with some doubt in the former as connected with the names of Ushavadátha, owing to the fracture of the stone.

² Bombay Journal, vol. vii. p. 20; Burgess, Arch. Report, pp. 130-3. There is a break in the line of Satraps between the

Khagarátha, Nahapápa, and Chastana whose name stands first in the inscription of Jundun (Bombay Journ. vol. viii. p. 234) without any family designation, and the relation in which they stood to each other, whether of family or office, is not apparent.

³ J.R.A.S. vol. xii. pp. 23-50.

of powers . . . the daughter of royal sages, the mother of the Śātakarṇi," etc. And when in addition her name is found so remarkably associated with that of her son, and adopted by others as a household word, while no notice whatever is taken of her husband, we may conclude that there was some good cause for the distinction.

The foregoing supposes that Gautamiputra's exploits were confined to the expulsion of the Śakas from his own territory. Gen. Cunningham¹ takes a much larger view of his importance, and by a calculation, founded on Asoka's celebrated edict, identified him with the famous Śālivāhan or Śātavāhan, the founder of the great Śāka era, from which all the chronology of Southern India is calculated. If his data are well founded, there is much to be said in favour of this view.

The practice first seen in the case of Gautamiputra is repeated in the names of several of his successors, as we learn from the cave inscriptions and from coins, by the help of which we are enabled to construct the genealogical list given on the next page:—

¹ Cunningham, Arch. Rep. vol. v. p. 20. The Sanscrit word Śaka has two meanings (Wilson's Sans. Dict.): 1st, with the first syllable short—"a prince, an era," "a country," or in the plural, "the people of a country. Scythians, Saka"; 2nd, Śāka (long), "A power, an era." These terms, with the name of the Prince Vikramaditya, which is nothing more than a title meaning "the Sun of heroism," and assumed by numerous princes, go far to account for the confusion that exists upon the subject.

Many celebrated native princes adopted eras of their own, which after flourishing for a time passed away. Such are those of the Guptas, the Balabhis, Sri Harsha, etc. A popular mnemonic verse enumerates six (J.R.A.S. vol. x. p. 128), the three last being future. Of the others, the Yudhishtira Śaka is obsolete; that of Vikramaditya, current in Northern India, dates from a king of Ujjayani, said to have expelled the Indo-Scythians in 57 B.C., whence he has the epithet of Śakāra (=foe of the Scythians), and afterwards to have been slain in battle with Śālivāhana in 78 A.D.—statements carrying contradiction on their face, for the interval of 135 years between these events is fatal to the idea of a personal collision. Śālivāhana is the presumed founder of the third Śāka era, par excellence, in use south of the Nerbada. It commences with the installation or *abhishheka* of its founder, after the supposed defeat of the Ujjayani prince above mentioned. Thus both traditions appear to be founded on successes gained over the northern invaders of Hindustan.

The obscurity in which the subject is shrouded has frequently exercised the ingenuity of the learned. Dr. Bhanu Daji, in 1862, at first came to the same conclusion as Gen. Cunningham and fixed on Gautamiputra (J.R.A.S. Bombay, vol. viii. p. 118), but finally agreed with Mr. Justice Newton (*ib.* vol. viii. p. 238) in favour of Nahapāna, deeming it improbable that an era so widely adopted could be derived from the exploits of a humble

prince, whose capital was Paithān on the Godāvari (*ib.* p. 233). Mr. Fergusson, on the other hand, considers Gautamiputra to have been the most important sovereign of his time, but the chronological theory adopted by him placed his reign in the fourth century (J.R.A.S. N.S. vol. iv. p. 127). Afterwards in an unpublished memoir (1875) he came to the conclusion that the Śāka was founded on the *abhishheka* or inauguration of Kanishka, the great Indo-Scythian king, and had nothing whatever to do with a victory over Scythians. At the same time he relegates the origin of the Vikramaditya era to a much later period. The latest opinion, that of Dr. Oldenberg, coincides with Mr. Fergusson's (Ind. Ant. vol. x. p. 215). I am inclined to agree with Mr. Fergusson that the Śāka era had nothing to do with the Scythians, unless the Gujārat kshatrapas are included under that designation; and I share in the doubts expressed of an actual Śāka-conquering prince of Ujjayani at that early period.

One of the Śakas set aside was that of the Sri Harsha, king of Ujjayani, a prince of great fame; but it is not probable that he would have abolished so remarkable an established era of his supposed predecessor. It is further observable that no date founded on the Samvat Śāka has hitherto been found earlier than the ninth century, or A.D. 811 (J.R.A.S. Bombay, vol. ii. p. 371). Dravidian tradition is consistent in assigning the origin of the Śāka to Śātavāhana, ruler of Pratihasthana, which Col. Wilford (As. Res. vols. ix. and x.), on the authority of Col. Mackenzie, accepts. Others represent him as a Śāmana, which may be either a Jaina or a Buddhist, and in describing his advance to attack the enemies of his country (Taylor's Cat. Rais. vol. iii. p. 42), as made from the Godāvari northwards. Jaina writers generally describe him as an author and a man of learning. The coincidence of his name, the Śātavāhana, with that of his race in the Nasik temple inscriptions, go far to prove that the Southern traditions are deserving of credit, and therefore affording support to Gen. Cunningham.

22	{	Sivaswāti	}	Gautamī (?) the Great Queen.
		Sātakarpi		
23		Gautamīputra		Vāsishthī.
24	{	Puṣumayi, Pulomat	}	
		Vāsishthīputra I.		
25		Sivasri Sātakarpi		Maḡhari.
26	{	Sirisena, or Siva Skanda	}	Gautami II.
		Sātakarpi, Maḡhariputra		
		Sivala Kuru		
27	{	Yajnasri Gautamīputra II.	}	Vāsishthī II.
		Vidivāya Kuru		
28		Vijaya		Vāsishthī III. (?)
29	{	Vadasri Chandasri.	}	
		Sītakarni.		
		Vāsishthīputra II.		
30		Pulomat.		

Of these names, Nos. 22, 23, 24, are obtained from Nasik Cave (26) inscription supported by coins. The 25th and 26th rest on a coin recently discovered at Kolhāpur, and an inscription at Kānheri.¹ That of Yajnasri, the 27th, is also found on coins with the addition of the metronym Gautamīputra, confirmed by the Nasik Cave (24), in which the reading is Gautamīputra, so there must needs have been a second princess of that name. Gen. Cunningham has a coin, of which he kindly sent me a sketch, on which is the figure of an elephant, with the epigraph

Rājña Vāsishthīputasa Sīri Chanda satāsa,

which introduces a third Queen-Mother, named Vāsishthī.²

From this it would appear these female names are more of the nature of titles, which took the place of the individual proper name of the reigning Queen.

¹ Rep. Or. Inter. Cong., J.R.A.S. Bombay, vol. xii. p. 409.

² Chandra Sri, which is written Vada Sri in the Radcliffe MS. of the Matsya Pur., has been supposed to be the author of the inscription at Nānaghāt, which, as imperfectly read, purports to record the gift of 7000 cows by Sarchariya (or Subhamiya), probably the Queen-mother. After the invocation at the commencement, occur the words—

Kumdra vāraṇa Vade (or Vendi) siripa,

which would imply that at the time Vadasri was only a prince and had not succeeded to the throne. In the last line the words—

Mahā rathina Andhija Kula sadhanasa

have been read, which should remove any doubt that might exist whether the Nānaghāt sculptures represent individuals of the Andhras.

The last nine reigns from the great Queen inclusive embrace a period, according to the years assigned to each, of 116 years, to which, on Gen. Cunningham's assumption, if we add 78 A.D., the conclusion of the line is carried to 194 A.D., or deducting Gautami's own reign of twenty-eight years to 166 A.D. Calculating these nine reigns at the average of 15·2, the date of their termination would be about 213 A.D.

How that termination was brought about is unknown. The two or three centuries before and after the Christian era seem to have been a period of turbulence and change, presenting opportunities to the aboriginal races for throwing off a foreign yoke. The two most ancient indigenous peoples of whom reliable information survives, are the Rāṭṭas in the West and the Pallavas in the East of Kuntala.

It was from them that the Chalukyas wrested that province in the fifth and sixth centuries. The Rāṭṭas threw off their yoke in the eighth century, and established an independent dynasty at Mānyakhēṭa, now Malkhair, which subsisted for a considerable time. At a subsequent period they rose against the Mahomedans, and still maintain their sturdy independence, not only in their own Mahārāshtra, but in other parts of India. The Pallavas, driven from Bādāmi, established themselves successively for a time at Vengi and at Conjevaram in the South, and ultimately became fused in the mass of the population. Their name now only exists in tradition, or is preserved in some local association.

From whatever cause, and at whatever period, the Andhras took up their abode in the Dakhan, they have left memorials of their power, showing that it lasted for no inconsiderable time. The classical name of the Telugu province is Andhradēsam, and the Andhrika literature abounds in works composed in Sanscrit or in Telugu, which are still studied and highly appreciated. One of the early names of Kuntala was Karṇātaka, which, although now erroneously given to the Drāvida or Arcot province, is applied by all natives to the part of the Dakhan east of Mahārāshtra and north of the line of Ghāts, throughout which the Kanarese language is now spoken. The term was probably derived from Karṇa,¹ the dynastic name or title of the Andhra princes, who, besides its employment in the form of Sāta Karṇi, had frequently the terms Karṇa or Karṇi, Sāta, Swāta, etc., varying (as Professor Wilson observes)² to suit the metre, joined to their proper names.

Further, the two branches of the Godāvāri, where the river divides to form the Delta, are still distinguished by the names of the two great Queens, the Northern as the Gautami, and

¹ Grammarians assign a different etymon, and derive the word from *karna*, "black," in allusion to the black soil, called *regad* and cotton-soil, which abounds in many parts of the province. To this it is objected that the *regad* is not peculiar to Kuntala or even to the Dakhan. According to the Geological Survey, "Tracts are found scattered throughout the valley of the Krishna, and occupying the lower plains and flats of Coimbatore, Salem, Maḍura, Tanjore, Ramnad, and Tinnevely. There is but little in Mysore. . . The great alluvial flat of Surat and Broach consists of that soil. It occupies the depression between Ahmed-ābād and Kāthiāwād, connecting the head of the Gulf of Cambay

with the Bay of Cutch" (Manual of the Geology of India, ch. xviii. p. 432).

The name of Karna still survives in many places, as, for example, Karṇi-puri District.—Ind. Ant. vol. i. p. 206.

Karnaghar, an ancient city in Sagao, near Jabalpur, mentioned by Prof. Hall.

Karnavati River, now Kayan, or Kane of the maps, sometimes written Kiranavati. The Kainus of Arrian.—Cun. Ancient Geog. p. 487; Cun. Arch. Rep. vol. ii. pp. 446, 453-4; id. 1864-5, p. 453.

² V. Pur. vol. iv. p. 201.

the Southern as the Váśishthī channel. The Telugu term applied to the agricultural serfs (see p. 15, note 1) has before been referred to as brought by them from their native country in Bengal. It may be added that the Telugu people are known as Vadagas or Northerners by the other Dravidians, from whom they were further distinguished by their finer physical development as the tallest and handsomest section of the race.

Other monuments of Andhra rule are found in their religious edifices. Professing the faith of Buddha, they signalized their devotion by the construction of *Stūpas*,¹ of which that at Amrávati, on which the name of Puḍumayi has recently been read, was probably unsurpassed in the East, and by the excavation of numerous Cave-temples, among which that at Kárlī is conspicuous.

The coins of the Andhra monetary system have already been noticed with reference to the historical evidence deducible from them. They differ from those of the rest of Southern India, and have a character peculiarly their own. The prevailing metal of which they are composed is lead. A few occur of copper, and some of alloy of copper and tin, but none of gold or silver. As yet they have only been collected in the deltas of the Krishna and Godávari, and recently a few have come from the Western Mahratta State of Kolhápúr. The greater part of the Andhra dominion is now included in the territory of the Nizam of Haidarábád, where the facilities for numismatic research are small, so that it would be rash to conclude that the Andhras had no gold or silver money, although the large find of leaden pieces somewhat favours that presumption.

Professor Wilson has remarked on the prevalence of silver billon and copper in the Græco-Bactrian currency, while that of the Indo-Scythian consisted exclusively of gold and copper; the latter in large quantities. And he quotes Arrian's statement that the Indians with whom Alexander came in contact were without gold [*ἀχρυσιοί*, Arr. Ant. 347-9].

The characteristic of the Andhrian coinage was the employment of lead with but a small proportion of copper.

General Pearce has called my attention to a passage in Pliny to the effect that India has neither brass nor lead,² receiving them in exchange for precious stones and pearls, which may afford some explanation of this peculiarity.

The lead is generally very pure, a careful analysis detecting only a trace of copper, probably accidental in some specimens. One class of coins was found to consist of a kind of speculum of an alloy of lead and tin, and another of an impure lead ore, which gave them the appearance of a coarse alloy.

They are stamped with symbols of a Buddhist character. The obverse has figures of a lion or horse with the name of the sovereign, but his effigy, never. The reverse

¹ Two have been demolished within my own recollection, one at Gudiwáda, by the Department of Public Works, about 1842, the other near Cocanada, by the Pittapur Zemindar, in 1848 (Mad. Journ.); Ind. Ant. vol. xii. p. 34; some others are yet standing at Batiprola, Juggispetta, etc.

² India neque as neque plumbum habet, gemmisque suis ac margaritis hæc permutat.—Nat. Hist. xxxiv. 17, Elz. Ed.

has often the Buddhist cross or wheel to which the name of Ujjain symbol has sometimes been given.¹

The pieces vary greatly in size, they are generally round, sometimes square. Some are only struck on one side, the reverse being plain or exhibiting marks of the substance on which they had rested (wood or stone) to receive the impact of the upper die.

Many of the smaller pieces appear to have been cast in moulds, with the figure of an elephant rudely represented by three convexities, with or without legs, these in the latter case mere stumps, thick or thin, long or short. On the die-struck specimens, the elephant is sharp and symmetrical, facing mostly to the right, the trunk pendant or raised over the head.

On the larger lead pieces is a stately maneless lion, the reverse with Buddhist emblems, or plain; while on the thicker alloyed specimens from the Krishna the animal has an ample mane, is short-legged, one paw uplifted, and on the reverse a vase (the *Drona*) between two candelabra. It is doubtful, however, whether these latter truly belong to the Andhra dynasty. The coarse, thick, impure lead-pieces from Kolhápúr form a third type. They have the effigy of a bow and arrow on the obverse, and a many-arched *chaitya* with other emblems on the reverse.

Good representations of the former, leaden, etc., will be found in Madras Journal, vol. iii. n.s. pp. 234-249, plates ix. x. xi.; and of the latter, from Kolhapur, in Bombay Journal, vol. xiii. p. 303, plates iii. iv. Descriptions of coins without plates will also be found in Bombay Journal, vol. xii. p. 407; Ind. Ant. vol. vi. pp. 276-7; Ind. Ant. vol. ix. p. 61.

The monetary value of the several pieces, and the relation in which they stand to each other can only be surmised. The unit appears to be the smaller leaden kind first mentioned. It is found in the largest numbers, and though varying in weight may be taken to average about thirty-five grains. From this a scale, ascending by a process of reduplication, will be found to include the most prominent examples hitherto found, as thus:—

$$35 - 70 - 140 - 280 - 560.^2$$

From the disappearance of the Andhras, about the end of the second or beginning of the third century, to the rise of the Chalukya empire in the fifth, we have no numismatic record of any important or leading power.

The country from the Mahánadi and the Narmadá to the Godávari seems to have been parcelled out among many local chiefs, the forerunners of the Doralu and Rachawars of modern times, who enjoyed a quasi independence.

¹ This symbol, which is associated with Ujjain, in virtue of the old Asoka letters bearing that name, has been lately identified with the original local Sun-worship of India.—Num. Chron. 1880, Article on the "Indian Swastika and its Western Counterparts." Mr. Thomas tells me it occurs also on some of our early English *Scotia*, where it also clearly refers to the Sun-parallel of Stonehenge, etc.—Ruding, Supplement, plate ii. fig. 17, and pl. xvi. fig. 13.

² But the scale does not provide for all the varieties which range from 20 to 40—50 to 60—70 to 90—100 to 140—180 to 200—250—after which the two heaviest I possess are 559.9 and 692.3. These, the only examples I possess, were found in a deserted site at the village of Chittala, in the Yernagudem Talook of the Godávari district.

Traces of these may still be recognized in the settlements at Rutnapur, in the Ryagarh province of Nagpur, and the hill districts of Orissa and Ganjam, where a few specimens of local coinage have been met with, like those at Gowgadh in Ganjam Yellamanchali, etc.¹

With these may perhaps be associated the maned-lion coins, doubtfully attributed to the Andhras in a former paragraph. Others may be looked for, as these little-frequented districts are more fully explored.

NOTE.—Since the foregoing was written Dr. Bühler has sent me the proofs of an essay, in the preparation of which he assisted, on the "Discoveries"² made by Paṇḍit Bhagwānlāl Indrajī, at Sopará and Paḍana.

In the course of these investigations the Paṇḍit, when excavating a tope at Sopará, came upon a copper casket, in which he found a silver coin, represented in the subjoined woodcut, critically reproduced by an experienced artist from an electrotpe copy of the original. The coin weighs thirty-four grains, and exhibits a strong resemblance to the pieces of the Sáh dynasty. Thus the anticipation hazarded above, that gold and silver coins of the Andhra dynasty might still be in existence, has been realized.

The obverse of the piece in question, bears the head of a king, with an inscription which Bhagwānlāl reads "Yajna Śátakarṇi, the king Gotamiputra,"³ thus corresponding exactly with No. 27 in the genealogical table given above. The inscription on the reverse is very imperfect. The Paṇḍit makes some ingenious attempts to restore it which result in the doubtful tentative reading, "Yajna Śátakarṇi Gotamiputra, prince of Chaturapana." This he compares with another inscription above a water cistern recently discovered at Nánaghát, read as "Chaturapana Śátakarṇi (Śátakarṇi) Vasathi (Vásishthī)," and he suggests that this Chaturapana may be the father of Yajna Śrí, for reasons which he gives at length.

Following these indications Dr. Bühler refers to the inscription, No. 11, of the Junior Series in Burgess' Reports, vol. v. pl. v. recording the gift of a fountain, which the Paṇḍit reads, "Of the Queen of . . . Vāsishthaputra Śátakarṇi, (who is) descended from the race of Kardamaka kings, (and) the daughter of the Mahakshatrapa Ru . . ."

From these facts Dr. Bühler deduces the following conclusions:—1st, that Vāsishthaputra Śátakarṇi was an Andhra king, and that his queen was the daughter of a Kshatrapa; 2nd, that Vāsishthaputra Śátakarṇi of Kánheri (No. 11) is the same person as Chaturapana Vāsishthaputra Śátakarṇi; 3rd, that his queen was the daughter of the Mahakshatrapa Rudra, the mother of Siriyána, and commonly called Gotamī, i.e. Gautamī; 4th, that the relationship of Rudra Dáman with the Dakshanapati was, on the above identifications, either through his son-in-law, Chaturapana, or through his grandson, Siriyána, or at least that Siriyána's mother was some near relation of Rudra Dáman's. According to these views the genealogical table given at p. 8 would read thus:—

¹ Madras Journal, vol. iv. n.s. p. 76.

² Bombay Journ. Roy. As. Soc. vol. xv. p. 273.

³ Plate ii. fig. 7, p. 233.

No. 26. Chaturapana Vāsishṭhiputra Śātakarṇi = Gautamī II., daughter of Mahakshatrapa Ru. . .

No. 27. Siriyāna Gautamīputra II. =

These identifications do not appear to me altogether satisfactory; but if they are admitted, they do not invalidate the theory before given, of the revolution that brought in the Andhrabhṛitya line, which may have been as well owing to the other causes there mooted, as to the Kshatrapa invasion; while the postponement of the success achieved by Rudra Dāman over his relative may be taken to have helped the catastrophe which soon after extinguished the Andhra line.

Although the last name in the pauranic list is Pulomat, for which there is no other authority, it is possible that the name Purisadatta, lately discovered by Dr. Burgess on the Jaggayyapetta stūpa, may have been that of a subsequent pretender of the Ikshvāhu race, endeavouring to maintain or resuscitate the name of the time-honoured line (Ind. Ant. vol. xi. p. 256; Dr. Bühler on Inscriptions from the Jaggayyapetta stūpa).

Perhaps also to this time, and to a similar connection with the Andhra Śātakarṇis, may be assigned that "Hāritiputa Śātakarṇi of the Vahnukaḍaḍutu (?) family," whose name is preserved in the Pāli inscription at Banawāsi (Arch. Survey, 1881, Ins. 14, p. 100).

[Sir Walter Elliot has asked me to describe such coins in this Southern series as chance to bear Nāgarī or North-Indian characters.—E.T.]



OBVERSE.

Head, the King with a close-fitting helmet, possibly swathed in muslin. The symbol of the Sauras or sun-worshippers is seen in the front.

सर यज्ञ सातकनस रजो गोतमि पुतस

Siri yaña śātakanisa Rājō Gotami-putasa

REVERSE.

The conventional Sāh device, but the Ujain symbol of the sun appears on the left.

सर यज्ञ सातकनस . . . नस गोतमि पुतस

Siri yaña śātakanisa . . . nasa Gotami-putasa

A critical difference may be detected in the style of the Hindī characters on the obverse and reverse. The former follow the forms of the square alphabet employed by the Andhras, the latter partake of several of the modifications incident to the Western style of writing, to be seen on the *Kshatrapa* Gīrnār inscription (Prinsep's Essays vol. ii. pl. xxxviii. pp. 40, 53), where the downstroke of the letters क *k*, ज *j*, र *r*, and the *u* in पु *pu*, are curled backwards, as in the legends of the Sāh coins proper. The formation of the स *s* also varies in a marked degree.

I am unable to follow Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl's reading of *Cha-tu-ra-pa-na-sa*. In the electrotpe before me, there does not appear to be space enough left for *four* conjectural letters in sequent order; but if we could be assured of his first suggestive reading of the initial consonant, there would be much reason to identify the missing name with चट्टन *Chasṭana*.

The coins of Nahapana and Chasṭana both display numismatic peculiarities which may have important bearing upon the age and location of the new type figured above. I therefore reproduce my previously-published readings of their coins, which I have tested

anew from the electrotypes supplied to me by Mr. Newton some years ago, as also from an earlier example of the coinage of Chashtana (No. B.).

Nahapána.

A. Silver. Weight 31 grains. *Trilingual.* Mr. Newton, late Bombay Civil Service.

OBVERSE.

King's head to the right, with rough hair, in free Scythic fashion, bound with a fillet. Very coarsely executed.

Legend, in imperfect Greek, with traces of the title — *τοΠΑΝΝΟΥΡΩΣ*. The suggestion for this somewhat hazardous reconstruction is derived from the parallel legend on Scythian coins of more northern sites, which run *ΤΥΠΑΝΟΥΝΤΟΣ*, etc.¹

REVERSE.

A broad-barbed arrow, and a crude definition of a thunderbolt.

Legend, in Bactrian-Páli characters, reading from the right, commencing below the point of the spear, *𑀭𑀸𑀓𑀸𑀓𑀸𑀓𑀸𑀓* *Nahapanasa*, following which, reading from the inside, but in the reverse direction, in Indian-Páli letters, *नहपनस* *Nahapanasa*.

Sir Walter Elliot has adverted, in previous pages, to the inscriptions of Nahapána found in Western India. Mr. Burgess has lately collected, in his *Archæological Reports*, all the most recent data on this subject, and likewise revised the earlier and less perfect transcripts and translations, so that we now seem to have all the available epigraphic evidence before us.

It appears that there are no less than seven inscriptions extant (at Násik, Junnar, and Karle) of this king, set up, on the one part, by his son-in-law and Viceroy, Ushavadatta (*Rishabhaddatta*²), the son of Dínika, "a *Śaka*" by race; and, on the other, by his daughter Dakshamitrá; and also, in one case, by "Ayama of the Vatsa-gotra, prime minister to the King, the great Satrap, the Lord Nahapána." He is ordinarily styled in these documents "the Kahaharáta king, Kshatrapa Nahapána," which designation of *Kshatrapa*³ or *Mahakshatrapa* was adopted by Chashtana and was continued as the Dynastic title of the succeeding Sáh kings of Surashtra. The most advanced date in Nahapána's Inscriptions is 46, defined simply as *ease*, "in the year," as if it referred to some well-established method of reckoning. If we test these figures by the Mauryan epoch of 312 B.C., or the parallel Seleucidan era of 311 B.C., we have the option of three resulting dates, under the Indian home system of omitting hundreds,⁴ viz.:

$$\text{B.C. } 312 - 100 = 212 - 46 = 166 \text{ B.C.}$$

$$\text{B.C. } 312 - 200 = 112 - 46 = 66 \text{ B.C.}$$

$$\text{B.C. } 312 - 300 = 12 - 46 = 34 \text{ A.D.}$$

The Scythian dates of Vasudeva, etc., from Mathura, gave a general return by the same process of reckoning—of B.C. 2 to 87 A.D. But I am not sure that I should not now prefer the previous century for both classes of rulers.

¹ J.R.A.S. vol. xv. n.s. p. 74; N.C. s.s. vol. xiv. p. 161.

² "Rishabha Devaji was the first Jain Tirthankara—the Prathama Jina."—Colebrooke's *Essays*, vol. ii. p. 187; *As. Res.* vol. ix. p. 305; *Indian Ant.* 1873, p. 134; *Vishnu Purāṇa*, ii. 104. See also the Sáh Inscriptions in the caves of Junágadh—Burgess's Report, 1874, p. 140, and the mention of "Kevalins"—as proving the Jaina influence in those parts.

³ The title of *Ξατράπης* "Chatraps," first appears in the Bactrian series, in the sub-Asas group. Prinsep, vol. ii. pp. 210-11; see also p. 223.

⁴ Bühler, *Rupnāth Inscr. Ind. Ant.* vol. vi. p. 149; Cunningham, *Arch. Reports*, vol. v. 1875, p. 181; vol. xiv. 1882, p. 122; *Bactrian Coins and Indian Dates*, J.R.A.S. vol. ix. n.s. p. 1; Burgess's Report, 1874-5, p. 32; Alhbirni-Reinaud, p. 145.

Chashtana.

B. Silver. Weight 34 grains. Col. Watson, Bombay Army.



OBSERVE.

King's head to the right, with flat (Spanish style of) cap, and well-defined features.

Legend, in Bactrian characters (?), only partially legible.

REVERSE.

The sun and the moon.

Legend.

श्री राज्ञो चवपस खमोतोक् पुत्र
*Śrī rajño Kshatrapasa Syamotika putrasa.*¹

On the left side, a Bactrian Ψ s.

It seems that he had not as yet claimed the title of मह *Maha* "great," prefixed to the *Kshatrapa* in No. C.

C. Silver. Weight 23 grains. Legends, in three different characters.

OBSERVE.

King's head to the right, with flat cap and well-executed profile. The secondary prototype of the Sâh Mint device.

Legend, in imperfect Greek, with apparent portions of the word— $\tau\psi\alpha\text{NN}\theta\epsilon\upsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$.

REVERSE.

A *chaitya*, *tope* or tumulus, composed of superimposed arches, with a demilune capital. Serpent below; above a well-defined boldly-rayed sun to the right, with a corresponding moon to the left.

Legend in Indian-Pâli—

रञ्ज महचवपस . . . तिकपुत्रस
Rajno mahakshatrapasa [Syamo]tika putrasa
 चटनस } repeated in { 𑀘𑀓𑀭𑀺𑀢𑀺𑀣𑀺
Chashtanasa } Bactrian Pâli. { *Chashtanasa*

The inscriptions bearing the name of Chashtana, though not contemporaneous, may prove of considerable value in determining the system of reckoning followed by his successors, the Sâh kings of Surashtra. We are still unable to fix with absolute precision the *starting* date-point, but the preference apparently remains with the wide-spread *Samvat Vikramâditya*, 57 B.C.²

¹ *Sayam* seems to have been a favourite Scythic name. It occurs in the series of Indo-Scythic coins with Hindi legends, lately published by me, of which the following is a full list, with the associate tribal subdivisions.

<i>Sakas</i>	—	—	—	—	Ma.
"	—	—	—	—	Pa.
"	—	—	—	—	Bhri.
"	—	—	—	—	Bha.
"	—	—	—	—	Sayam.
"	—	—	—	—	Senam.
<i>Kushans</i>	—	—	—	—	Kidara (Hodi?).
<i>Gadahas</i>	—	—	—	—	Kirda (Kardi?).
<i>Shayphis</i>	—	—	—	—	Bâsanam (Bastâni)
"	—	—	—	—	Bhadri.
<i>Mahis</i>	—	—	—	—	Pa.

—Indian Antiquary, Bombay, 1883, p. 6. The general type of this class of coins may be seen in Prinsep's *Essays*, plate xxx. figs. 16-20, and in J.R.A.S. o.s. vol. xii. plate vii. figs. 16-20.

² Prof. Max Müller, following-up Mr. Fergusson's suggestion (J.R.A.S. vol. xii. n.s. p. 271), has lately reiterated all the arguments tending to prove that the Vikramâditya Samvat of 56-7 B.C. was an after-invention, or in other words altogether unauthentic (Note G. "The Renaissance of Sanskrit Literature"). He has been answered from India, in the terms of his own challenge, "to show that something less than 600 of the Vikrama era" can be produced in documentary evidence,—in Mr. Fleet's article in the Indian Antiquary (Nov. 1883, p. 291), where it is demonstrated that the recorded dates of 421 and 486 on the Gurjara copper plates must clearly refer to the Vikramâditya era.

It is evident from the coincident tenour of the subjoined inscriptions, not only that we must reject the personal, or *quasi*-regnal date of 72, in favour of a dynastic or otherwise recognized era, which follows on, in the terms of the Jandan epigraph, to the similarly undefined year 127.

Any disturbance of these *written* dates, *inter se*, is happily disposed of, by the concurrent *figured* dates on these coins, which we can now read with some certainty, and which practically run on all fours with the more fully-defined lithic writing.

Inscription at Junāgaḍh.

This work (the embankment of the Sudarṣana lake) gave way on the 4th day of the dark fortnight of the month of Mārgaṣirsha of the 72nd year of Rājā Mahākshatrapa Rudra Daman, whose name is oft repeated by the great, the grandson of Mahākshatrapa Chashtapa of well-accepted (propitious) name . . . the son of . . ., etc. (Arch. Rep. 1874-5, p. 129; Indian Antiquary, 1878, p. 261.)

From the subsequent terms of the inscription, it would seem that this embankment had been previously repaired or extended by Pushya Gupta, on the part of the Great Maurya Rāja, CHANDRA GUPTA, and also subsequently by Tushaspa, "the Yavana Rāja of ASOKA Maurya."¹

The most important passage in this inscription, however, in relation to our present subject, is the reference to the Andhras, which is couched in the following terms:

"He who has obtained glory because he did not destroy Sātakarni, the lord of the Dekhan, on account of his near relationship, though he twice really conquered him." (Ind. Ant. 1878, p. 262.)

If we could rely upon the conjectural restoration of the name of Chashtapa on the coin at p. 25, it would throw much new light upon the family relations.

Inscription at Jandan.

In the year 127 Bhādrapada, dark-half 7 of the moon, this *Satra* (tank) of Rājā Mahākshatrapa Bhādrāmukha Swāmi Rudra Sena, the great-grandson of the son of Rājā Mahākshatrapa Chashtapa; the grandson of the son of Rājā Kshatrapa Swāmi Jayadāman, the grandson of Rājā Mahākshatrapa . . . Rudra Dāmā, (son of) Rājā Mahākshatrapa Bhādrāmukha Swāmi Rudra, etc. (Dr. Bhau Dāji, Journ. Bombay Branch Roy. As. Soc. vol. viii. p. 235.)

The subjoined list of Andhra coins, bearing legends in one of the first removes from the old *lāt* character of Asoka, was compiled by me, some years ago, with a view to its final insertion in the present work of Sir Walter Elliot. If there are but few additions to the general series, there are, as far as I am aware, equally few rectifications to be made in my original decipherments.

The Asoka Inscription at Ganjam, in the earliest *lāt* alphabet at present in evidence, prepared us for the use of a similar style of writing among a race, who passed on their way to southern conquests along the seaboard of the eastern coast, or along the traditional route of Rāma. And the near identity of the forms of the letters on the Andhra coins with

¹ Pandit Bhagvānlāl, in his revised translation (p. 257) of this inscription, refers to the apparent date of 72 of this King's reign, and adds: "But it seems altogether improbable that Rudradāman should have reigned for so long a time, and it is still less probable that he should have had a still longer reign. . . . It seems therefore necessary to assume, as has been done by

former translators of the inscription, that the figure of 72 refers not to the years of Rudradāman's reign, but to the era used on the Kshatrapa coins. This explanation is confirmed by the fact that the coins of Rudradāman's son, Rudrasimha, are dated between the years 102 and 117 of the same era."

the fixed prototypes of B.C. 250, rather suggests for the Dynasty itself an epoch earlier than has hitherto been conceded to them by modern inquirers.

This is an era of much importance in Indian history, which seems to be further elucidated by recent interpretations of the celebrated Aira inscription at Udayagiri¹ (sun-rise hill) in Cuttack, a new and revised translation of which has been contributed to the recent Oriental Congress at Leyden, in the following outline terms:—"It is sufficient here to mention a communication of the first importance made to the Aryan Section by Prof. Peterson, of Bombay, on behalf of Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl Indrajī. The Paṇḍit has succeeded in deciphering the well-known inscription of Udayagiri, formerly ascribed to King Aira or Vera, but which has hitherto baffled all attempts to read it. He shows that the real name of the king is Khārāvela, who ruled over Kalinga, and belonged to the Cheta family and to the Jain faith. The king gives a long account of his doings during thirty-eight years; and though some of the details still remain uncertain, it is clear that he mentions a Western (that is, Andhra) king Śātakani, and dates the extension of certain works at the close of 'the 165th year of the Maurya kings.'"

Assuming that the latter era dates from the *Abhishek* of Chandra Gupta, as accepted by the Jains, or 312 B.C., this would fix Khārāvela's public works in 147 B.C.²

No. 1. Lead. Size, the full 9 of Mionnet's scale. Weight, 220 grains.

OBVERSE.

A crudely-outlined representation of a primitive semicircular bow and broadly-barbed arrow.³

The broad arrow is rendered in an identical form at Udayagiri.

At Sanchi the arrows vary, and have no barbs.

REVERSE.

A *chaitya*, or typical form of a *tumulus*, formed of four rows of inverted semicircles surmounted by a half-moon;⁴ to the right, a sacred tree—an object alike of the simple adoration of the forest tribes, and afterwards of the more matured reverence of the Jainas and their imitators the Buddhists—with seven leaves or branches; at the foot, an oblong box-pedestal, within which is a free definition of a *serpent* in the form of a wavy line, with intervening dots.

Legend.

रजो मदारी पुतस सिवाल कुरस

Rājō Madārī-putasa Sivala-kurasa (kula).

¹ The first translation of this Inscription was made by Prinsep in 1837 (J.A.S.B. vol. vi. p. 1080), from eye facsimiles traced by that most accurate Palaeographer, Captain M. Kittoe. A revised translation was undertaken in 1877, by Babu Rajendra Mittra, from plaster impressions (J.A.S.B. 1877, vol. xlv. p. 165), who remarked on its general tenor as follows:—"The author of the record was one Aira, a usurper, who overthrew the dominion of an ancient king of Kalinga and, himself becoming the sovereign, repaired the city walls, etc. . . . The most important fact mentioned in the record was the overthrow, by this usurper, of King Nanda of Magadha, and this carried him back to the middle of the fourth century B.C. It was not distinctly stated which of the nine Nandas he overcame in battle; but assuming

the potentate meant to be the last of the line, the time would be a few years before the invasion of India, by Alexander the Great in 327 B.C." Since this was written, I have received from the Paṇḍit himself his article on the Nāsik caves, extracted from the Bombay Gazetteer. At p. 73 he gives some further notices of this Inscription.

² Wilford, *As. Res.* vol. ix. p. 173; Jacobi, *Kalpa Sūtra*, p. 8, 109; J.R.A.S. vol. xiii. n.s. p. 548.

³ The same typical form of bow and arrow occurs repeatedly on the earlier specimens of the ancient *punched* or *hall-marked* coins. Indian Weights, Numismata Orientalia, Part I. plate, fig. 12, etc., and the accompanying Plate I.

⁴ J.R.A.S. o.s. vol. xii. (1854), p. 1.

No. 2. Lead. Size, 7 of Mionnet's scale. Weight, 228 grains.

OBVERSE.

Device a rude strung bow and broadly-barbed arrow, ready set for use.

Legend.

राजो वासिठी पुतस विद्वाय कुरस

Rājō Vāsithi-putasa Vidavāya-kurasa.

REVERSE.

A conventional *chaitya* consisting of three layers of inverted semicircles with inner dots, surmounted by a *chakra* or wheel, perhaps the typical figure of the sun. To the left, a sacred tree with seven broad-spread leaves. At the foot, an oblong pedestal, in which is figured a serpent, the wavy intervals being filled in with dots.

I place this piece in the tentative list of the issue or descendants of Vāsithi,¹ earlier than those bearing the name of the children of Gautami, on strictly numismatic grounds.

No. 3. Lead. Size, 9 of Mionnet's scale. Weights range from 180 grains to 196. The execution of the dies is inferior to the preceding. Numerous specimens and examples are available.

OBVERSE.

The usual crude bow and arrow.

Legend.

राजो गौतमी पुतस विद्वाय कुरस

Rājō Gōtami-putasa Vidavāya-kurasa.

REVERSE.

Chaitya device as above, but the symbolic tree is attached to the main device, and rises directly from the end or outer upright line on the right of the pedestal.

Many of these coins are what is technically termed "double-struck," i.e. the dies of a successor or adverse contemporary have been repeated *over* the original impression, without any re-fashioning or possibly much re-softening of the metal of the piece itself.

These indications are often of much value in determining the relative priority of the conjoint rulers. In the present instance they distinctly authorize us to place the children or issue of Madāri before those of the more prolific or possibly more powerful ancestress Gautami.

The first of these coincidences is exemplified in No. 13 of the original plate iv., Bombay Branch Royal Asiatic Society, 1877, where the outer or natural edge of the piece retains a portion of the normal legend in the letters

तजो मदार पुतस

Rājō Madāri-putasa:

while the second impress of a new die, in its false centering, overlaps the lower surface with the letters of a broken legend in the words

कुरस राज गौतमी पुत

kurasa. Rājō Gōtami-puta . . .

To exemplify further the custom of renewed or revised impressions upon the fully issued or so to say current coin, I may add that in one case a piece of the Gautami-putras of type No. 3 has clearly had the identical legends of the original *obverse* re-struck or repeated over the obvious surface of the old *reverse*.

¹ यराम 'beauty,' ² ³ ⁴ ⁵ ⁶ ⁷ ⁸ ⁹ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹² ¹³ ¹⁴ ¹⁵ ¹⁶ ¹⁷ ¹⁸ ¹⁹ ²⁰ ²¹ ²² ²³ ²⁴ ²⁵ ²⁶ ²⁷ ²⁸ ²⁹ ³⁰ ³¹ ³² ³³ ³⁴ ³⁵ ³⁶ ³⁷ ³⁸ ³⁹ ⁴⁰ ⁴¹ ⁴² ⁴³ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵ ⁴⁶ ⁴⁷ ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹ ⁵⁰ ⁵¹ ⁵² ⁵³ ⁵⁴ ⁵⁵ ⁵⁶ ⁵⁷ ⁵⁸ ⁵⁹ ⁶⁰ ⁶¹ ⁶² ⁶³ ⁶⁴ ⁶⁵ ⁶⁶ ⁶⁷ ⁶⁸ ⁶⁹ ⁷⁰ ⁷¹ ⁷² ⁷³ ⁷⁴ ⁷⁵ ⁷⁶ ⁷⁷ ⁷⁸ ⁷⁹ ⁸⁰ ⁸¹ ⁸² ⁸³ ⁸⁴ ⁸⁵ ⁸⁶ ⁸⁷ ⁸⁸ ⁸⁹ ⁹⁰ ⁹¹ ⁹² ⁹³ ⁹⁴ ⁹⁵ ⁹⁶ ⁹⁷ ⁹⁸ ⁹⁹ ¹⁰⁰ ¹⁰¹ ¹⁰² ¹⁰³ ¹⁰⁴ ¹⁰⁵ ¹⁰⁶ ¹⁰⁷ ¹⁰⁸ ¹⁰⁹ ¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹ ¹¹² ¹¹³ ¹¹⁴ ¹¹⁵ ¹¹⁶ ¹¹⁷ ¹¹⁸ ¹¹⁹ ¹²⁰ ¹²¹ ¹²² ¹²³ ¹²⁴ ¹²⁵ ¹²⁶ ¹²⁷ ¹²⁸ ¹²⁹ ¹³⁰ ¹³¹ ¹³² ¹³³ ¹³⁴ ¹³⁵ ¹³⁶ ¹³⁷ ¹³⁸ ¹³⁹ ¹⁴⁰ ¹⁴¹ ¹⁴² ¹⁴³ ¹⁴⁴ ¹⁴⁵ ¹⁴⁶ ¹⁴⁷ ¹⁴⁸ ¹⁴⁹ ¹⁵⁰ ¹⁵¹ ¹⁵² ¹⁵³ ¹⁵⁴ ¹⁵⁵ ¹⁵⁶ ¹⁵⁷ ¹⁵⁸ ¹⁵⁹ ¹⁶⁰ ¹⁶¹ ¹⁶² ¹⁶³ ¹⁶⁴ ¹⁶⁵ ¹⁶⁶ ¹⁶⁷ ¹⁶⁸ ¹⁶⁹ ¹⁷⁰ ¹⁷¹ ¹⁷² ¹⁷³ ¹⁷⁴ ¹⁷⁵ ¹⁷⁶ ¹⁷⁷ ¹⁷⁸ ¹⁷⁹ ¹⁸⁰ ¹⁸¹ ¹⁸² ¹⁸³ ¹⁸⁴ ¹⁸⁵ ¹⁸⁶ ¹⁸⁷ ¹⁸⁸ ¹⁸⁹ ¹⁹⁰ ¹⁹¹ ¹⁹² ¹⁹³ ¹⁹⁴ ¹⁹⁵ ¹⁹⁶ ¹⁹⁷ ¹⁹⁸ ¹⁹⁹ ²⁰⁰ ²⁰¹ ²⁰² ²⁰³ ²⁰⁴ ²⁰⁵ ²⁰⁶ ²⁰⁷ ²⁰⁸ ²⁰⁹ ²¹⁰ ²¹¹ ²¹² ²¹³ ²¹⁴ ²¹⁵ ²¹⁶ ²¹⁷ ²¹⁸ ²¹⁹ ²²⁰ ²²¹ ²²² ²²³ ²²⁴ ²²⁵ ²²⁶ ²²⁷ ²²⁸ ²²⁹ ²³⁰ ²³¹ ²³² ²³³ ²³⁴ ²³⁵ ²³⁶ ²³⁷ ²³⁸ ²³⁹ ²⁴⁰ ²⁴¹ ²⁴² ²⁴³ ²⁴⁴ ²⁴⁵ ²⁴⁶ ²⁴⁷ ²⁴⁸ ²⁴⁹ ²⁵⁰ ²⁵¹ ²⁵² ²⁵³ ²⁵⁴ ²⁵⁵ ²⁵⁶ ²⁵⁷ ²⁵⁸ ²⁵⁹ ²⁶⁰ ²⁶¹ ²⁶² ²⁶³ ²⁶⁴ ²⁶⁵ ²⁶⁶ ²⁶⁷ ²⁶⁸ ²⁶⁹ ²⁷⁰ ²⁷¹ ²⁷² ²⁷³ ²⁷⁴ ²⁷⁵ ²⁷⁶ ²⁷⁷ ²⁷⁸ ²⁷⁹ ²⁸⁰ ²⁸¹ ²⁸² ²⁸³ ²⁸⁴ ²⁸⁵ ²⁸⁶ ²⁸⁷ ²⁸⁸ ²⁸⁹ ²⁹⁰ ²⁹¹ ²⁹² ²⁹³ ²⁹⁴ ²⁹⁵ ²⁹⁶ ²⁹⁷ ²⁹⁸ ²⁹⁹ ³⁰⁰ ³⁰¹ ³⁰² ³⁰³ ³⁰⁴ ³⁰⁵ ³⁰⁶ ³⁰⁷ ³⁰⁸ ³⁰⁹ ³¹⁰ ³¹¹ ³¹² ³¹³ ³¹⁴ ³¹⁵ ³¹⁶ ³¹⁷ ³¹⁸ ³¹⁹ ³²⁰ ³²¹ ³²² ³²³ ³²⁴ ³²⁵ ³²⁶ ³²⁷ ³²⁸ ³²⁹ ³³⁰ ³³¹ ³³² ³³³ ³³⁴ ³³⁵ ³³⁶ ³³⁷ ³³⁸ ³³⁹ ³⁴⁰ ³⁴¹ ³⁴² ³⁴³ ³⁴⁴ ³⁴⁵ ³⁴⁶ ³⁴⁷ ³⁴⁸ ³⁴⁹ ³⁵⁰ ³⁵¹ ³⁵² ³⁵³ ³⁵⁴ ³⁵⁵ ³⁵⁶ ³⁵⁷ ³⁵⁸ ³⁵⁹ ³⁶⁰ ³⁶¹ ³⁶² ³⁶³ ³⁶⁴ ³⁶⁵ ³⁶⁶ ³⁶⁷ ³⁶⁸ ³⁶⁹ ³⁷⁰ ³⁷¹ ³⁷² ³⁷³ ³⁷⁴ ³⁷⁵ ³⁷⁶ ³⁷⁷ ³⁷⁸ ³⁷⁹ ³⁸⁰ ³⁸¹ ³⁸² ³⁸³ ³⁸⁴ ³⁸⁵ ³⁸⁶ ³⁸⁷ ³⁸⁸ ³⁸⁹ ³⁹⁰ ³⁹¹ ³⁹² ³⁹³ ³⁹⁴ ³⁹⁵ ³⁹⁶ ³⁹⁷ ³⁹⁸ ³⁹⁹ ⁴⁰⁰ ⁴⁰¹ ⁴⁰² ⁴⁰³ ⁴⁰⁴ ⁴⁰⁵ ⁴⁰⁶ ⁴⁰⁷ ⁴⁰⁸ ⁴⁰⁹ ⁴¹⁰ ⁴¹¹ ⁴¹² ⁴¹³ ⁴¹⁴ ⁴¹⁵ ⁴¹⁶ ⁴¹⁷ ⁴¹⁸ ⁴¹⁹ ⁴²⁰ ⁴²¹ ⁴²² ⁴²³ ⁴²⁴ ⁴²⁵ ⁴²⁶ ⁴²⁷ ⁴²⁸ ⁴²⁹ ⁴³⁰ ⁴³¹ ⁴³² ⁴³³ ⁴³⁴ ⁴³⁵ ⁴³⁶ ⁴³⁷ ⁴³⁸ ⁴³⁹ ⁴⁴⁰ ⁴⁴¹ ⁴⁴² ⁴⁴³ ⁴⁴⁴ ⁴⁴⁵ ⁴⁴⁶ ⁴⁴⁷ ⁴⁴⁸ ⁴⁴⁹ ⁴⁵⁰ ⁴⁵¹ ⁴⁵² ⁴⁵³ ⁴⁵⁴ ⁴⁵⁵ ⁴⁵⁶ ⁴⁵⁷ ⁴⁵⁸ ⁴⁵⁹ ⁴⁶⁰ ⁴⁶¹ ⁴⁶² ⁴⁶³ ⁴⁶⁴ ⁴⁶⁵ ⁴⁶⁶ ⁴⁶⁷ ⁴⁶⁸ ⁴⁶⁹ ⁴⁷⁰ ⁴⁷¹ ⁴⁷² ⁴⁷³ ⁴⁷⁴ ⁴⁷⁵ ⁴⁷⁶ ⁴⁷⁷ ⁴⁷⁸ ⁴⁷⁹ ⁴⁸⁰ ⁴⁸¹ ⁴⁸² ⁴⁸³ ⁴⁸⁴ ⁴⁸⁵ ⁴⁸⁶ ⁴⁸⁷ ⁴⁸⁸ ⁴⁸⁹ ⁴⁹⁰ ⁴⁹¹ ⁴⁹² ⁴⁹³ ⁴⁹⁴ ⁴⁹⁵ ⁴⁹⁶ ⁴⁹⁷ ⁴⁹⁸ ⁴⁹⁹ ⁵⁰⁰ ⁵⁰¹ ⁵⁰² ⁵⁰³ ⁵⁰⁴ ⁵⁰⁵ ⁵⁰⁶ ⁵⁰⁷ ⁵⁰⁸ ⁵⁰⁹ ⁵¹⁰ ⁵¹¹ ⁵¹² ⁵¹³ ⁵¹⁴ ⁵¹⁵ ⁵¹⁶ ⁵¹⁷ ⁵¹⁸ ⁵¹⁹ ⁵²⁰ ⁵²¹ ⁵²² ⁵²³ ⁵²⁴ ⁵²⁵ ⁵²⁶ ⁵²⁷ ⁵²⁸ ⁵²⁹ ⁵³⁰ ⁵³¹ ⁵³² ⁵³³ ⁵³⁴ ⁵³⁵ ⁵³⁶ ⁵³⁷ ⁵³⁸ ⁵³⁹ ⁵⁴⁰ ⁵⁴¹ ⁵⁴² ⁵⁴³ ⁵⁴⁴ ⁵⁴⁵ ⁵⁴⁶ ⁵⁴⁷ ⁵⁴⁸ ⁵⁴⁹ ⁵⁵⁰ ⁵⁵¹ ⁵⁵² ⁵⁵³ ⁵⁵⁴ ⁵⁵⁵ ⁵⁵⁶ ⁵⁵⁷ ⁵⁵⁸ ⁵⁵⁹ ⁵⁶⁰ ⁵⁶¹ ⁵⁶² ⁵⁶³ ⁵⁶⁴ ⁵⁶⁵ ⁵⁶⁶ ⁵⁶⁷ ⁵⁶⁸ ⁵⁶⁹ ⁵⁷⁰ ⁵⁷¹ ⁵⁷² ⁵⁷³ ⁵⁷⁴ ⁵⁷⁵ ⁵⁷⁶ ⁵⁷⁷ ⁵⁷⁸ ⁵⁷⁹ ⁵⁸⁰ ⁵⁸¹ ⁵⁸² ⁵⁸³ ⁵⁸⁴ ⁵⁸⁵ ⁵⁸⁶ ⁵⁸⁷ ⁵⁸⁸ ⁵⁸⁹ ⁵⁹⁰ ⁵⁹¹ ⁵⁹² ⁵⁹³ ⁵⁹⁴ ⁵⁹⁵ ⁵⁹⁶ ⁵⁹⁷ ⁵⁹⁸ ⁵⁹⁹ ⁶⁰⁰ ⁶⁰¹ ⁶⁰² ⁶⁰³ ⁶⁰⁴ ⁶⁰⁵ ⁶⁰⁶ ⁶⁰⁷ ⁶⁰⁸ ⁶⁰⁹ ⁶¹⁰ ⁶¹¹ ⁶¹² ⁶¹³ ⁶¹⁴ ⁶¹⁵ ⁶¹⁶ ⁶¹⁷ ⁶¹⁸ ⁶¹⁹ ⁶²⁰ ⁶²¹ ⁶²² ⁶²³ ⁶²⁴ ⁶²⁵ ⁶²⁶ ⁶²⁷ ⁶²⁸ ⁶²⁹ ⁶³⁰ ⁶³¹ ⁶³² ⁶³³ ⁶³⁴ ⁶³⁵ ⁶³⁶ ⁶³⁷ ⁶³⁸ ⁶³⁹ ⁶⁴⁰ ⁶⁴¹ ⁶⁴² ⁶⁴³ ⁶⁴⁴ ⁶⁴⁵ ⁶⁴⁶ ⁶⁴⁷ ⁶⁴⁸ ⁶⁴⁹ ⁶⁵⁰ ⁶⁵¹ ⁶⁵² ⁶⁵³ ⁶⁵⁴ ⁶⁵⁵ ⁶⁵⁶ ⁶⁵⁷ ⁶⁵⁸ ⁶⁵⁹ ⁶⁶⁰ ⁶⁶¹ ⁶⁶² ⁶⁶³ ⁶⁶⁴ ⁶⁶⁵ ⁶⁶⁶ ⁶⁶⁷ ⁶⁶⁸ ⁶⁶⁹ ⁶⁷⁰ ⁶⁷¹ ⁶⁷² ⁶⁷³ ⁶⁷⁴ ⁶⁷⁵ ⁶⁷⁶ ⁶⁷⁷ ⁶⁷⁸ ⁶⁷⁹ ⁶⁸⁰ ⁶⁸¹ ⁶⁸² ⁶⁸³ ⁶⁸⁴ ⁶⁸⁵ ⁶⁸⁶ ⁶⁸⁷ ⁶⁸⁸ ⁶⁸⁹ ⁶⁹⁰ ⁶⁹¹ ⁶⁹² ⁶⁹³ ⁶⁹⁴ ⁶⁹⁵ ⁶⁹⁶ ⁶⁹⁷ ⁶⁹⁸ ⁶⁹⁹ ⁷⁰⁰ ⁷⁰¹ ⁷⁰² ⁷⁰³ ⁷⁰⁴ ⁷⁰⁵ ⁷⁰⁶ ⁷⁰⁷ ⁷⁰⁸ ⁷⁰⁹ ⁷¹⁰ ⁷¹¹ ⁷¹² ⁷¹³ ⁷¹⁴ ⁷¹⁵ ⁷¹⁶ ⁷¹⁷ ⁷¹⁸ ⁷¹⁹ ⁷²⁰ ⁷²¹ ⁷²² ⁷²³ ⁷²⁴ ⁷²⁵ ⁷²⁶ ⁷²⁷ ⁷²⁸ ⁷²⁹ ⁷³⁰ ⁷³¹ ⁷³² ⁷³³ ⁷³⁴ ⁷³⁵ ⁷³⁶ ⁷³⁷ ⁷³⁸ ⁷³⁹ ⁷⁴⁰ ⁷⁴¹ ⁷⁴² ⁷⁴³ ⁷⁴⁴ ⁷⁴⁵ ⁷⁴⁶ ⁷⁴⁷ ⁷⁴⁸ ⁷⁴⁹ ⁷⁵⁰ ⁷⁵¹ ⁷⁵² ⁷⁵³ ⁷⁵⁴ ⁷⁵⁵ ⁷⁵⁶ ⁷⁵⁷ ⁷⁵⁸ ⁷⁵⁹ ⁷⁶⁰ ⁷⁶¹ ⁷⁶² ⁷⁶³ ⁷⁶⁴ ⁷⁶⁵ ⁷⁶⁶ ⁷⁶⁷ ⁷⁶⁸ ⁷⁶⁹ ⁷⁷⁰ ⁷⁷¹ ⁷⁷² ⁷⁷³ ⁷⁷⁴ ⁷⁷⁵ ⁷⁷⁶ ⁷⁷⁷ ⁷⁷⁸ ⁷⁷⁹ ⁷⁸⁰ ⁷⁸¹ ⁷⁸² ⁷⁸³ ⁷⁸⁴ ⁷⁸⁵ ⁷⁸⁶ ⁷⁸⁷ ⁷⁸⁸ ⁷⁸⁹ ⁷⁹⁰ ⁷⁹¹ ⁷⁹² ⁷⁹³ ⁷⁹⁴ ⁷⁹⁵ ⁷⁹⁶ ⁷⁹⁷ ⁷⁹⁸ ⁷⁹⁹ ⁸⁰⁰ ⁸⁰¹ ⁸⁰² ⁸⁰³ ⁸⁰⁴ ⁸⁰⁵ ⁸⁰⁶ ⁸⁰⁷ ⁸⁰⁸ ⁸⁰⁹ ⁸¹⁰ ⁸¹¹ ⁸¹² ⁸¹³ ⁸¹⁴ ⁸¹⁵ ⁸¹⁶ ⁸¹⁷ ⁸¹⁸ ⁸¹⁹ ⁸²⁰ ⁸²¹ ⁸²² ⁸²³ ⁸²⁴ ⁸²⁵ ⁸²⁶ ⁸²⁷ ⁸²⁸ ⁸²⁹ ⁸³⁰ ⁸³¹ ⁸³² ⁸³³ ⁸³⁴ ⁸³⁵ ⁸³⁶ ⁸³⁷ ⁸³⁸ ⁸³⁹ ⁸⁴⁰ ⁸⁴¹ ⁸⁴² ⁸⁴³ ⁸⁴⁴ ⁸⁴⁵ ⁸⁴⁶ ⁸⁴⁷ ⁸⁴⁸ ⁸⁴⁹ ⁸⁵⁰ ⁸⁵¹ ⁸⁵² ⁸⁵³ ⁸⁵⁴ ⁸⁵⁵ ⁸⁵⁶ ⁸⁵⁷ ⁸⁵⁸ ⁸⁵⁹ ⁸⁶⁰ ⁸⁶¹ ⁸⁶² ⁸⁶³ ⁸⁶⁴ ⁸⁶⁵ ⁸⁶⁶ ⁸⁶⁷ ⁸⁶⁸ ⁸⁶⁹ ⁸⁷⁰ ⁸⁷¹ ⁸⁷² ⁸⁷³ ⁸⁷⁴ ⁸⁷⁵ ⁸⁷⁶ ⁸⁷⁷ ⁸⁷⁸ ⁸⁷⁹ ⁸⁸⁰ ⁸⁸¹ ⁸⁸² ⁸⁸³ ⁸⁸⁴ ⁸⁸⁵ ⁸⁸⁶ ⁸⁸⁷ ⁸⁸⁸ ⁸⁸⁹ ⁸⁹⁰ ⁸⁹¹ ⁸⁹² ⁸⁹³ ⁸⁹⁴ ⁸⁹⁵ ⁸⁹⁶ ⁸⁹⁷ ⁸⁹⁸ ⁸⁹⁹ ⁹⁰⁰ ⁹⁰¹ ⁹⁰² ⁹⁰³ ⁹⁰⁴ ⁹⁰⁵ ⁹⁰⁶ ⁹⁰⁷ ⁹⁰⁸ ⁹⁰⁹ ⁹¹⁰ ⁹¹¹ ⁹¹² ⁹¹³ ⁹¹⁴ ⁹¹⁵ ⁹¹⁶ ⁹¹⁷ ⁹¹⁸ ⁹¹⁹ ⁹²⁰ ⁹²¹ ⁹²² ⁹²³ ⁹²⁴ ⁹²⁵ ⁹²⁶ ⁹²⁷ ⁹²⁸ ⁹²⁹ ⁹³⁰ ⁹³¹ ⁹³² ⁹³³ ⁹³⁴ ⁹³⁵ ⁹³⁶ ⁹³⁷ ⁹³⁸ ⁹³⁹ ⁹⁴⁰ ⁹⁴¹ ⁹⁴² ⁹⁴³ ⁹⁴⁴ ⁹⁴⁵ ⁹⁴⁶ ⁹⁴⁷ ⁹⁴⁸ ⁹⁴⁹ ⁹⁵⁰ ⁹⁵¹ ⁹⁵² ⁹⁵³ ⁹⁵⁴ ⁹⁵⁵ ⁹⁵⁶ ⁹⁵⁷ ⁹⁵⁸ ⁹⁵⁹ ⁹⁶⁰ ⁹⁶¹ ⁹⁶² ⁹⁶³ ⁹⁶⁴ ⁹⁶⁵ ⁹⁶⁶ ⁹⁶⁷ ⁹⁶⁸ ⁹⁶⁹ ⁹⁷⁰ ⁹⁷¹ ⁹⁷² ⁹⁷³ ⁹⁷⁴ ⁹⁷⁵ ⁹⁷⁶ ⁹⁷⁷ ⁹⁷⁸ ⁹⁷⁹ ⁹⁸⁰ ⁹⁸¹ ⁹⁸² ⁹⁸³ ⁹⁸⁴ ⁹⁸⁵ ⁹⁸⁶ ⁹⁸⁷ ⁹⁸⁸ ⁹⁸⁹ ⁹⁹⁰ ⁹⁹¹ ⁹⁹² ⁹⁹³ ⁹⁹⁴ ⁹⁹⁵ ⁹⁹⁶ ⁹⁹⁷ ⁹⁹⁸ ⁹⁹⁹ ¹⁰⁰⁰

It is difficult to determine by what process this was effected, as Sir W. Elliot has a very sharp and perfect specimen of coin No. 3, which shows indubitable signs of having been *cast*—the marks of the moulds are set irregularly at the edges, and two definite orifices have been left at the sides to receive the metal, the superfluous quantity of which still adheres to the piece.

General Pearse has lately acquired a group of five coins which seem to connect themselves in a measure with the above pieces, in the metal and general appearance of the joint issues, in the retention of the same forms of letters, and in a certain degree of the character of the devices themselves.

The new coins, however, bear strange names, and cannot strictly be classed with the Andhra series, but rather seem to belong to some potentates who succeeded to a section of the dominions of that race as they made their way onwards to the Western coast.

No. a. Lead. Weight, 250 grains. Plate II. *infra*.

OBVERSE.

A chaitya with two rows of invented semicircles at the base, and a larger open or cupola arch above.

Legend.

राज मदेन दस
*Raño Madenna dasa.*¹

REVERSE.

A sacred tree, in a four-square pedestal, or pot, with curious devices at the sides.

No. b. Lead. Weight, 278 grains.


OBVERSE.

Central device similar to No. a above.

Legend.

राज वदक देन दस
*Raño Vadaka denna dasa.*²

REVERSE.

Device, in the first instances, similar to the above. Later examples complicate the pedestal or box below the tree into twelve squares, and enlarge the size of the  symbol, which is transferred from the left to a more prominent position on the right of the central device.

No. 4. Copper. *Small Coins*. Size, 4 of Mionnet's scale. Weight, 28 grains.

OBVERSE.

Archaic bow and arrow.

Legend.

सत्रो वास . . . तस विदवाय कुरस
Raño Vāsi[tho-pu]tasa Vidavāya-kurasa.

REVERSE.

Chaitya, with the sacred tree represented as growing on the apex or summit.

¹ *Mā* is one of the titles of *Lakṣmī*, Vishṇu Purāṇa, Hall's edition, vol. v. p. 387. *Dasa* looks like a form of Hindi *دینا* 'to give,' the Marathi *देणें* *denan* means 'a gift'—and *Dasa* (= *Dāsa*, *Dosa*) 'a slave.' In S. India *Dasa* implies 'a wor-

² In one instance the ' or *anusvāra* is repeated over the *न*. *Vada* forms part of the name of Vadaśrī, p. 8, and in the coin a, above; it is stated, in the Vishṇu Purāṇa, "to be a Veda of the Magas or Heliolaters in Śāka-dwīpa." Hall's ed. vol. v. p. 383.

In the field of one specimen, a monogram possibly composed of the letters तच्चा *tachā* or तच्चा *tavā*; on the other example, a letter like a Chaldaean-Pehlvi \mathfrak{M} , \mathfrak{N} (a).¹

No. 5. Lead. Size, 7. Weight, 230 grains.

OBVERSE.

Device similar in some respects to the reverse of No. 1, but the *chaitya* or tumulus in this case is solid, surmounted with the usual half-moon, while the standard tree is replaced by a conch shell, the favourite symbol of Nemi, the 22nd Jaina *Tirthankara*, and equally of the Hindú god Vishnu, balanced on the other side of the field by a lotus or water-lily, the type of the 21st Jaina. The conventional serpent appears at the foot, but free and clear of the main device.

Legend.

रञ्जो गौतमी पुतस सिरि यन् सतकणस

Raño Gotamī-putasa Siri Yaṇa Satakapaṇa.

In the last and succeeding Numismatic instances male rulers seem to have re-asserted their rights of kingship, while still in a measure recognizing the traditional law of the supremacy of maternity. So that the gradations, in this instance, seem to have followed a. the Scythic female head of the camp; b. the ruling warrior king. Ultimately, the Sáh kings—who affected patronymics—progressed into, or more probably reverted back to local Republicanism.²

No. 6. Lead. Size, 5. Weight, 86 grains.

OBVERSE.

Small *chaitya*, with three inverted semicircles, and free serpent at the foot.

Legend.

रञ्जो वासिष्ठ पुतस सर यस्तस

Raño Vasiṣṭha-putasa Siri Yasatasa (No. 7a?)

¹ See Journal Royal Asiatic Society, n.s. vol. iii. (1865), p. 264. It may be as well to add that the occurrence of such a letter on the local coinage need not necessarily reduce the age of the pieces so inscribed to the modern limits assigned to extant Pehlvi inscriptions. The letters of these alphabets are found on very early specimens of the Parthian coinage.

² See Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. vii. plate lxi; Numismata Orientalia, 'Ancient Indian Weights,' part i. plate, figs. 5, 6. Dr. Schliemann, in his work on Mycenae, enlarges upon the identity of the Greek *Triglyph* with the Indian *Svastika* cross, and there is also a singular approach to this circular Indian design in many of the patterns found on his buttons or *whorls*, the only appreciable difference consisting of the centre dot, which fills in the space between the four circles in the latter. See Nos. 423, 404, 406, 411, etc. A great variety of the forms of the *Ujjain* pattern may be seen in vol. vii. plate lxi. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, and a series of many cognate

REVERSE.

Four leading circles at right angles, each composed of a central dot and two concentric outer circles, the upper pair and lower pair are severally connected by a semicircular line. This combination, varied by the junction of the four balls of a simple cross, is conventionally recognized as the Ujjain symbol, to which reference has already been made.²

REVERSE.

The conventional Ujjain symbol.

devices are figured in General Cunningham's *Bhilsa Topes* (1854), pl. xxxi. xxxii. The *Svastika* device, apart from its use as a running pattern, was not, however, limited either to Eastern or Western acceptations, as may be seen in the examples in Fabretti's work on Etruscan Antiquities, 1st Supplement, plate iii. Nos. 29, 30; 3rd Supplement, plate xxix. fig. 38; and on vases in the British Museum.—[Since this was written, I have gone more fully into the question of Indian religious symbols—both in the pages of the *Indian Antiquary* (March—May, 1880) and in a more comprehensive article on 'The Indian *Svastika* and its Western Counterparts,' in the *London Numismatic Chronicle*, vol. xi. n.s. pp. 18-43. Dr. Schliemann (*Ilios*, 1880, p. 363) adopted my views implicitly, and they are found to accord with the deductions independently obtained by our prominent Danish Numismatic authority—Dr. Ludwig Muller.]

² J.R.A.S. vol. xii. o.s. p. 39, and vol. i. n.s. pp. 458-471.

SIMILAR COINS—VARIANTS OF No. 6.

No. 7. One coin of this class gives distinctly the letters **सिवसर** *Sivasara*, No. 24 of the Purāṇik list at page 8, which reading is further confirmed by one of Mr. Sewell's specimens, which contributes the outlines of the letters—

.. तस सिवसर
Pu tana Sivasira.

No. 7a.

Legend.—..... सठ पुतस सिरि वदसतस

Raño Vasīṭha-putasa Siri Vadasatasa. Vadaṣṛi or Chāḍa, No. 28 of the Purāṇik list, p. 8.

No. 7b of Mr. Sewell's collection reproduces, in a more definite form, the imperfect specimen of Sir W. Elliot's plate xi. 100, and retains in legible letters the name of Pudumavi, No. 29, list, p. 8.

रञ वसठपुत युमवस
Raño Vasīṭha-puta Pugumavasa.

No. 8. Lead. Size, $4\frac{1}{2}$ Mionnet's scale.

ONVERSE.

A well-executed figure of an *elephant*, to the left.

Legend.

रञो गोतमी पुतस सिरि यञ सतक
Raño Gotamī-putasa Siri Yaṇa Satakanasa.

REVERSE.

The usual four double rings joined by a cross. Some examples add a *Swastika* on the obverse field, to the right of the *chaitya*.

No. 9. Lead. Size, 4. Weight, 70 grains.

ONVERSE.

A boldly sunk die bearing a well-designed figure of a *horse* to the left.

Legend.

रञो गोतमी पुतस सिरि यञ स
Raño Gotamī-putasa Siri Yaṇa sa . .

REVERSE.

The conventional Ujjain symbol.

No. 10. Copper or bronze. Size 4. Weight (average), 35 grains.

ONVERSE.

A well-outlined figure of an *elephant* in free form, with trunk erect; without trappings.

Legend.

सिरि सतकणि
Siri Satakanipi.

REVERSE.

Four single circles joined by cross lines.

No. 11. *Variant.* The *elephant* is decorated with rich head-gear.

यज्ञ सतक

Yajña Sataka.

N.B.—The forms of the letters of the legends clearly indicate that these two coins, as well as those which follow, belong to a later date than the specimens previously described.

No. 12. Lead. Size, 6. Weight, 133 grains.

OBVERSE.

A well-executed figure of a *horse* to the right, with a half-moon in the field above.

Legend.

राज्ञ य सतकणस

Rājña G(otami-patasa) Satakapaṇasa.

REVERSE.

Device indistinguishable.

No. 12a. New coin, Mr. Sewell. Size, 5. Weight, 112 grains. From Gudivāḍa.

OBVERSE.

Horse to right.

Legend.

अ सिरि चद . .

(Ra)ñño Siri Cha(n)da.

REVERSE.

The Ujjain symbol.

No. 13. Lead. Size, 3. Weight, 35 grains. Two specimens.

OBVERSE.

A crude figure of an *elephant* to the left.

Legend.

सरिवण *Sarivaṇa* or *Salivaṇa*, perhaps a repetition of the name, but not necessarily indicative of the personality of the great monarch.

REVERSE.

The Ujjain symbol.

No. 14. Lead. Similar coins. Three specimens.

Legend—सर रुद

Siri Ruda.

The रु is on one occasion given as रु, and the R, if required for *Rudra*, has to be supplied to the existing context.

PART I. SECTION II.

COROMANDEL COAST DIE COINS.

(a) KURUMBARS. (b) PALLAVAS.

Along the Coromandel Coast, from Nellore as far South as Cuddalore and Pondicherry, a class of thin copper die-struck coins occurs, which, although not directly connected with the Andhra type, may be appropriately considered next. They are found in considerable numbers in or near dunes and sand-knolls in the vicinity of the *kupams*, or fishing hamlets that stud the shore, together with Roman oboli, perforated Chinese coins, bits of lead and other metal, beads, fragments of charcoal, etc.

These are collected by the wives and children of the fishermen, after gales of wind or heavy rains, and purchased from them by the itinerant pedlars, called Labis and Merkayars, in exchange for useful necessities, by whom they are sold to braziers and coppersmiths. The discovery of articles of this description in such localities indicates the existence of a considerable maritime trade in former times, probably during the first four or five centuries of the Christian era.

The Roman coins are all of the smallest value, and are generally worn smooth, but on two or three the names of Valentinian and Eudocia have been read.

Gold coins of earlier dates, found in Nellore, Madura, Cuddapah, etc.,¹ may have come by land from the opposite coast, where intercourse with the West was more frequent, and Roman relics are more numerous; but these poor copper pieces could only have been dropped by mariners and traders frequenting the places where they now lie.

Those of native origin are small, irregularly rounded pieces of thin copper, bearing generally the device of a bull, with occasionally some letters in the Cave-character on the obverse; and on the reverse a tree, ship, star, crab, fish, etc. But their most remarkable characteristic is the elegance and delicacy of form with which the animals are designed, indicating a considerable advance in art; and in this respect contrasting favourably, both with the Andhra money on the one side, and with the Chola and Pandyan currencies on the other.

¹ Madras Journal Literature and Science, vol. xiii. p. 214; other finds have been recorded on the Malabar or Western Coast, Coimbatore, Sholapore, etc.

In addition to these, a few specimens of another description, which apparently belong to this series, have come to my notice, but they are very rare. They are between the size of a sixpence and a shilling, rather thicker, of billon or some white metal containing tin, and bear on the obverse, some the figure of a bull, others of a horse, of the same elegant design as those of the copper. The only two I ever possessed had the reverse plain.

Colonel Frederick Clerk had three or four of the same description, with one or two letters like those on the copper. My own specimens have, unfortunately, been lost, and Colonel Clerk's were dispersed at a sale shortly before his death,¹ and I have failed in all my endeavours to trace them.

In vain do we look for some independent power with which to identify this class on sure grounds, or even with any tolerable show of probability. Nothing is known of any dynasty or paramount ruler in that part of India, anterior to the time when the Chola princes began their career of conquest about the eighth century, which made them masters of great part of the South of India, and culminated in the overthrow of the eastern Chalukya kingdom in the twelfth.

There were, it is true, two smaller states enjoying a certain independent existence in the Arcot district during the period to which these coins must be assigned, which deserve a passing notice. These were:—

(a) An aboriginal or very early pastoral race, living in associated communities, under the general name of Kurumbars.

(b) A Pallava principality seated at Conjeveram.

(a) THE KURUMBARs.

For some hundred years before the seventh century the country, from the base of the table-land to the Pálar and Pennár rivers, was occupied by a section of the pastoral race, traditionally designated as Kurumbars, of whom little is known.

Fragmentary notices of their social organization, and the ultimate fate of that part of them with which we are now concerned, may be gathered from Mr. F. W. Ellis' Essay on Land Tenures,² and from traditionary statements preserved in the McKenzie Collection of MSS. They appear to have formed a sort of Confederate State, "under chiefs of their own, each of whom resided in a fortified stronghold, having a district of greater or less extent under its jurisdiction, denominated a *kóttam* (from *kóttai*, a fort or castle), the largest of which was recognized as the head of the Union. Of the *kóttams* there were twenty-four,

¹ On Tuesday, July 29th, 1873. In Sotheby, Wilkinson, and Hodge's Catalogue, p. 27.

² Replies to Seventeen Questions relative to Mirasi Rights, and with two Appendices, etc. Folio. Madras, 1818.

Catalogue Raisonné of Oriental MSS. in the Government

Library, by the Rev. W. Taylor, 3 vol. 8vo. Madras, 1857-1862. Also Second Report of Progress made in the examination of the Mackenzie MSS. etc., by the Rev. W. Taylor, in Madras Journ. Lit. and Science, vol. vii. Madras, 1838.

each consisting of one or more *nāḍus* or parishes, and each *nāḍu* subdivided into several *nattams* or townships.

They are farther stated to have been engaged in trade, and to have owned ships, and carried on a considerable commerce by sea. Their wealth attracted the cupidity of their neighbours, and they suffered from hostile inroads, but defended themselves with courage and success, till at length, about the eighth or ninth century, they were attacked by an army, under a general named *Āḍoṇḍai* or *Tondamān*, the son (illegitimate according to some) or brother of the ruler of *Cholamandalam*. He encountered an obstinate resistance, but after sustaining some reverses, subdued the whole province, and incorporated it with the *Chola* territories, changing the name to *Tondamandalam*. The fierceness of the struggle was probably intensified by religious hatred; the one side being *Jainas*, while their conquerors were votaries of *Siva*. The *Kurumbar* were so completely exterminated, that it became necessary to replace them by introducing an agricultural colony from a distance.

Ellis, following the accounts handed down by their enemies, and preserved in *Tamil* narrative, represents them as a "pastoral, half-savage tribe," and again as a "Nomadic race"; whilst the native writers paint them as crafty, cruel and tyrannical.¹ But such characteristics, assigned on the testimony of their enemies, are incompatible with an organized social system having territorial institutions, of which no similar examples are found in the adjacent countries, and dwellings defended by permanently fortified castles, the ruined sites of which are still shown. Moreover, there is reason to believe that they had some knowledge of metallurgy, and were acquainted with the use of bronze, implements of which metal are occasionally found. It is also expressly stated that *Āḍoṇḍai* transported the bronze gates found in the capital of the Confederacy at *Pural*² to ornament the great temple at *Tanjore*.

The attainment of this degree of civilization may be accounted for by the circumstance of the *Kurumbar* being *Jains*—a sect prevailing from a very early period in the South, and probably also throughout other parts of India. Judging from the remains that have survived the ravages of time, and the rage of persecution, its votaries had reached a high degree of intellectual culture.³

Their literary⁴ compositions are still esteemed, and their works of statuary and architecture even now bear testimony to their proficiency in the higher walks of art.⁵

The ruined sites of mines, long abandoned, in various parts of the country are believed to be relics of *Jama* skill. Such are the excavations for copper, found by *Mr. V. Ball*, in

¹ *F. W. Ellis*, *Mirasi Rights*.

² The site of *Pural* is now known as the *Red Hills*, twelve miles north of *Madras*, where an extensive necropolis of *Kistuvans* and circles may still be seen.

³ *Dr. Caldwell* considers the earliest cultivators of *Dravidian* literature to have been *Jainas* (*Comp. Gram.* pp. 122-124-126). *Dr. Burnell* also assigns a high antiquity to *Jaina* literature (*South India Palaeography*, pp. 32, 47-8, *Ind. Ant.* vol. i. p. 310).

⁴ e.g. *The Amara Kośa*, the works of *Hemachandra*, the *Sāboamnidarpasam* of *Kesava*, etc., etc.

⁵ As the colossal statues of *Srāvana Bālagole*, *Karkal*, and the smaller images of the *Tirthankaras* or *Saints*, exquisitely carved out of basalt . . . Such too are the numerous temples of polished black stone, with pillars elaborately sculptured or elegantly chiselled, as if formed by a turning lathe, many of which have been appropriated by other sects, and turned into ill-disguised *Brahminical* shrines. The very *Digambara* idols, clothed in modern dress, have been made to do duty for *Hindu* gods, as described by *Dr. Stevenson* and *Fergusson* at *Pandarpār* (*J.R.A.S.* vol. vii. p. 6; *J.A.S.B.* vol. xxxv. part i. pp. 186-290, also pp. 170, 184).

Chotia Nagpur, which the rude inhabitants can only say were the work of an ancient race called *Séraks*¹ (quasi-*Srávaks*), whom Colonel Dalton states to have been Jainas.²

The long-forgotten Kolár gold mines, now re-opened by British capital and enterprise, are within the limits of Tondainádu,³ and those of Wynád may not improbably have been the work of Jainas also. The name of one of the last subscribing witnesses to the copper-plate grant in the possession of the Jews of Cochin, according to the Jewish version, is supposed to refer to the Kurumbenádu rulers, and in the epithet attached to his name, which may be rendered "Mountain-splitter," they find the Chieftain of Kurumbar, or Jungle-dwellers, so called, either from his mines at Tamracheri,⁴ or from a pass he opened through the Ghâts.

The foregoing considerations throw no light on the direct authorship of the coins in question, but they go to prove the existence of a civilized community, capable of producing exceptional specimens of skilled manufacture, about the required period, and occupying a tract which extended inland from the particular line of coast on which the coins are found.

It may be added, that the Kurumbar who form the subject of the foregoing observations are but a small section of a great tribe, which occupied a larger extent of territory, formerly designated Kurumba-bhúm—a name now obsolete—the limits of which can only be guessed from the localities in which the scattered remnants of the tribes are now found to linger. Individuals of the race have risen to eminence, and even founded Royal dynasties, as will be noticed hereafter in treating of the Yádavas.⁵

¹ Proc. R. As. Soc. 1869, p. 170.

² V. Ball, *Jungle Life in India*, 1880, pp. 167-171; Proc. As. Soc. B.; Dalton, *Ind. Eth.*; and J.A.S.B. vol. xxxv. pt. 2, p. 164.

³ Ellis states expressly that the Upper (= Western) part of Tondai, which did not come within the scope of his essay, consisted of four of the 24 kóttams, the extent of which is unknown, and all traces of their nádu lost (page xi); but in a previous page (iv) he had explained generally that they included the North-East districts of Maisár, comprehending the Parganás of Kolár, Bara Balapur, part of Penakonda; and the Subah of the Sirá, the first of which contains the whole of the gold-field forming the 22nd kóttam, in which is also situate the fortress of Nandidrúg (A. pp. iv-viii). For a long time I was led to attribute the higher works of art found in the Dakhan mainly to the genius of Buddhism, but more intimate acquaintance with Tamil records induces me to modify this view and to recognize the claims of Jaina skill. The Bauddhas do not appear to have gained the same hold of the people of the South that they obtained over those of the North. The term *Sramana* in Tamil writing, read as applicable exclusively to Buddhist priests, is

now shown by Mr. Beal to be a title of religious teachers in other sects as well (*Ind. Ant.* vol. ix. pp. 122, Notes to Ellis' *Kural*; see also Drs. Caldwell and Burnell, *supra*). Viewed in this light the origin of the Panchalar, or five castes of skilled artificers, should be considered as an escape of Jaina artificers from destruction, by assuming a semblance to their Saivite persecutors; this agrees better with their secret forms of worship, which has no similitude to that of Buddha (*Journ. Ethnol. Soc.* vol. i. n.s. pt. 18, p. 111, where the figure of the idol is certainly Jain; Taylor's *Cat.* vol. iii. p. 418). The narrative of the feud between Bauddhas and Jainas should probably be understood as between the latter and Saivas (*ib.* p. 423).

⁴ Dr. Gundert, *Mad. Journ.* vol. xiii. p. 140.

⁵ I add a figure of a piece of bronze found in Tondamandalam, near Pugal, the ancient capital, as a specimen of Kurumbar skill. It appears to have been the end or crown of a standard or state halberd, and is, in the words of an expert, "a very fine object, quite a work of art." The specimen is unique, measures 15½ inches long, and weighs 5 lbs. 6½ ozs.



(b) PALLAVAS.

The other State before indicated as the possible source from which the coins in question may have issued, was the Pallava principality of Kānchi.

It is somewhat strange that a people which played no inconsiderable part in mediæval Dakhan history should have remained comparatively unknown until very recently. No mention of them is found in the vernacular historical legends, such as they are; they do not appear in the native lists of former dynasties, nor, unless for the incidental occurrence of one or two mythical names, was Colonel Mackenzie acquainted with them.¹ It was only in 1840 that they were brought into notice by the discovery of a copper deed,² in what has been called the Cave-character, recording the grant of a village by a Rāja of Vengipūram, a place the site of which was unknown.

The plates having been found in the Kolār (Kolāir) lake or swamp, inquiry was made in that part of the Musulipatam district, which led to the discovery of a group of villages near Ellore afterwards identified as the place in question. In the course of the quest three more copper *śāsanams* of a similar description were met with, from which were obtained the names of certain princes ruling over the kingdom of Vengi, inhabited by a people of Pallava race. Further particulars derived from them were communicated to the "Indian Antiquary" by Professor Eggeling in 1874, giving an imperfect genealogical list, of what Dr. Burnell has designated "a yet nameless dynasty."³ Additional light was thrown on their history by the inscriptions of the earlier Chalukya princes, so many of which have been edited by Mr. Fleet, and by two more of their own copper grants published by Mr. Foulkes,⁴ all in the same periodical.

From these sources we learn that the Pallavas were a numerous and powerful race, inhabiting the middle and south Dakhan from a remote period. Little is known about them until towards the fourth century, about which time some Gujarāt Rājputs of the Chalukya family began to seek a settlement further South. Their first attempts were met by a determined and at first a successful resistance on the part of the Pallavas. Eventually they effected a lodgement to the south of the Krishna, under Pulikesi I.

In the beginning of the seventh century, not content with their success on the table-land, the Chalukya leaders pushed their advances towards the East, and descended the ghāts under Kubja Vishṇu Vardhana, the younger brother of Pulikesi. There he overran the maritime

¹ Wilson, Catalogue Mackenzie's Coll. vol. i. pp. lviii, cxiiv-cxlv. Calcutta, 1828.

² Mad. Journ. vol. xi. p. 302.

³ Madras Journal Lit. and Science, vol. xi. p. 302 (1840); also vol. xiii. pp. 46, 52-53 (1844); Ind. Ant. vol. iii. p. 152 (1874); Burnell, South Ind. Palæog. pp. 13, 135, second

edition (1878). All the copper plates have been edited by Mr. Fleet in the Ind. Ant. vol. v. pp. 50, 151, 176, and vol. ix. p. 101.

⁴ Mad. Journ. vol. iv. n.s. pp. 78-9 (1858); Ind. Ant. vol. v. p. 73 (1876); vol. vi. pp. 78, 87; vol. vii. p. 219 (1878), and also vol. viii. pp. 167, 273.

province of Vengi, the seat of another Pallava principality, and established himself at Rájamahendri, which became the capital of the Eastern Chalukya dynasty. A third Pallava State then comes prominently into notice,¹ with which the Chalukya princes maintained a long desultory contest. Notwithstanding the reverses they sustained, the Pallavas did not despair, but struggled bravely against their Northern assailants, with occasional gleams of success, for nearly two centuries longer. They appear at times to have obtained assistance from their Southern neighbours, the "Chola and Paṇḍya kings." It is unfortunate that the little we hear of this chronic warfare is derived from one side only, and the Chalukyas, as might be expected, are not slow to magnify their own prowess.

The son, grandson, great-grandson, and great-great-grandson of Pulikesi, all claim to have defeated the Pallavas (the last three several times), and more than once to have taken the city of Kāñchipúra itself.² But these vaunts are accompanied by the somewhat qualifying admissions of the conqueror that the vanquished Pallava king had been the destruction and humiliation of his family, and on a later occasion by the boast of Vinayaditya that he had conquered the enemy of his race.

This struggle was maintained from the seventh to the middle of the eighth century. After the middle of the eighth century we hear little of the Chalukyas, whose power suffered a collapse for more than a century and a half, the cause of which seems to have likewise affected the Pallavas. But after the Chalukya restoration to power, in an inscription of Kali Vikramá (Vikramáditya VI.), in the fifth year of his reign (1080 A.D.), we are told that "he overcame Balavarāja of the Palavanya or Pala race, and sat on his throne."³

The paucity of contemporary records does not supply a full genealogical list of the dynasty, but from such as we have we obtain two or three lists, without, however, means of connecting several dynasties which may possibly have been altogether distinct. For, although all claim to belong to the Bhāradwāja *gōtra*, the earliest are said to be of the Sálankáyana race (or *kula*), while the latest are styled of the Panchum tribe. No date has been mentioned, nor has any coin been found with the epigraph of a name.

The best plan for the purpose of this paper will be to give the names as they stand in each grant, according to the following table:—

¹ When and how the Pallavas became possessed of Kāñchi is unknown. It was primarily a constituent part of the ancient Kurumba-bhūmi, and is entered by F. W. Ellis in his "List of the kōttams and náḍus of Tondamandalam, as the second náḍu of the third or Icatu kōttam" (*Mirasi Right*, Appendix, p. vi). Relics of the Jaina creed professed by the Kurumbaras can yet be seen. A large slab, with a life-size figure of a Jaina Tirthankara, might be seen lying by the wayside in the suburbs some years ago, and may be there still.

The allusion to the expulsion of the ancient inhabitants described as "persons offensive to religion," in the grant of an agraḥaram made by Nandi Varmā Pallavamalla, in honour of

his successful general Udayachandra, seems to throw some light on the question. The removal of the obnoxious villagers had probably reference to their Jaina faith, and seems to show that the Pallavas had wrested this portion of the country from the Kurumbaras before the conquest of Tondamandalam by the Cholas.

Both the Cholas and the Pallavas were worshippers of Śiva, and it has been a noted shrine of the orthodox Hindus ever since.

² *Indian Antiquary*, vol. v. p. 73; vol. vi. pp. 78, 87; vol. vii. p. 5; vol. viii. pp. 27, 28, 245; vol. ix. p. 129; vol. x. pp. 37, 134, 163, 165.

³ *J.R.A.S.* vol. iv. p. 15.

The oldest of these is a *prākṛit* or *pālī* composition, the characters of which are ancient and very rude.

OLDEST GRANT.	SĀLANKĀYANA <i>kula</i> .	THREE GRANTS.	MR. FOULKES' GRANT.
Ind. Ant. vol. ix. p. 101, and vol. v. p. 175.	Ind. Ant. vol. v. p. 175.	Ind. Ant. vol. v. pp. 50-3.	Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 230.
I. ¹	II.	IV. V. VI.	VII.
Mahārāja Vijaya Skanda Varmā.	Chanda Varmā. ²	Skanda Varmā I.	Sinhā Vishṇu.
Vijaya Bhudda Varmā, the Yuvarāja or Heir Apparent.	Vijaya Nandi Varmā.	Vira Varmā.	Mahendra Varmā I.
		Skanda Varmā II.	Narasimha Varmā I.
	Ind. Ant. vol. ix. pp. 102-3.	Sunha Varmā I. Vishṇugōpa Varmā.	Mahendra Varmā II.
	III.	Skanda Varmā III. Sunha Varmā II.	Paramēśvara Varmā I.
	Attivarma, of the family of King Kandara.	Nandi Varmā. ³	Narasimha Varmā II.
			Paramēśvara Varmā II.
			{ Nandi Varmā, or Nandi Pota Varmā. ⁴ }
			Pallavamalla Nandi V.

In the next we have the names of Chanda Varmā and Vijaya Nandi Varmā, who are stated to belong to the Sālankāyana *kula*. A third ancient grant is made by Rāja Atti Varmā, of the family of King Kandara, of whom we have no mention in any other place, unless he is the person referred to in the inscribed rock at Sālvān-kupam.⁵

The third column contains the genealogy as given in three copper deeds in vol. v. pp. 50-3, 154, and in vol. viii. p. 167.

The last has been edited by Mr. Foulkes, and differs only in containing two additional names.

The same gentleman has published a seventh⁶ set of plates, the genealogy in which differs considerably from the previous documents, but purports to be issued likewise by the ruler of Kānchi for the same lands, and while continuing to use the same *gōtra*, states the family to belong to the Panchum race (or *kula*). The occasion of the grant was a reward

¹ This is the first of the Bhāradvāja *gōtra*.

² Is he the same as Vijaya Varmā, the son of Skanda Varmā, in the grant at vol. viii. p. 171?

³ The last two names in this grant are taken from Mr. Foulkes' grant in Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 167.

⁴ Nandi Pota Varmā, about 740 A.D. (Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 24; and Fleet, p. 29). This gives us a date for the Kānchi king. Nandi P. V. was killed about 740 A.D., he was the eighth of the line; reckoning 15 years for a reign brings Sinhā Vishṇu to the beginning of the seventh century.

⁵ Ind. Ant. vol. ix. pp. 102-3.

⁶ The instrument itself relates to the same gift as the preceding, both having been subsequently confirmed by Rajendra Chōla. Of the authenticity of this last we offer no observation, remembering how prone grantees have been found to strengthen their titles by spurious exhibits, and the possession of this Aghaharam having been confirmed by the sovereign of a different dynasty.

to his dependent Udayachandra for his success in putting down certain feuds among Pallava chieftains fomented by foreign allies. Both deeds were confirmed by Ko Parakésari Varmá, the Tamil title of Rajendra Chola, who flourished in the eleventh century (1064-1113). Knowing as we do the date of Rajendra Chola, we are able to form some idea of the period during which the Káuchi Pallavas retained their power. Probably we shall not be far wrong in assuming that they were able to hold their own during the period of Ratta ascendancy in Kuntala, which lasted from the middle of the eighth till towards the latter part of the tenth century.

The preceding notices all refer to their more eastern localities, but they are found also to have flourished in the west of Kuntala. As early as the fifth century, Mrigésavarmá, a king of the Kadamba family, of Banawási, is called a "fire of destruction"¹ for the Pallavas, and his son Ravi Varmá is said to have driven² the Pallavas out of Palásiká, the modern Halsi. In a Maisur copper-plate grant of the eighth century, a Chéra or Kōngu king makes a grant, at the request of a certain Rája of Nirgund,³ for the endowment of a Jaina temple erected by his wife, the grand-daughter of the Pallavádhirájá.

These examples, and more might be cited, show the extensive range of the Pallava race. Tradition carries them still further back, and ascribes to a king, called Trilóchana Pallava,⁴ the frustration of the first attempts of the Chalukyas upon the Dakhan.

It is probable that the term Pallavas is the *prākṛit* form of Pahlava,⁵ a name applied to a race of people often mentioned by Sanscrit writers, and generally rendered Persian, apparently on no very exact grounds.

What then has become of them? The Rattas, the other predominating people of Kuntala, survive in the Maháratas; but we can only suppose that the Pallavas, who held an equally important place among the early inhabitants of Kuntala, have been absorbed in the existing population. Some traces of them are still to be found in Drávida, where three castes claim to be connected with them, Vellálas, Kallars, and Pallis.

To the west of Tranquebar, near Mayavaram, a village called Pallavarayanpetta still exists.

Pallavarája is one of the thirty-two *gōtras* of the true pure Tamil-speaking Vellálas of Madura, Tanjore, and Arcot. It is borne by the Chola Vellálas inhabiting the valley of the Kávari in Tanjore, who lay claim to the first rank. According to a Tamil MS., called the Dina Charai, they found their claim on the assertion that all their women are of royal

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. vi. p. 21.

² *Idem*, p. 39.

³ Ind. Ant. vol. ii. p. 161. Which of the Pallava chiefs is here alluded to is not clear, nor can we say precisely where Nirgund is. Mr. Rice mentions a principality of that name in Maisur, but there is a remarkable hill fort of the same name in the middle of the extensive plain east of Dhārwar, a noted stronghold, the owner of which joined in the rebellion of 1858, and murdered the Assistant Collector, Mr. Manson, for which he was executed and his estate confiscated.

⁴ Mad. Journ. xi. n.s. iv. 78, and Ind. Ant. vii. 243.

⁵ See Böhtlingk and Roth's Lexicon, *sub voce*. In the Vis Pur. at p. 187 of vol. ii. note 6, Pahlava and Pallava are given as variants of Pahlavas, which occurs among a list of Northern tribes (p. 184, note 3). See, too, vol. iii. pp. 294-5, note 1, where they are associated not only with Northern races, but *inter alia* with Drávidas, Kirátas and others. See also Manu x. 44; Muir's Sanscrit Texts, vol. i. pp. 97, 177, 180 (1855), and vol. ii. p. 268 (1860). The officer of Rudra Dáma, in the Girnar inscription, who repaired the tank, was a Pahlava.

race, and all their men of sacerdotal descent. This saying has a curious bearing on the peculiar Turanian law of descent still prevailing in South Malabar, where property is conveyed through the mother, of noble or Nair descent, to her female offspring born to a Nambúri Bráhmaṇ, as is even the case with the royal family now reigning in Travancore.

This practice lends colour to the supposed connection of the Vellálas with the predatory classes mentioned in the proverb, and it is further remarkable that they hold the first place among the Tamil agriculturists, just as the Reddis do among the Telugus, and the Kunbis among the martial Mahrattas, all pointing to a Turanian origin. Ellis, it may further be observed, refers to three sections of the Vellálas, one of which he designated Tulava Vellálas, who had been brought from the Malabar Coast to repeople Tondainádu. The remaining two castes are, it is true,¹ held in inferior estimation, but there is a common proverb² to the effect that "The Kaḷḷan, Maravan, and the honourable Agumbadyan, rising slowly, slowly became by degrees the Vellálan." Such an assumption would doubtless be scouted by the aristocratic Vellálan of the present day, but it derives some support from the foregoing proverb.

The above explanation, however, will only account for a very small portion of a people so widely distributed as the Pallavas. With regard to the Kaḷḷar, it is remarkable that the Tondiman Rája Bahádur of Pudukotah, the faithful ally of the British in the Carnatic Wars, and chief of the Kaḷḷar tribe, has the title of Pallava Rája, which he probably inherits from one of the many Pallava chieftains, of whom we have seen frequent mention, and one of whom may have been seated at the not distant site of Mamalipuram,³ now known as the Seven Pagodas; in the immediate vicinity of which I found the ruined Portico of Sálvákupam,⁴ at which is the remarkable incised rock, with the name of Attirana Chanda Pallava⁵ in two different characters, who may have been the Atirana of the Copper Deed⁶ before mentioned.

Can it be that this tribe, which belongs to what have been called the predatory classes, represents a portion of the Pallavas? Their bold, indomitable, and martial habits accord well with the characteristics of that ancient people.

Until very recent times they exercised a formidable control over the peaceable inhabitants of the Carnatic, from whom they exacted payments for forbearance, under the name of *ménkáral* fees, in return for which they placed one of their followers as guardian or

¹ Kaḷḷar and Maravar are the well-known predatory classes; the former being the synonym for thief. The Agumbadyan, though now a low class of artisans, are probably descended from the ancient landholders of the country; the word Agum being the Tamil for land, equivalent to Northern Bhoomia. According to native grammarians the root of the word signifies also, "the mind, the soul, the will," and the occupation of the caste is said to be "attendance in kings' palaces (see Rottler's Dict. p. 3) and pagodas." According to Mr. Nelson they are intimately connected with the Maravars and intermarry with them (Nelson's Madera, pp. 42-3). They are thus enumerated among the Royal guards of the Rája in the Kérája Uḷipatti.

² This is said to be quoted on public occasions in asserting

caste privileges, or in disputes for the possession of land, as follows:—

கள்ளன் மறவன் கலங்கு அகழகையா
ய் மெள்ள மெள்ள வந்தவெள்ளாய்
Kaḷḷan, Maravan, kaḷaṇḍa Agamudayan,
Meḷḷa meḷḷa, Vandu, Vellálan.

³ See Mr. Rice's paper on Mahávali dynasty in Ind. Ant. vol. x. p. 36.

⁴ Sálvákupam, literally, "Hamlet of the Saluvras" — name of a once numerous people, some of whom are still to be found in the South-East Gháts (Vish. Pur. vol. ii. note 1, pp. 132-4).

⁵ Mad. Journ. vol. xiii. 46, 52. ⁶ Ind. Ant. vol. ix. p. 103.

watchman in each village, who was responsible for the protection of the place from plunder and robbery. Such a systematic levy of black-mail being incompatible with the existence of a settled Government, ceased on the establishment of the British power, when many of the chief *Mēnkāvalgāra* received pensions, payable during good behaviour. If this surmise be admissible, the similar tribes of the Maravars, Bedars, Ramuses, etc., may be included in the same category; all of whom still flourish under their petty chiefs, commonly called Polygars (*palaiyakārar*), some of whom were even petty princes, as the Rājas of Bednūr and Shorāpur. The only other way in which they could be absorbed was by the rise of the Lingāyat sect in the twelfth century, which, levelling all distinctions of caste, united the bulk of the Śūdras of the Dakhan under the new faith, including even Pariahs, many of whom were principal supporters of Basava, the founder of the new creed. One of its leading sections bears the name of the Panchum Lingāyats, which, it will be remembered, is the name of the family (or *kula*) of the Kānchī Rāja, the author of the seventh Pallava grant.

But the Lingāyats are noted for their peaceable and industrious habits.

Having considered these two powers, to which it was thought the coins might be assigned, the arguments in favour of the authorship of the Kurumbars rest, as before stated, on their higher knowledge of art, and the limited extent bordering their country in which the coins are found.

On the other hand, the seals of the two Pallava grants, published by Mr. Foulkes have the figure of a bull, the one recumbent, the other standing, like that borne on the coins, but no similar specimens have been found commensurate with the wide extent of the Pallava rule in other parts of the country. As stated above, many of the coins exhibit short and imperfect legends in the Cave character, which occasionally passes into a form peculiar to the south. None of these, which have been carefully analysed by Mr. Thomas, afford certain results.¹ One name only has a somewhat recognizable form resembling Kadamba, but the Kadambas never, as far as we know, obtained a footing on the east coast.

¹ [Sir W. Elliot's facsimile legends, Nos. 82, 84, of plate x. vol. iii. of the Madras Journal, read clearly, in Devanāgarī, कटम्प टका *Kaṭampa ṭaka*. There might be a doubt about the value of the second letter and its counterpart, the penultimate,

which might subside into *ḍa* or *ḍa*; but the compound *म्प* *mp* is indubitable. The coins themselves will be given, in autotype, in the subjoined Plates.—E.T.]

PART II. SECTION I.

ORIGIN OF METRICAL SYSTEM.

Long before the appearance of the die-coinage introduced by the Andhras, the necessity felt in all early stages of civilized society for avoiding the inconvenience of barter led to the adoption in India, as elsewhere, of a metallic currency, the earliest known form of which is represented by the irregularly-shaped pieces of silver, stamped with rude symbols, which are found in all parts of the country.¹ At what time and by what people they were first employed is unknown, but they probably came into use gradually. They were regarded as prehistoric by the older Indian writers, and may therefore be presumed to have been found in circulation when the Aryans entered Hindustan.

They have been discovered among the ashes of the men who constructed the primitive² tombs known as the *pāṇḍu kulis* (or kistvaens) of the South, and unearthed from the ruins of buried cities in excavating the head-waters of the Ganges Canal.³

In all parts, from the Sundarbans⁴ of the Ganges to the frontiers of Affghánistán, they turn up from time to time.⁵ Yet they have no recognized name in any of the Vernacular Dialects. They appear, however, to have been known to the earlier Sanscrit writers under the designation of *purāna*, a term which itself signifies ancient.

Of this use of the word, Burnouf has collected many examples,⁶ and the same term occurs in Manu,⁷ who wrote some centuries B.C., where he defines the relative proportions of the several weights then in common use.⁸

¹ H. H. Wilson, Cat. Mackenzie, Coll. vol. ii. p. cccxvii; Prinsep's Essays, vol. i. pp. 209-16; Journ. As. Soc. Bombay, vol. x. pp. xxi-iv.

² Letter from Mr. Garrow to Col. Mackenzie (1805) with coins of Augustus. Mad. Journ. of Lit. and Science, vol. xix. (s.s. p. 227).

³ J.A.S.B., vol. iii. p. 44.

⁴ Prinsep's Essays, vol. i. p. 210.

⁵ E. C. Bayley, Num. Chron. 1873, p. 209; Cunningham, Arch. Rep. vol. vi. p. 198; also Bhilua Tope—"throughout all India, from Nepal to Ceylon, and from Kandahar to the Delta of the Ganges"—p. 354.

⁶ Introduction à l'Histoire de Bouddhisme.

⁷ Institutes, Haughton's Translation, chap. viii. *etc.* 131-136.

⁸ In the absence of a recognized native name for these pieces, numismatists have had recourse to sundry arbitrary terms, as *ch'hapas*, punch-coins, *salakia*, dominos, not always very appropriate. The word *elding*, adapted from the Anglo-Saxon *eld*=old, represents exactly the Sanscrit *purāna*, and might be adopted with advantage. A similar term occurs in that "pure well of English undefiled," the Authorized Version of the Bible, where the value of the Jewish vine is expressed in *silverlings*, which were probably uncoined pieces of silver of given value like those under consideration (Isaiah vii. 23).

It is by a preliminary investigation of the ancient weights of Southern India, that an insight may possibly be obtained into the origin of this very primitive description of money. Such an enquiry, owing to the changes arising from lapse of time, and still more from the wars and revolutions that have swept over the plains of India during thirty centuries, is not unattended with difficulty. But extant traces of the past may still be detected in places less exposed to external interference.

Such a favoured region is found in the South-Western extremity of the peninsula, where the province of Malabar, lying between the mountain barrier of the Sahyádrí range, with the primeval forests that clothe their base on one side, and the sea on the other, occupies a narrow strip, which has been signally exempt from foreign rule.¹ Hence the people retain much of their aboriginal character, and have preserved institutions brought by the earlier colonists from their Northern home. These, abhorrent as some of them are to the great body of Hindus, still flourish unchanged, although no longer observed in their original seats. Such is the practice of polyandry,² with all the peculiar rights of succession and inheritance dependent on it, as well as the social observances to which it has given rise.

Not the less, however, has the silent influence of Aryan science and philosophy leavened the literature of the province, and hence the popular treatises on Arithmetic in other Southern dialects have been framed on the model of the "*Lilāvati*," the standard Sanscrit work on Mathematics; so that, while many of the weights retain their old vernacular names, they are arranged according to the formulæ and rules of Bháskaráchárya (the author of the *Lilāvati*), who is supposed to have lived about the eleventh or twelfth century A.D.³ It cannot be supposed that native works on such a subject did not exist at an earlier period, but they have gradually become obsolete and fallen into disuse. We have been fortunate enough to meet with one such treatise, through the kindness of Dr. Gundert, who quotes it among the authorities used in his admirable Malayálim Dictionary.⁴ It is entitled *Kaṇakku Sáram*, and is written in good Malayálim, with less than the usual infusion of Sanscrit; but the work has become extremely rare, and a perfect copy is seldom if ever to be met with. It differs materially from the *Ganita Sástram*, the popular treatise now in use, which contains a greater number of Sanscrit words and phrases, and is framed on a more scientific system, the rules being more exact, especially those relating to fractions and the higher numbers. Of the six books or chapters into which the *Kaṇakku Sáram* is divided, the

¹ Malabar, quæ regio natura sua et situ ab hostili impetu et expugnatione semper tuta mansit, atque etiam, raro, nisi nunquam, uni imperio fuit subjecta, ob merces et fructus suos undecunque terrarum expetitos ab exteris semper maxime frequentabatur, etc. Gildemeister, *Scrip. Arab.* p. 48 (1838).

² "A trait once widely prevalent in the Himálayas and Thibet. Even the civilized tribe of the Newars, who, by the-way, have a recorded tradition uniting them with the Malabar Nairs—a name, they say, identical with Náyar or Newar, who were once polyandrista."—B. H. Hodgson's *Essays*, vol. ii. pp. 129-30,

and 144; F. H. Ellis, *Law Book of the Hindus*, in *Trans. Mad. Lit. Soc.* 1827. 4to. p. 17; and *Essay on Malayálim*, *Ind. Ant.* vol. vii. p. 286.

³ Weber, *Hist. of Indian Literature*.

⁴ Of the author Nilakaptha, or of his era, nothing is known, but his work must be of high antiquity. It consists of six books or chapters; the 1st treats of fractions, literally "what is below one"; second, of decimals, "what is above one"; third, *Idangali*, measures of capacity; fourth, *Kadam*, measures of length; fifth, *Kañjanu*, of weights; sixth, *Kalam* of time.

fifth has the title of *kañju*, and treats of the weights of gems, gold, pearls, etc., for ascertaining which it gives the following formula:—

1 <i>nen-maṇi</i> (or grain of rice in the husk) is	= 1 <i>cisa tūkkam</i> .
4 <i>nel</i> (grains of rice in the husk).....	= 1 <i>kunri</i> (or <i>rati</i>).
2 <i>kunri</i> (or <i>rati</i>)	= 1 <i>maṇjāḍi</i> .
2 <i>maṇjāḍi</i>	= 1 <i>paṇatūkkam</i> .
10 <i>paṇatūkkam</i>	= 1 <i>kañju</i> . ¹

All of these, except the *paṇḍ*, are the names of well-known vegetable products, the first being the staple cereal of the province. The other three are the seeds of common trees or shrubs.

3. Deferring the further consideration of the second—the *kunri* or *rati*—for the present, the third, or *maṇjāḍi*, claims the first notice, as being the earliest used as a measure of weight. It is the seed of the *Adenanthera pavonina*, a tree common in most parts of India and the Eastern Archipelago.

The native name has been derived from *maṇju* “a boat,” and *āḍi* “with which one plays,” and is said to have been taken from the form of the beans; and from their common use by children as playthings, and by the women who string them for necklaces. They are of a bright scarlet colour, hard, durable, and tolerably uniform in size and weight. When ground, the meal is sometimes used as an article of food.²

As a weight it is specially employed by goldsmiths and jewellers, and is commonly reckoned about 4 grains, but in reality it is somewhat more, or about $4\frac{1}{2}$ to 5 grains.³

Of a convenient size, and at all times available, they would offer a ready expedient for determining the required proportion of a piece of silver bullion; and taken in conjunction with the first series of simple numbers, the result would be $10 \times 4\frac{1}{2}$ or $5 \times 10 = 45$ or 50 grains, a weight which was afterwards identified with the *kañju*. This appears to have been the first and simplest application of the *maṇjāḍi*; but as business increased, when a more artificial system was introduced, and tables were framed, from imaginary infinitesimal units, regulated by sub-multiples of two, its value was reduced by one-half, and its place usurped by its representative the copper *paṇa*. From the modern tables of weights it has disap-

¹ In Ceylon the *kañdu* is said by Mr. Rhys Davids to be equal to the weight of 24 *maṇa* seeds, or equivalent to 86 grains and a fraction (Part i. p. 12).

² Rheede's *Hortus Malabaricus*, vol. vi. tab. xiv. p. 26. *Semina verò hand ingrati saporis, præter quod a plebeis sive cocta, sive comminuta in farinam comedantur, magnum præstant usum auri fabricæ et gemmarum, quippe ob æqualitatem, granorum vice in ponderandis mercibus adhibentur, singula namque maṇjāḍi, licet mihi ob linguæ ignorantiam ita exprimere,*

quatuor pendet grana, quibus utuntur auri fabri; qui quoque ex contusis hisce seminibus aquâ madefactis una cum borace gluten ad elegantiora cum nova, tum diffracta vasa conglutinanda faciunt.

³ A parcel of 50 *maṇjāḍi* beans, taken at random and weighed in delicate scales, gave an average of 4.13 grains. Three different parcels of ten each, selected by the eye for superior size, averaged 5.02 grains, and 5.03, a single bean taken as the heaviest of several by the scales = 5.305 grains.

peared altogether, and is now relegated to the exclusive use of the diamond merchant.¹ But its influence may still be detected in the gradations of the metric scale, the unit of which, as stated above, is the *visam* or sixteenth of anything, and hence is the foundation of the one-sixteenth ($\frac{1}{16}$) or *āna* system of computation prevalent throughout India to this day.²

4. The *kalanju* of 10 *manjāḍis*, which we take to be the other normal unit of weight, is the name of a prickly climbing species of *Cæsalpinia*,³ very generally distributed both in the East and West Indies. The smooth, grey, hard, nearly spherical seeds are to the native youth what marbles are to our schoolboys. Strung like beads to form necklaces, they are worn as amulets by the women in Egypt, and floated by the waves to the west coast of Scotland, they are known as Molucca beans.⁴ Besides serving for weights, they are valued highly for their therapeutic qualities.⁵ The few seeds I possess seem to have been gathered before they were quite ripe. They weigh about 40 grs. each, one only reached 42 grs. Mature seeds would probably average from 45 to 50 grs.

It was on these two seminal units, the *manjāḍi* and *kalanju*, that the normal metrical system of the South appears to have been founded, smaller and more delicate weights not being required in the rude transactions of earlier times.

2. The *kunri* or *rati*, as has been observed, did not come into use till a later period.

Rheede, who carefully notes the economic uses of every plant included in the *Hortus Malabaricus*, does not allude to its employment as a weight at all.

It was probably introduced into the tables from the *Lilāvati* as part of the more advanced system derived from Sanscrit treatises. It is unnecessary to dilate further in this place on its use as a measure of weight, because the subject has been so fully discussed by the

¹ These artificial *manjāḍi* weights are now carefully made of brass, in the form of an octahedron, the primitive crystal of the diamond. A set of them given to me by a Mārṣāri friend, one of the first dealers in diamonds and precious stones in the South, yielded the following results when tested by a set of delicate scales and weights:

	Gr.
The 20 <i>manjāḍi</i> octahedron weighed 93·2, average 4·660.	
" 10 " " " 46·4 " 4·640.	
" 5 " " " 23·35 " 4·670.	
" 2 " " " 9·4 " 4·7.	
" 1 " " " 4·8 " —	

The fractional weights were flat triangular pieces; that for $\frac{1}{2}$ = 2·4 grains; for $\frac{1}{4}$ = 1·2 grains.

The intermediate pieces have been lost.

² Thus, taking the *visam* or $\frac{1}{16}$ represented by a grain of paddy or rice-corn, at $\frac{1}{16}$ of a grain, we obtain—

<i>manjāḍi</i> $\frac{1}{16}$	= 3 grains; or, according to Gen. Gun. 0·3585.	
" $\frac{1}{8}$	= 6 " " "	717.
" $\frac{1}{4}$	= 12 " " "	1434.
" $\frac{1}{2}$	= 24 " " "	2868.
" 1 whole	= 48 " " "	5736.
" 10	= 480 " " "	57360.

= 1 *kalanju* or 1 *pagoda*.

General Cunningham assigns a somewhat higher value to the rice-corn, which he makes = 0·3585, which appears somewhat high, but the result obtained from the lower estimate, both for the *manjāḍi* and *kalanju* would allow for a somewhat higher average (Num. Chron. 1873, p. 197).

³ *Guilandina bonduc* vel *Bonducella*, L.—Rheede, Hort. Mal. vol. ii. p. 35, pl. 22; Roxb. Fl. Ind. vol. ii. p. 357; As. Res. vol. xi. p. 159, 8vo. ed.

⁴ Drury's Useful Plants, p. 244.

⁵ The seeds are intensely bitter, and are prescribed with advantage in intermittent fevers. The native name is difficult to transliterate, owing to the peculiar cerebral letter in the second syllable. It may be written *kalanju*, or *karanju*, or *kazhanju*, according to the system followed by Gundert, Caldwell, or Ellis. Rheede has *kalutti* and *caratti* for the Malayālim names, and Gundert *kalanju* for the weight ("= 2 silver or 10 gold fanams"), and *kalacci* or *kalacchi* for the tree, as also *kalanju-kol* = scales for weighing (Dict. 227). In Rottler's Tamil Dictionary the same word occurs under several forms, all derived from the root *kalal* = a weight (Dict. vol. i. p. 52). In Wilson's Glossary we find *karancha*, a weight = to 10 fanams (p. 263). In Bengali the name given to it is *nata*, whence the Hindi *nata-caranja*, and in Dakhini *gachaka*, probably from the Telugu *ga-chakāḍi*.

Editor in his introductory Essay;¹ but it affords an opportunity for stating some of the results obtained in some recent trials, which help to throw light on the original use of the *manjādi*.²

The inconvenience attending the use of seeds, no two of which were exactly equal, in process of time led to the substitution of metal equivalents, the first of which we may assume to have been the copper representative of the *manjādi*, under the name of *paṇa*. This, under the more familiar name of *fanam*, became the foundation of the Southern monetary system, and continued current down to modern times.

The origin of the word *paṇa* is not very clear. It is claimed as an original root both by Sanscrit and Dravidian grammarians, but in all likelihood it pertains more justly to the latter.³ From what has been stated, it may be inferred that the metric system is based on the *manjādi* or *paṇa*, and its multiple the *kaḷanju*. It may therefore be reasonably inferred that the *eldling* or *purāna* is simply a silver *kaḷanju*.

In all the countries with which we are best acquainted, the metal first used for monetary purposes was silver;⁴ to which India (except in the case of the Andhras) forms no exception.

The proportion of bullion to be given as a medium of exchange was adjusted by weight.⁵

In course of time, to obviate constant recourse to the scales, the use of uniform pieces,

¹ Int. Num. Or., Ancient Indian Weights, pp. 10-13, 68-70; and Mr. Rhys Davids' article on Ceylon Coins in vol. i. p. 4, note 2.

² (a) One hundred seeds, taken at random—
from a large parcel which had been in
England for several years, carefully
weighed in delicate scales, gave — — 135·9 1·3590

(b) A parcel of (50), excluding the above — — 69·2 1·384

(c) " (50) " " — — 67·7 1·354

Average hundred — — 136·4 1·364

(d) Ten selected by the eye for their larger size 17·30 1·730

(e) Ten others " " " 17·05 1·705

(f) Ten others " " " 16·50 1·650

50·85 1·695

(g) The two heaviest in these three parcels }
d, e, f — — — — } 1·875
1·855

3·739 1·865

which may therefore be taken as the maximum.

At the present day the employment of the actual seeds for the purposes of weighing is confined to village goldsmiths and petty jewellers. Merchants dealing in precious stones employ standard artificial weights, neatly made of brass, like our apothecary and troy weights. They are called emerald weights, but are used for all kinds of gems, except the diamond. A set of these was likewise given to me by my Mārwarī friend. They retain the name of *ratīs*, and consist of square pieces, the value of each being indicated by impressed dots. These tested by delicate scales yield the following results: proving the gem-*rati* to be double the normal seed-*rati*, and to be in fact an approximation to the *manjādi*.

Gr.

The 50 *rati* piece with 5 dots = 168·05 or 3·361

" 20 " 2 " = 67·40 " 3·370

" 10 " 1 " = 33·75 " 3·375

ELLIOT

Smaller pieces:

The 5 *rati* piece with 5 dots = 16·80 or 3·360

" 3 " 3 " = 10·05 " 3·350

" 1 " 1 " = 3·04 " 3·04

Smallest or fractional pieces:

The $\frac{1}{2}$ *rati* piece with 1 dot = 1·65

" $\frac{1}{4}$ " 2 " = ·82

" $\frac{1}{8}$ " " = ·21

From the above it is seen that the *rati* of the dealer in precious stones is in reality a double *rati*, and differs from the *manjādi* of the diamond merchant in being about a grain lighter. From this it follows that the normal weight of the seminal *rati*, accepted by the people of the country best qualified to determine, is 1·68 gr. = to half the weight of the emerald *rati*.

³ Dr. Caldwell includes *papa* in his list of words, "the common property of Sanscrit and the Dravidian tongues." The root *pau* = to make, to work, to produce, is undoubtedly a primitive Dravidian element, but *papam* = money, is deduced by Dravidian grammarians from the Sanscrit root *paṇ* = to do business, a subtle distinction. Comparative Grammar, p. 483.

⁴ Gen. xxiii. 16; xliii. 21; Ex. xxx. 13; Job xxviii. 15; Jer. xxvii. 9, 10; Zech. xi. 12; Madden's Jewish Coinage, 1-8; Wilkinson's Ancient Egyptians, (First Series) vol. ii. p. 10, woodcut 78; vol. iii. pp. 237-9; Smith's Dictionary; Greek and Roman Antiq., s.v. *Argentum Nummus*, pp. 132, 808.

⁵ The old Tamil name for scales is *vellickol*, hence the proverb, "verunāyi sandai ku ponal vellikōlāl adi patta varum," i.e. "the hungry (lit. empty) dog going to market will be beaten with the steelyard" (Roth. Diet. vol. iv. p. 233). The *vellickol*, however, was not a steelyard, probably so called, but scales dependent from a beam. The Egyptians, according to Wilkinson, were equally ignorant of the steelyard, using scales for weighing bullion, as represented in the paintings. Manners and Customs, vol. ii. p. 10, woodcut 78, and vol. iii. p. 222, woodcut 374.

certified by an authoritative mark, suggested itself. Such pieces taken from a bar or plate, trimmed and cut to the required standard weight, received the impress of a symbol, guaranteeing their acceptance. The oldest Indian examples of the *eldling* are of all shapes, oblong, angular, square, or nearly round, with punch-marks on one or both sides, the older signs often worn away by attrition; in almost all cases the earlier ones partially or wholly effaced by others subsequently super-impressed upon them.¹

Other specimens, which are more circular, and thicker, with sharper attestations, are probably of later date. All weigh about 50 grains troy.

A parcel of forty-three very old-looking pieces, part of a large find in Nagar (Nugger or Bednore), a province of Maisur, weighed 2025.5 grains, giving an average of 47.1, but the heaviest was 50 grains, the lightest only 37.75.

Seventy-eight others, from all parts of the South, and of every variety of shape, weighed collectively 3720 grains, with an average of 47.69. Of these, twenty of the broadest, thinnest, most diversely-shaped, averaged 46.7; while five, quite round, thicker, and of smaller diameter, gave 49.5, and the heaviest specimen that could be found reached 54 grains.² The symbols on all these were much the same; exhibiting figures of the elephant, ox, dog,—of fish,—of foliage,—of the sun, stars, and of sundry nondescript types. Prinsep specifies and figures some from Colonel Stacy's collection, such as the human figure, the *sucastika*, *chaitya*, tree and rail, which have not been detected in our specimens. No inscriptions or written characters have been met with, nor any mark to identify them with known dynasties or royal races.

The elephant was the type of the Chéra or Kongu dynasties, but it was also adopted by the Andhras, and on one of Col. Stacy's pieces it is represented with up-raised trunk (figure 26), as in the lead coins of that race.³ The seal on the copper *sāsana* of Vishṇu Gōpa Varmā, one of the Pallava kings, has some resemblance to the dog-stamp, but much reliance cannot be placed on such rough workmanship.⁴ A find in the Konkan displayed pieces, on which an animal, perhaps a lion, had been impressed in the centre, and above all the others. This was the ensign of the Kadamba kings; its introduction in this form may be connected with the rise of their power; it appears also to mark the transition period, like the more perfect gold coins of the same dynasty to be next noticed.

By whatever name the *eldling* was known in other parts of India, it probably in the

¹ Frontispiece plate, figures 4, 5; *Mad. Journ. Lit. and Science*, vol. iii. n.s. pp. 227-9, and plates vii, viii, fig. 13, 18, 22, 27, 28; Prinsep's *Essays*, Thomas's ed. pp. 74, 209-211.

² This inequality of weight is easily accounted for by the rude scales and careless manipulation witnessed at the present day, without invalidating the general average of 50 grains.

The tiny scales of the village *sōnar* or modern goldsmith, with his array of red (*raktika*) and black (*krishnala*) *rati* seeds, flanked by a few copper *abdhī* *paiss*, show small promise of accuracy; while the wicker scales of the banya or bazaar dealer, dangling from the ends of a rod suspended by a rope from a beam in the roof, with sets of rude brass and iron weights, supplemented by water-rolled pebbles, inspires his customers with

so little confidence that they often insist on shifting the article on to the other scale before closing the bargain (Jervis, *Weights and Measures of Konkani*, pp. 39-42; Heyne, *Tracts on India*, pp. 77 and 81). When Mr. Broun applied to the Travancore Dewan for specimens of the weights in use to enable him to frame a standard, the reply was that "the same nominal measure being different at different times in the same place, and continually changing," the Sirkar could not furnish him with examples.

³ Prinsep's *Essays*, vol. i. p. 210, plate xx. fig. 25, 26, 27, 29; *Mad. Journ.* vol. iii. n.s. plates vii. viii. figs. 8, 11, 16, 18, 20, 21, 26. Prinsep thought he detected alphabetic characters on a single specimen (30), but it scarcely belongs to the series, and resembles a partly die-struck coin, p. 210. ⁴ *Indian Ant.* vol. v. p. 60.

South may have taken the designation of the weight *kalanju*, which it represented; for the base of the outer wall of the great temples at Tanjore, Kānchi (Conjeeveram) and other places is covered with inscriptions, recording gifts of land, cows, money for lamps, meat offerings and other pious purposes; the latter generally specified simply in *kalanjus*, or in *kalanjus* of gold, which may refer either to weights or coins, but more probably the former.

Before quitting the subject of the silver *eldlings*, it may be asked where the supply of that metal was obtained to meet the circulation of so great an extent of country. Gold, iron, and copper were found in many parts of India, but no silver so far as I know. The only lead and silver mines specified by Medlicott and Blanford are those of Burma.¹

The silver for the *eldlings* must therefore have been imported from abroad, and there is reason to believe that a considerable commerce was carried on by the people of the Coromandel and Malabar coasts with foreign countries at a very early period. Traces of the trading stations on the East coast have already been adverted to (p. 35).

Several coins, assigned provisionally to the Kurumbars (?) or Pallavas (?), bear the figure of a ship, and some of the symbols on the *eldlings* themselves seem intended for marine animals.

The oldest specimens of gold punch coins hitherto discovered (and to be noticed hereafter) were found imbedded in the sand of the island of Ramree, the result of some ancient wreck. The evil reputation of the pirates on the west coast, according to Ptolemy, testifies to the skill and daring of a sea-faring race.² Nor does the record of their naval habits rest on foreign evidence alone. A curious poem,³ discovered by Dr. Gundert, "certainly the oldest specimen of Malayālim composition he had seen," turns entirely on maritime adventure, and "is replete with details of trade and ship-building."

Kalanjus continue to be mentioned in inscriptions till the seventh and eighth centuries, and perhaps later, but probably as weights only, for the pagoda⁴ is only known in Tamil under the name of *tarāgan*, which, as derived from the Chalukya dynasty, could not have been established before the fifth or sixth century. Before that they were probably *suvarṇas* or *nishkas*.⁵ Later the Canarese name seems to have been *gadhyānam*, a term likewise used in Telugu.

¹ Manual of the Geology of India, part ii. p. 708. Small quantities have also been met with, associated with lead, in Kulu and Mānbhum, and at Deogurh in the Sontal parganā. Piddington found some copper ore containing silver in the proportion of 50 oz. to the ton, but the ore was very irregularly disseminated, and in very small quantities through the rock, and some samples contained no silver at all (Blanford, Journ. Soc. of Arts, 11th April, 1873, p. 387).

² Baradvāsa [Pal.] Baradvāsa
Tūr dē āndrōn ΠΕΙΡΑΤΩΝ μισόγυνος
Πόλεως ἔλε.

Geogr. viii. i. p. 205 [or MS. 174].

And on a previous page (MS. 168) he had noted between the gulf of Broach (Barygaza) and Limyrica = the coast about Hontwar (Balipatna) and Mangalore (Mandagara) as being that of the Pirates τῶν ἀνδρῶν Πειρατῶν.

³ Mad. Journ. vol. xiii. part ii. p. 11.

⁴ The word pagoda is of European origin, and unknown to every native dialect. It has superseded all the other designations in English, and is applied equally to the gold coins and a Hindu temple. At Madras, where it originated, it is supposed to be of Portuguese origin, and to have arisen from the first navigators and merchants being told in their reply to their enquiry what was the building with the lofty *gōparam*, or Propylon, or whose was the image on the coin, that they were those of the goddess (Bagavadi), the tutelary deity of Madras. Such at least is the tradition (Moor's Hindu Pantheon).

Every township has its Grama Devi or Village Goddess, being some form of Kali or Durgi, to whom an annual bloody sacrifice is offered. At Madras she is worshipped under the designation of Yagamma or Yagāttā (Journ. Eth. Soc. vol. i. s.s. 1868, p. 96).

⁵ See Burnouf *ante*, and Kadamba grant of Vishnuchitta, Bom. Journ. vol. ix. p. 256, vol. x. p. lili.

The sway of that powerful family was at first confined to the Karnataka and Northern districts of the Dakhan, and some time must be allowed for its extension to its southern limits under the later sovereigns, after the restoration of the dynasty in the tenth century in the person of Tailapa Déva.

As in the Malabar, so in Tinnevely, these primitive weights still retain their hold on popular usage,¹ and the names may also be traced in old Tamil writings, although the things themselves have now been displaced by more modern innovations.²

In the Telugu treatises the normal system disappears altogether, and gives place to the *āna* or sixteenth mode of reckoning. And the same may be said of Canarese.

¹ The following is a tabular statement of the Tinnevely weights:

1 <i>pakka pagara</i> (seed of <i>Phaseolus radiatus</i> , L.)	—	—	—	= 1 <i>kuuri</i> , <i>mittu</i> , or <i>rati</i> .
2 <i>kuuri</i>	—	—	—	= 1 <i>manjādi</i> .
20 <i>manjādi</i>	—	—	—	= 1 <i>kalanju</i> .

This makes the *kalanju* between 60 and 70 grains.

² An old Tamil palm-leaf MS. has the following table:—

2 <i>pilaru</i> (or split peas = half <i>ratis</i>)	1 <i>kuuri</i> or <i>rati</i> .
2 <i>kuuri</i>	1 <i>manjādi</i> .
5 <i>manjādi</i>	1 <i>kal</i> .
4 <i>kal</i>	1 <i>kalanju</i> .
2 <i>kalanju</i>	1 <i>kāsu</i> .
4 <i>kāsu</i>	1 <i>pala</i> (vulgo <i>poliam</i>).
100 <i>palas</i>	1 <i>tulā</i> or <i>tulādot</i> .

Here the *manjādi* retains its original form of five or six grains, but the quarter *kalanju* is raised to 25 or 30 grains; and, consequently, the *kalanju* itself is raised to 100 or 120 grains.

The *kāsu*, which is the smallest of copper coins, and serves the same purpose as the Bengal *kauri* (*courie*), here becomes a measure of large capacity as a constituent of the *pala* and the *tulādot* still in use for heavy articles, and equivalent to nine or ten pounds.

The above formula seems to be an attempt to reconcile the primitive standard of the South with that introduced from the North, as it is found in the Amara, thus:—

6 <i>gunja</i>	= 1 <i>adya-macha</i> .
16 <i>adya-m</i>	= 1 <i>karsha</i> or <i>aksha</i> .
4 <i>karsha</i>	= 1 <i>pala</i> .
100 <i>pala</i>	= 1 <i>tula</i> .

EARLIEST INDIGENOUS COINS.

(a) GOLD. (b) SILVER. (c) COPPER.

(a) GOLD COINAGE.

It is a somewhat difficult matter to trace the origin and progress of the gold coinage, and its relation to the metrical system just described, owing to the rarer occurrence of examples; for although hoards are often discovered, they at once find their way into the crucible to be converted into ornaments.

The oldest specimens I have seen are spherules quite plain and smooth, save for a single very minute punch-mark too small to be identified—by the impress of which they have been slightly flattened.¹ They weigh about 52 grains; evidently derived from the *kalanju*, their original name being *pon*, which simply means gold in Tamil, becoming *hon* in Canarese, and the origin of the Mahomedan *hūn*. They appear to have been in use for a great length of time, and probably constituted a considerable portion of the vast treasures transported to Delhi by the armies of Ala-ud-din and his successor in the fourteenth century.² These, besides what were recoined in the royal mint, were distributed with such a lavish hand among the nobles and followers of the Court, as related by their own historians, that examples were still to be seen at Delhi long afterwards. For Tavernier, who visited India in the seventeenth century, has figured this³ and some other early types of Southern coins, under the title of *pagoda*, “which are current in the territories of the king of

¹ The name given to these coins in the old Canarese dialect is *gulige*, meaning a globule or little ball, whence the form *gu* with a numeral is employed in old accounts as the sign for expressing pagodas.

Several of these were procured in the Sunda pargana in 1828, and were sent to Dhārwar, whence some were transmitted to the Madras Central Museum.

² Briggs's *Ferishta*, vol. i. pp. 374–6; Elphinstone's *India*, vol. ii. p. 47; Zia-ud-din Barni in Sir H. Elliot's *Historians of India*, vol. iii. pp. 203–4; Thomas's *Pathān Kings of Delhi*, pp. 144, 157, 169, etc.

³ See plate, fig. 1, edition of 1690. London, published by Edward Everard.

Golconda, the king of Visapour, the great Rája of Carnatica, the Rája of Velouche (Vellore?) and at the Diamond mines."¹

A unique specimen of this primitive form, with a deep punch-mark, but of a later date, because stamped on the reverse, was obtained by Mr. Gibbs from the Western provinces of the Bombay Presidency during the famine of 1878-9. It weighs 65½ grains, and has a single punch-mark of large size, covering nearly the whole of the obverse, giving it a cup shape. The punch-mark represents an animal, apparently a monkey (Hanuman?). The convex reverse has also an animal in relief, which seems to be a lion looking backwards (?)—the symbol of the Kadamba dynasty.

Of an earlier date than this, and apparently the second step in advance from the primitive form, is that of very thin almost circular pieces, nearly an inch and a half in diameter, weighing about 66 grains, noticed on p. 51. The very few examples that have been met with, all of which were found beyond the limits of India proper, are recognized by the figure of a boar in the centre, as well as by the legend, to belong to the Chalukya dynasty. The letters, which are few in number, are large and rude, have been impressed singly round the periphery by means of separate punches, and correspond with characters of the fifth or sixth century.

These were succeeded by flat, round, thicker pieces of superior workmanship, which have received the name of *padma-tankas*, from having what is called a lotus in the centre, a favourite emblem amongst the Jainas, who were then the most influential sect in the South, and were distinguished for their skill in elegant art. The central figure is surrounded by punch-marks, generally four in number, struck afterwards. This form, as will be noticed, was imitated by the Chalukyas in supersession of the thin plates above described, the figure of the boar being substituted for that of the lotus. All these were of heavier weight than the *pon* or *hún*, which, under the name of *varaha* or pagoda, afterwards became general. The normal weight, as in the oldest pieces first mentioned, was from fifty to fifty-two grains, whereas these are from fifty-five to sixty-five, or even seventy grains. The reason of this I am unable to explain, unless it be owing to the ruder and less accurate processes of earlier times. The gradual improvement exhibited in the later pieces is probably due, as above observed, to the skill of the Jainas.

The small number of specimens extant do not allow us to trace the steps by which the use of the punch gradually gave way to the exclusive employment of a matrix or die. The die at first was of the simplest form, and appears to have been a reversal of the superior action of the punch by striking the gold plate upon the single symbol placed below, and then adding the additional symbols by the old-fashioned process around the central device,

¹ To this he appends representations of eight of these *pagods*, four of half *pagoda*, "and five of smaller pieces of gold called *fanos* (fanams), which are of different value. There are some, whereof six go to a crown; others from ten to fifteen, and some are very base metal. This is the money that passes all along the

coast of Coromandel, from Cape Comorin as far as Bengala, and they have very little other than that, besides the *pecha* (paise) of copper and the shells (cowries) which pass for small money."—Tavernier's Six Voyages, part ii. pp. 4-5.

leaving the other side or reverse plain, except where it shows the grain of the anvil or basis on which it rested. The force of the blows has in many instances given the upper side a concave surface. This, although accidental, appears to have led to the use of cup-shaped dies at a later period, as exhibited in the *Rāma-tanka* medals.

The superior execution of the *padma-tankas* ruled for a considerable time with many variations, until the adoption of the double die led to the final and complete disuse of the punch.

The earliest design on the reverses is that of an elegant floral or arabesque pattern, whence perhaps the name of *p'hūlihūn* = flowery pagoda, was derived. It appears to have been very generally adopted, for it is found on the Chalukya, Chéra, etc., coins. The oldest example of this device with which I am acquainted is that figured in the Madras Journal, vol. iii. n.s. pl. viii. fig. 30, from an example in the Madras Government Museum.

The object on the obverse appears to be a seat or couch on which is a small globular body, and above it three others placed horizontally, the whole within what may be termed some kind of edifice.

The origin and authorship of this coin we have been quite unable to discover, but it and some others of a similar character call for fuller notice.

The first to be mentioned, because probably the oldest, the reverse being plain, are the silver coins found at Sultānpūr, near Wai, in the Sātārā district, which Dr. Codrington has so well described in the Bombay Journal, vol. xii. p. 400. Out of the fifty-two pieces found, fifty bore on the obverse a curious device, which may be described as three bosses or elevations, the biggest surrounded by a larger circle from which pass double lines connecting it with the smaller ones. They are of three sizes, which appear to be whole, half and quarter pieces, weighing respectively from 99 to 105 grains, from 45 to 58 grains, and from 21 to 23 grains, in which we may trace an approximation to the double, single, and half *kaṇju*.

Another of the symbols is likened by Dr. Codrington to one of the figures selected from punch coins published by Mr. Thomas in Prinsep's Essays,¹ and repeated by him in this work (Vol. I. p. 62).

The image on the third is indistinct, but has been compared to a quatrefoil. The latter weigh from 108 to 110 grains respectively.

In 1877 three gold pieces were found near Ahmadnagar, which appear to belong to this same class. Dr. Codrington was good enough to send me casts taken from wax impressions of them, but they are too indistinct for accurate description. Traces of figures similar to those on the silver pieces can be detected. The reverse is plain, showing only the marks of the base on which it was struck. Their weight was not stated.

Next we have a coin which is only known from the figure (2) published by Tavernier in his list of *pagods* before mentioned. It is a gold piece with four transverse horizontal bars, two and two, in contact with each other, and between the pairs a considerable space in which

¹ Vol. i. p. 211.

are four smaller vertical bars curved at the bottom, and some indistinct marks above and below the transverse bars. The reverse is not figured, and may therefore be considered to have been plain.

The last to be noticed is a gold pagoda, with perfect obverse and reverse, specimens of which, still extant, are by no means very rare, and may probably be of later date. It has been figured by Marsden.¹ The curious figure on the obverse may be described as a heart-shaped symbol, from the upper lobes of which two pointed lines rise (often truncated by the margin), and between them a dot; sometimes to the left of these are another dot and a curved line. The figure on the reverse resembles a flat dish in which are two rounded forms like balls. Marsden calls the piece a "Hún of Vijayapúra, Bijapúr, or Visiapor," and states, "it exhibits emblems, the signification of which is entirely unknown." This he seems to have done on the authority of Tavernier, who represents it in the plate before mentioned, as figs. 3 and 4, under the designation of the "king of Vasapoor's pagod." He hesitates, however, rightly, to assign it to the Adil-Sháhi dynasty, which dates only from the end of the fifteenth century (1489 A.D.), and conjectures it to have been struck by some Hindu prince who reigned there at an earlier period; a suggestion which received support from the appearance of Persian characters on two specimens in Dr. Codrington's possession, one of which has the letter (*ain*) ع, and the other (*sin*) س impressed on the heart-shaped symbol. I conjecture, therefore, that Yussuf Adil Sháh, finding these pieces in circulation in a part of his newly-conquered territory, continued their issue, with the simple addition of the letters above mentioned, until he could establish an orthodox Moslem type of his own.

The Madras Museum possesses one with apparently some indistinct marks in the centre, and there is a similar one in the British Museum.

It is not easy to discover what is typified by the remarkable symbols on the several pieces just mentioned. They form a class altogether singular, and differing from any other description of money I have ever seen, but as they undoubtedly belong to the Western side of India, where the Jaina faith has so long flourished, they may not improbably be connected with that remarkable people.

Gradually the gold coinage came to exhibit more definite devices, with the legends in Hálá-kannada (or old Canarese) and Nágari characters. The coins themselves became narrower and thicker, but without any alteration of the standard weight, and this continued to be the prevailing character down to 1833—thus more nearly corresponding with the original simple type first mentioned. They were also coined in halves under various names, as *pratápa*, *máda*, etc., having the same device as the full-sized piece. This is likewise repeated on the gold fanams of $\frac{1}{2}$ pagoda of the same period. Other fanams of later date have a character of their own, and cannot be assigned to any particular mint. They are of the standard weight of five grains, and will be more fully noticed in connection with the Dravidian coinage.

¹ Edition of 1823, pl. xlviii. fig. mxxvii.

(b) SILVER.

From the extensive range of the silver *elding* as the prevailing medium of exchange, we might naturally look for an abundant succession of silver die-coins when the former passed out of use. But such is not the case. Antique die-struck pieces of silver are rarely to be met with. The absence of all mention of silver money is conspicuous in the narratives of the plunder of the Dakhan. Ferishta indeed expressly states that in A.D. 1310-11, Malik Káfur, on his return to Delhi, presented his sovereign Alá-ud-din with the plunder he had collected,¹ including 96,000 maunds of gold alone,² adding: "It is remarkable that silver is not mentioned as having been taken during this expedition to the Carnatic, and there is reason to conclude that silver was not used as a coin in that country at all in those days. No person wore bracelets, chains, or rings of any other metal than gold; while all the plate in the houses of the great and in the temples was of beaten gold." In fact, the currency continued to be mainly of gold until the Mahommedans came to be permanently established in the South. Their preference for the Rupee led to the introduction of a silver currency, without, however, displacing the gold previously in circulation.

Nevertheless, it would be rash to conclude from such negative evidence that silver was never coined in early times, for I have five specimens of Chola coins, of the Rája Rája type, struck in the eleventh century, which, as well as the pieces found at Sultánpúr (page 55), are undoubtedly silver. It is also probable that the Kurumbar coins, alluded to at page 36, although I was unable to test them, are of the same metal. Early Chola coins have also been found of impure silver, and the Mackenzie Catalogue contains a few items, the description of which is too vague to allow of their identification.³ In addition to these instances, I may add that I possess a few small pieces, found in Mañabar which appear to be those mentioned by Dr. Dellon as current in the seventeenth century, on the Western coast under the name of *táré*. Thus, describing the daily wages of labour, he says: "To every one of these natives you pay 8 *társ* per diem, which amounts to half a fanam. The fanam is a small piece of gold worth 16 *társ*, and the *táré* is a small silver coin worth a halfpenny. Each native has not above 4 *társ* a day when he keeps guard in a house" (i.e. home or domestic labour), "but when he travels he has double pay." My five specimens weigh 1 grain and $\frac{1}{6}$ (1.7) each, and have an erect formed figure on the

¹ Briggs's Ferishta, vol. i. p. 376.

² The diversity of the maund throughout India makes it difficult to estimate the value of this plunder; but taking the maund at 30, a medium between the Dakhani maund of 25 lbs. and the Ain-Akhari of 34½ lbs., we get the sum of £2,880,000, or nearly three millions sterling; and this, be it remembered, was the spoil of only one of the four expeditions conducted by the same leader.

³ "No. 11. Ancient Hindu coins; two found, with the figure of Hanumán." (7 Ceylon Rája Rája type, see above). "No. 12. Ditto, ditto; three found—uncertain." "No. 13. Nrisimha Deva's half rupees; four found." "No. 20. Old half rupees Bijanagar; four found; Rajaram's stamp in the Nágari character." Cat. vol. ii. App. pp. ccxxvii-viii.

obverse, with the anomalous symbol of the gold fanama, to be noticed afterwards, on the reverse. They have long ceased to be current, and the very name *târé* is scarcely known to the present inhabitants, but may be recognized in the Malayálim and Canarese *táram* "A copper (??) coin half a pice, or $\frac{1}{2}$ fanam" (Gundt. Mal. Dict. p. 445).¹ Another small silver piece, received with the former, weighs 2 grains and $\frac{1}{16}$, and has a rude standing figure on the obverse, with the hexagonal diagram, formed by two triangles interlaced, on the reverse. I have also seen a few others in the Dakhan, of no great antiquity, weighing from three to three and a half grains.

The substitution of silver money increased with the intercourse of European traders. Single and double fanams were coined at the different factories of the English, French, and Danish settlements; the French distinguished by the *fleur-de-lis*, and afterwards by a cock; the Danish by the cipher of King Christian. The same practice was adopted in Maisur after the fall of Seringapatam, and the Travancore State issues large numbers of silver *chakrams* to the present day.

In the English factory at Madras, in 1811, a silver pagoda coin was introduced about the size of a half-crown, having a rude representation of a goddess on the obverse, and the gate-tower, or *goparam* of a temple, on the reverse, with the monetary designation of the piece in English, Tamil, and Telugu characters.² At the same time the authorities issued a large supply of single and double, half and quarter rupees, and silver fanams of an improved form, in pieces of one, two, three, four, five fanams; the silver rupee of 180 grains being convertible at the rate of 350 Rs. for 100 pagodas of account.

(c) COPPER.

The oldest form of a copper coin that has been observed is a round ingot or spherule, somewhat depressed by the impact of an obscure sign above, with an occasional mark of the anvil or support beneath. The few before me weigh from 16 to 59 and 61 grains, and still smaller pieces from grs. $2\frac{8}{15}$ to 4, and $7\frac{8}{15}$, having apparently some reference to the double silver *târé*, mentioned in the preceding section.³ Flat, square, and perfectly smooth pieces also occur, two weighing $10\frac{1}{2}$ and $34\frac{1}{2}$ grains. These examples⁴ are too limited in number to authorize us to pronounce on the relation they bear either to the unit of the system, or to each other; but they appear to exhibit an affinity to the *kañyu*.

¹ Madras Journal, vol. xvii. o.s. vol. i. n.s. 1856, pp. 49-50. Claude Dallon, a French physician, sailed from Port Louis in 1668, and after visiting the islands of Bourbon, Madagascar, and the Malabar coast, settled at Daman, where he practised several years. He published the *Relation d'un Voyage fait aux Indes Orientales* in 1685, and a translation in English, by T. Crull, appeared in London in 1698.

² See note 4, p. 51 ante.

³ I regret that I did not pay more attention to these when I had the opportunity, for though not uncommon they are unattractive to the collector.

⁴ See also pl. vii. vol. iii. n.s. Madras Journal, figs. 2, 3, and 4.

The smallest denomination of the copper currency was the *kāsu*, a true Dravidian word, common to the Tamil, Telugu, Canarese, and Malayālim dialects. Although latterly it was used chiefly as a term of account, it has also the general significance of money, wealth, and likewise of coin, as *pon-kāsu* = gold coin, *vella-kāsu* = silver coin, *semba-* (or red) *kāsu* = copper coin; and as well as of particular coins, e.g. *anai-* (or elephant) *kāsu* applied to the pagoda of the Chéra or Kōngu dynasty, stamped with the figure of that animal; *sāndr-kāsu*, the Venetian ducat or Sequin,¹ the large numbers of which on the Malabar coast testify to the once extensive commerce with Italy by way of the Red Sea. The *kāsu* represents the cowrie of Bengal, eighty of which make a *paṇ*, and is in fact a copper cowrie; eighty *kās* in like manner constituting a *fanam* or *paṇa*.² The *kāsu* has been identified by Mr. Ellis with the Sanscrit *karsha*, and they are probably both derived from the same original source; for according to the law-books "a *karsha* or eighty *ratis* (*raktikas*) of copper is called a *paṇa* or *karsha paṇa*." Afterwards it came to be restricted to "a weight of gold or silver equal to 180 grains troy,"³ and it is in this view it is to be understood in the Andhra inscriptions at Nasik. But that it was originally a small copper coin seems clear, for in the legend of Purna quoted by Burnouf in the Introduction to the History of Indian Buddhism, Vāsavadattā, in the passage where the silver money is quoted in *purāṇas* and the gold in *sucarnas*, speaks of the *karsha paṇa* as of the smallest value; as if, according to Burnouf, it responded to the expression, "Not worth a sou," just as the people at Madras now use the exactly similar saying, "Not worth a cash."

In addition to the changes in value attaching to the same names from lapse of time, local usage, and their reference as measures of weight to different metals, they also come to have a special meaning as terms of account. Until the introduction of the Rupee Standard, the public accounts of the Madras Presidency were kept in pagodas. According to this formula,

$$80 \text{ cash} \dots\dots\dots = 1 \text{ fanam. } 42 \text{ fanams} \dots\dots\dots = 1 \text{ star pagoda.}$$

This was founded on the exchange and relative value of the cash to the silver fanam, according to which twelve and a half of the latter went to the rupee, and three and a half rupees to the pagoda, giving forty-two fanams for the latter. The rate of exchange for the pagoda varied in the bazaar from thirty-five to forty-five silver fanams, native merchants adopting the larger or forty-five fanams in their accounts. Besides the earlier silver coinage of the East India Company before 1837, they issued copper pieces made at Birmingham so early as the end of

¹ So called from the figure of the Doge standing in front of St. Mark's Cross, in which the native imagination sees the *sāndr* or toddy drawer preparing to climb the palmyra-tree.

² The use of the cowrie shell as a medium of exchange has long been known in Bengal; but that they were well known in Drāvidiśam is proved by their Tamil name *kavēdi* (cowrie?). The popular name of cowrie has been derived from the Persian word *khurmūhra* خرْمُوهر = ass or mule trappings, which are ornamented with strings of these shells. But more probably it is the Tamil name *kmuri* for the *abrus* or *rati* plant, and is still used in Tinnevely, as we see it in the

table of weights in Note 1, p. 52. The Arabic name, according to Ibn Batuta, who makes mention of their export from the Maldives, is *suḍḍi* (سُذِّي) whence they still come to Bengal in large quantities, as proved by their fluctuating value in the market. In 1740 a rupee in Bengal exchanged for 2,400 cowries; in 1840 for 6,500.—Sir H. Elliot, Supp. Glossary, p. 373, and Vol. I. Part. I. L.N.O. p. 38.

³ Colebrooke's Misc. Essays, vol. i. p. 530; Wilson's Sans. Dict. p. 199; Wilson's Glossary, pp. 265, 267, and pp. 17-22, etc.; Vol. I. Part I. of the L.N.O.

last century in the form of one, five, ten, and twenty cash pieces, the last weighing 180 grains.¹ Assuming the *karsha pāṇa* of copper to be of the same weight as that stated above, on the authority of the lexicographers, to be that of the *karsha pāṇa* of gold and silver or 180 grains, this twenty-cash piece would be its representative in copper.²

The conclusion to be drawn from the foregoing details is that the monetary system of India (certainly of Southern India) is of indigenous origin, based on rude seminal and testaceous exponents of value, which have been exchanged for definite metallic counters, regulated by artificial skill, their original names, and the numerous changes and variations in which exhibit a certain affinity, indicative of their common origin; but, as Prinsep observes, "the discrepancies are common throughout, the simple word being all that can be identified as having survived the changes of system."

An endless variety of copper coins, not falling under any of the foregoing descriptions, is now met with in the bazaar throughout India, derived from the right assumed by every petty Rāja and local chief to issue money in his own territory.

The process of deterioration had made some progress in the latter days of the Vijayanagar dynasty, for when Cæsar Frederick visited Vijayanagar in the year 1567, only three years after the battle of Talikota, he states that in travelling thence to Ankola on his way back to Goa during the Monsoon he suffered much inconvenience from the rain. "Another trouble we had," he continues, "as bad as this was, that when as wee came into a new governour's countrey, as every day we did, although they were all tributary to the King of Bezeneger, yet every one of them stamped a several coin of copper, so that the money that we tooke this day would not serve the next."³

The country, therefore, was probably in a state of anarchy and confusion; but the change of the ruling power was too recent to give rise to such a diversity of coins, if the practice had not existed for some time previously. Such a licence in a country which has undergone so many revolutions, and where the same inducement to convert the copper to other purposes, as in the case of the precious metals, does not exist, has left an endless variety of form and device belonging to every age. These it would answer no useful purpose to attempt to classify and describe.

One denomination, however, has a larger circulation, especially in the Dakhan, under the name of *shāhi paisa*. They are irregular-shaped pieces of thick copper, weighing about 150 grains.⁴ The oldest are quite smooth, but others, more recent, coined in the Nizam's dominions, have occasionally two or three Persian letters. In the English territory they are being gradually superseded by the issues of the regular mint, but are still numerous in the Haidarābād country.

¹ Prinsep's Useful Tables, p. 52.

² In the shell or cowrie system the *kāṣan* of sixteen *pāṇa* seems to have taken the place of the *karshapāṇa*; at least Wilson in the Glossary gives it as a synonym of *kāṣan*. *Vide* Gloss. p. 248.

³ Hakluyt Col., vol. ii. pp. 348-9.

⁴ One hundred pieces selected gave an average of 141.54 grs. several being above 150 grs., some less. This is less than the weight of the piece which Heyns (Tracts on India, p. 81) recommends, under the name of the Masulipatam *dub*, to be adopted as the standard weight of the country. He states it weighs nearly half an ounce.

PART II. SECTION iii.

SOUTH-WESTERN COINS.

ANCIENTLY the country at the extreme south of the peninsula (Drávida-désam) consisted of three leading States, one or other of which was generally in the ascendant. Such appears to have been the position of Chéra before the fourth century, when its dominions comprehended that part of the Western coast known as Kéraja, extending from Cape Comorin as far north as Gokernam and Goa; but which in its more restricted sense was confined to the country south of the Chandragiri river, including the districts of Kuva and Mushika, which, although often enumerated among the seven Konkans, are likewise also considered as subordinate divisions of Kéraja proper. See Introduction, p. 3, note 2.

The population of this tract is very remarkable, consisting chiefly of two leading classes: A tribe of Turanian¹ warriors,—the Nairs, who are supposed to have been led from the Himálayas by the mythical hero Parasu Ráma, and a tribe of Bráhmans called Nambúris, exercising a predominating influence, which they still retain, over the fortunes of the province.

Parasu Ráma is represented among the *Avatárs* of Vishnu as a warrior wielding a battle-axe, still recognizable perhaps in the national weapon, or *Ayudha-katti*, of his followers the Nairs. Under the Chéra kings Kéraja was administered by Viceroys, who had the title of Perumál, or Chéruman Perumál, deputed from the capital of Dalavanpura (Talkád), and residing at Kodungalúr or Oranganore. According to the *Kéraja Ulpatti* they appear to have been assisted, and perhaps in some degree controlled, by the Nambúris and the Nair chieftains, until the middle or end of the fourth century. About this time the two latter threw off the Chéra yoke, proclaimed their independence, and partitioned the territory amongst themselves. This, according to F. W. Ellis, whose accuracy is well known, occurred in the year 389 A.D., and being supported by other testimony, may be accepted with confidence.²

None of these obtained a paramount influence, nor have we any account of a dynastic power that has left its mark on the early coinage of the province. But according to the

¹ Mr. Brian Hodgson informs me that the Newars of Nepál retain the tradition of the Návars, or more commonly Nairs, having migrated from their clan, and claim kindred with them.

² Trans. Mad. Lit. Soc. p. 19, 4to., London, 1818.

somewhat vague traditions which have reached us, the country seems to have been divided into seventeen or eighteen districts (or *náḍus*),¹ the heads of which were either Bráhmans, as the Nambúrí chief of Tirumánachéri, or Kshatriyas, of whom five, called *Kóvil* Rájas (from *kóvil* a palace), are noted, viz.

1. Ayarúr (or Ayatúr kóvil) ...
 2. Shárkara
- } Near Chéttuva.
3. Cury or Paráppúr, near Beypúr. Its prince is called the Veypúr Rája.
 4. Paḍinnattedam (Western Palace). The dynasty of Koḍungalúr, now Cranganore or Mangáṭṭu.
 5. Máḍattinkil, also Máḍaṭṭal (Lower Palace). Probably the dynasty of Karunágapalli.

Title now assumed by the Rája of Cochin;

and an inferior class usually called *Sámantas*,² of whom eight are specified, viz. Kólaṭṭiri, Travancore, Calicut, Valluva, Kurumba, Nedungádu, Óna, and Vimbala.

In course of time all the above-mentioned merged into four independent States, which became the ruling powers in the land, two in the South and two in the North. They were called *Svarápas*, and the Rájas of three of them were further distinguished as *Sámanta*,² a term now restricted to the offspring of a Nair princess and a Nambúrí father.

The first, Vénádu, now Travancore, comprises the two most southerly konkans, Kuva and Mushika, and a part of Kérala proper. It is still an independent kingdom of considerable extent, having been enlarged by conquest in the last century. The other, Perimpadappu, now Cochin, is also independent, but smaller, and is included in Kérala proper. It had been considerably reduced by the encroachment of the Zamorin, when the Portuguese came to its aid and restored its power. The Rája also takes the title of Máḍattinkil or Máḍaṭṭal, which belonged to one of the five Kshatriya chiefs, whom he probably represents.

The rest of Kérala, to its northern boundary the Chandragiri river, was, in the main, divided between the two northern states, Neḍiyirippu or Neḍuvirippu, now Calicut, and Kólaṭṭiri. The chiefs of the former were distinguished for their military prowess. The first, one of the *Sámantas*, called Sámudri, whence the Portuguese term of Zamorin, is said to have been invested with a sword by the last Chéruman, who at the same time presented a shield to the head of the Arngottu family in Waluvanádu, and enjoined them to live in peace, an injunction the Zamorin soon forgot. This chief is also called Támúṭiri, with the proud addition of Kunn-ala-kónátiri=Lord of the hills and waves. He built the city of Calicut, and encouraged the resort of Arab merchants, whereby he shared

¹ In local parlance all these eighteen divisions are now comprehended under the general terms of *náḍu*, *kóvil*, and *edam*.

² Gund. Mal. Diet. p. 1052, s.v. *Sámantam*. The word has

two meanings; the first applicable to chiefs in general, as where it first occurs above; the second as will be found in the next paragraph, to the issue of a particular marriage.

in the profits of the western commerce, and succeeded in humbling Cochin, until the arrival of the Portuguese diverted the course of trade from Calicut to Goa and Cochin. The other, Kólatṭiri, was better known under its Portuguese form of Cólatri, a name sometimes erroneously confounded with the eastern district of Kálatri. The chief of this state is generally termed by Portuguese writers King of Cannanore, from whom they received permission to construct a fort and establish a factory. It was taken by the Dutch 1664 A.D., and later passed into the hands of the British. In the town of Cannanore a merchant's family rose to eminence about three hundred years ago, and acquired considerable power, which they still retain. They bear the title of Ali Rájas, the head of which, according to the female law of inheritance adopted by the sect, is styled the Bibi of Cannanore. All of these probably exercised the right of coining money in their own name, and the Rájas of Travancore and Cochin continue to do so, but I have not met with any early productions of their mints.

The commerce of the West introduced large quantities of Venetian sequins before mentioned as *sánár-kúsus*. From their long-continued currency they came to be considered by the people as of native origin, and have still a limited circulation. The remaining portion of Kérala from the Chandragiri river, which is also the boundary of the Tulu and Malayálim dialects northward, was not appropriated by the chiefs who expelled the Perumál, but was probably held by similar petty landholders. Ultimately it fell under the dominion of the Kadamba dynasty.

DYNASTIES AND COINS OF KUNTALA.

1. KADAMBA DYNASTY.

THE Kadamba kingdom is the earliest of which we have any reliable knowledge in South-western Kuntala. It arose, probably, about the fourth or fifth century in the Forest of Sunda, and comprehended likewise the greater part of Tuluva below the Gháts, and the North-western portion of Maisur. Its capital was the city of Banawási (in the district of Sunda, Sudha or Soda), which appears to have been a place of importance at a very early period, as it is mentioned by Ptolemy.

The population of Sunda consists mainly of two classes, one a peculiar sect of Bráhmans called Haigas, the other, a class corresponding to the military tribes of the table-land, and known by the name of Halepáiks, but now engaged in extracting the *tári* (toddy) juice from palm trees. The former, unlike the generality of the twice-born castes, are agriculturists. They dwell apart, engaged in the cultivation of the cardamom, the betel-vine, and areca-palm, in secluded spots called *káns*, rarely invaded by the axe, and into which the rays of the sun with difficulty penetrate. Their account of themselves is that they were brought from a place called Ahi Chhatra¹ (in Rohilkhand) by a prince named Mayúra Varmá. But this statement requires confirmation. It was probably devised by the Haigas themselves to give importance to their sect. They use the Canarese language, and are votaries of Siva, belonging to the *Smárta* sect. Who this Mayúra Varmá was we have no certain knowledge; but the general voice of the country recognizes him as the founder of the Kadamba family. Other traditions declare that the first of the race was born under a kadamba-tree² from a divine personage, who, under the names of the three-eyed and four-armed Mayúra or Jayanta of Trilóchana, of Trinetra, which are all epithets of Śiva, is associated with the worship of that deity. But Mayúra was a Jaina,³ as were also his successors, and the mass

¹ *Cun. Anc. Geo.* p. 360.

² Kadamba is the Malayálim name of a well-known tree—the *Nauclea Kadamba*. Gundert in his *Malayálim Dictionary* (p. 192) gives this signification, but adds two others which are not admissible. Mr. Rice states the name is that of a species of

palm from which toddy is extracted. Of this there is no sufficient proof. The word is not in Rheede (*Hort. Mal.*), who gives the figure of another species, *Nauclea Purpurea*, with a different native name.

³ Buchanan's *Journeys*, vol. iii. p. 213.

of the people long professed the same faith, which still survives in many places. It is easy to imagine that an alien tribe like the Haigas should desire to associate their arrival with a name so honoured as that of the founder of the celebrated dynasty. But it is not likely that he led their migration. The arrival of the Haigas probably took place before his time; this, owing to the early relations of Kérala with Northern India, may well have been the case.

We must therefore be content to accept the simple belief that Mayūra was the first of his family, and that he and his successors raised the kingdom to a degree of power and importance that enabled it to oppose the advancing progress of the Chalukyas at a later date, on something like equal terms. This we learn from the Aihole inscription of Kīrttivarṃa Chalukya I., and of his brother Maṅgalīśa at Bādāmi. The first states that that powerful king was the "night of death to the Nālas, the Mauryas, and the Kadambas," and again, that "straightway the Kadamba-tree, which was the confederacy of the mighty Kadambas, was broken to pieces by him."¹ A further confirmation, Mr. Fleet observes, is found in the inscription at Aḍūr near Hāngal,² in the heart of the Kadamba territory, by the Chalukya king conferring a grant of land on a Jaina temple near that town.

But the subjugation of their country does not seem to have then been complete, for in the minority which followed Kīrttivarṃa's death, Maṅgalīśa, who seems to have been equally powerful by sea as by land, is represented as laying siege to Banawāsi itself, supported by his ships on the western coast, after having worsted a hostile fleet, perhaps the allies of the Kadamba king. These events must have occurred about the middle of the sixth century, after which it is doubtful whether the Kadambas continued to be an independent power; for about this time three minor Kadamba States are found to be existing at Banawāsi, Goa, and Halsi, exchanging their sovereign title for that of Mahāmaṇḍalésvara.³ In this subordinate capacity they are mentioned on several occasions acting as faithful and loyal feudatories of the Chalukya kings, and this will account for the somewhat strange assumption by the Halsi branch of the Chalukya style and titles (Mānavyasa-gōtra, Hārīti-putra, meditating on the seven mothers of the sage Mahāsēna) which can hardly have been accidental, and is more likely to have been a mark of favour and condescension conferred by a superior.

In the eleventh century Kāli Vicrama (Vicramāditya VI.), who had been viceroy, under his father, of Banawāsi, received material assistance from Jayakēśi, the feudatory Kadamba chief of Goa, to whom he had given his daughter in marriage, in quelling the rebellion of his younger brother. These events indicate the subordinate position into which this

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 243.

² Ind. Ant. vol. xi. p. 68.

³ In the copper deeds translated by Mr. Fleet the grantors have no royal titles, but are styled simply Rāja and Mahā Rāja, a conventional form in general use. The same may also be observed in another Kadamba *śasana*, that of Gaṅgapemmanādi-Bhuvanāikavira-Udayādityadeva (A.D. 1075), at Balligāve in Maisur (Ind. Ant. vol. iv. p. 208-10), who in the face of his

declared fealty to his sovereign Bhuvanāikamalla Chalukya, not only omits the style of a subject (Mahāmaṇḍalésvara), but assumes some of the titles of an independent sovereign; and further, uses the epithet of Bhuvanāikavira, a near approximation to the title of his royal master. The subordinate title is found in most of the other Kadamba inscriptions at Bankāpūr, Hānagal, Balligāve, etc., all of which refer to Mayūra Varmā as their founder.

great family had declined, although it still continued to flourish long after the loss of its sovereign power.

The palmy days of Kadamba independence were probably during the fifth and sixth centuries, of which unhappily we have no record; but we may assume it to have been a period of prosperity and advance, favourable to that growth in art and civilization, for which the Jainas were conspicuous. It is to this period that I ascribe the origin of the *padma-ṭankas* mentioned in a previous section. We have nothing of an earlier date of which we can speak with any confidence, unless it be a hoard of *eldlings* found in the Konkan with the figure of a lion (?) superimposed on the earlier punch-marks. The symbols on the best known *padma-ṭankas* are, what is called a lotus (*padma*) in the centre, round which are four punch-marks, two resembling letters supposed to represent the word Śri, opposite each other. On the third a *ṣaṅkha* shell (*Turbinella rapa*), and opposite it a weapon, frequently a bow, the emblem of the Chéra dynasty. There is nothing to show by what particular ruler they were issued. They can only be assumed to have belonged to a Jaina dynasty, which the Kadambas are known to have been. Other coins of the same type can with more certainty be referred to the Kadambas as being impressed with the figure of a lion looking backwards, which is the undoubted cognizance of the race. Another of their insignia was the monkey god Hanumān,¹ and both these, it will be remembered, are exhibited by Mr. Gibbs' spheroidal piece before mentioned. Next, by an easy transition, we find the *padma* or lotus surrounded by four punch-struck lions, with floral reverse, and then the central symbol superseded by a *svastika*, with the same accompaniments, and that again by a lion with four smaller symbols interposed between the smaller lions, making eight in all, the reverse being plain.²

The next step in the Kadamba coinage is the introduction of the die, as exhibited in the discovery of a deposit at Hewli,³ in which the lion fills the whole obverse, with a floral device on the reverse, surrounded by what have been called Telugu letters, but which appear to be ornamental signs (?).⁴ After this the Kadamba coins assume a more modern aspect. Gold pieces of the twelfth century with the names of Jayakési and Sivachitta are described but not figured in Bombay Journal, vol. x. Proceedings, pp. xxiv, liii, and the Bombay Asiatic Society is supposed to possess specimens of them. According to inscriptions of the same date they are called *nishkas*,⁵ a term not before met with as applied to any specific coin.

Allusion was made in the previous section to the rude coins of the Chalukyas. These examples are very curious and deserve fuller notice. Not only were they not discovered in the Chalukya territory, but they have not hitherto been found within the limits of India proper. The only authentic instances of their occurrence have been on the shore of

¹ Bomb. Journ. vol. vi. p. lxxvi, and vol. ix. p. 230; also Ind. Ant. vol. vi. p. 22, vol. x. pp. 250, 254.

² Gleanings, pl. ix. figs. 34, 35, 36; Mad. Jour. iii. n.s.

³ Bomb. Journ. vol. ii. p. 63, pl. xii.; Gleanings, p. 235, pl. ix. fig. 37.

⁴ Most of the preceding are described on the faith of others, a few only being found in my own collection.

⁵ See Int. Num. Or. Vol. I. Part I. pp. 13, 15, etc.


the island of Ramri,¹ though others have been brought from the kingdom of Siam. Yet of their origin there can be no question, for they bear the undoubted impress of the Chalukya boar, as well as the name Chalukya, in large, rude Hāḷa-kannāḍa characters of the fifth or sixth century, each one impressed by a separate punch. Their occurrence in such distant localities may be explained by the description given of the exploits of Maṅgalīśa Chalukya, during a reign which lasted from A.D. 567-610, among which was the final overthrow of the Kadamba independence. He is also stated to have carried on expeditions by sea,² and to have especially achieved the conquest of Revatidvīpa, a place Mr. Wathen, following Colonel Wilford, suggests may have been Sumatra. Prof. Wilson is of opinion that it may have been an island off the coast of Mālabar. But we know of no such place, and the discovery of the coins on the coast of Aracan and in Siam, is in favour of a more distant locality. If the above surmise is correct, we may suppose them to have been coined by Maṅgalīśa or some one of his predecessors before the siege of Banawāsi, when the conqueror, struck by the beauty of the *padma-taṅkas*, adopted them as a model, in substitution of the ruder type which formerly prevailed in the Chalukya mint. The exact copy of the Kadamba type in two of the earliest Chalukya coins I possess, in which the figure of a boar is simply substituted for the lotus, while all the other characters are retained, seems to establish the correctness of this explanation. The genealogies of these later Kadamba chiefs are now pretty well known, but of the royal successors of Mayūra Varmā we have no reliable list; that in the inscription on stone at Kargudari, professing to deduce the names from Mayūra Varmā, being evidently imperfect on the face of it, while the Hāḷi line, as taken from Mr. Fleet's copper plates, does not correspond with any of the others.

¹ J.A.S. Beng. vol. xv. p. 240, pl. iii. fig. 6.

² In the abstract of the copper-plate inscription found at Miraj (J.R.A.S. vol. ii. p. 382; vol. v. p. 345) Mr. Wathen observes, "A singular circumstance is mentioned respecting a certain Rāja of this dynasty having sent an army in ships across the sea, and having conquered an island called Revatidvīpa. A very great intercourse prevailed, from the most ancient times, between the Coromandel coast and the eastern or Malay islands, into which the Hindū religion was introduced, together with the Sanskrit language. It therefore seems very probable that this alludes


to some conquest made over Sumatra, Java, or some one of these islands." The fact is further confirmed by the inscription on stone in the Méguṭi temple at Alhoje (Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 243, and vol. v. pp. 71, 72), translated by Mr. Fleet, where we find the following mention of Maṅgalīśa, "And again, when he wished quickly to capture Révatidvīpa, straightway his mighty army—which abounded in splendid banners, and which had beset the ramparts—being reflected in the water of the ocean, was as if it were the army of Varuṇa that had come at his command."

The following are these genealogical lists such as we have them:—First, that at Kargudari, Journal Royal Asiatic Society, vol. iv. p. 35, also Ind. Ant. vol. x. p. 249.




In this inscription Mahāmaṇḍalésvara Tailapa II. bears date, thirty-third year of the king=A.D. 1108-9. Now as he is only the sixteenth in the above list from Mayūra Varmā, and allowing fifteen years to each, it carries us back to 860 A.D., which manifestly cannot refer to Mayūra the founder. And even supposing the list to represent generations, which is hardly admissible, the usual calculation of three to a century would take us back to the middle of the sixth century, still leaving us short of the probable era of the first Kadamba.

2. That obtained from the Halsi copper-plates, Ind. Ant. vol. vi. p. 22.



The Halsi plates give these names, but have no date. Mrigēśa claims to be residing at Vaijayanti (Banawāsi), and his son Ravivarmā's allusion to the recovery of Palāsikā or Halsi apparently from Chappadappa, the Pallava lord of Kānchi, may assist in fixing his date.

3. That of the Goa branch as deduced by Mr. Fleet from inscriptions on stone. See Journal Bombay Branch Royal Asiatic Society, vol. ix. pp. 229-249, and pp. 262-313; also Bombay Journal Proceedings, vol. x. pp. xxiv-lili.



¹ These three last names *vide* Fleet.

2. CHALUKYA EMPIRE.

WESTERN CHALUKYA DYNASTY.

The similarity found to exist between the *padma-ṭankas* of Banawāsi and the early, though not the earliest, Chalukya coins, bring us to the consideration of the influence exercised by the latter dynasty on the coinage of Southern India, an influence neither inconsiderable nor transient, for it still exists, and has descended to the present time.

But first we will say a few words regarding such of their coins as have come down to us. These have been figured in my Gleanings, figures 1 to 5 inclusive (Mad. Jour. iv. n.s.). Figures 1 and 2 are those already noticed for their similarity to the *padma-ṭankas*. It needs but a glance to see how exact the imitation has been—an imitation by which they superseded the older and ruder specimens described in the Kadamba section. No. 3, copied from Moor's Hindu Pantheon, pl. 104, fig. 13, was found in Tipú Sulṭān's repository at the taking of Seringapatam. The obverse represents a well-formed boar, on the reverse is the floral design only found on older coins. The two next are of ruder workmanship, and show considerable deterioration from the preceding examples, so much so, that I hesitated whether to assign them rather to the Vijayanagar era. The boar on the coins of the latter, of which a considerable number in copper have been obtained, as also the seals on some of their *śāsanams*, have the addition of a sword in front of or over the back of the animal, and the absence of this characteristic on the two coins above mentioned inclines me to leave them among the¹ Chalukya relics. From the extensive circulation of the Chalukya money bearing the figure of this animal, and its adoption by the succeeding dynasty of Vijayanagar, the name of the pieces in most of the vernacular dialects has come to be that of *varaha* or boar piece, even when the figure of the animal gave place to that of a deity, or some other symbol, as happened after the change in the Vijayanagar dynasty from the Kuruba to the Narsinga line.

The influence above adverted to, as still perceptible on the gold currency of the present day, is explained by the wide extent and long duration of the Chalukya power. Their history, though still by no means exhausted, has been so largely worked out by Mr. Fleet and others, that it is only necessary here to give a list of the two leading families, with a few of the most prominent incidents in their history, to assist in the identification of the coins.¹ Both lines descend from the same common ancestor—that Pulikēsi who first effected a permanent lodgement in the Dakhan. Their inscriptions contain the following high-sounding titles, viz.:—Chalukya *kula* (tribe); Mānavyasa *gōtra* (lineage); Hārīti *putra* (descended from); deriving the white umbrella and other ensigns of royalty from Kauśiki; preserved by the

¹ That they were a northern family cannot be doubted, and that they were previously settled in Gujārāt is also certain, as proved by the Kaira plates published by Professor Dowson (J.R.A.S. vol. i. n.s. p. 247). Although unsupported by any proof, the romantic legend of the poet Chand, in which he describes the inauguration of the four *agni-kula* families on Mount Abu, who became the progenitors of the warlike rulers—the

Chohāns, the Prāmāras, the Parihāras, and the Chalukyas—is invested with a certain show of probability as indicating that the Brāhmins had recourse to the aid of the aboriginal mountaineers when their differences with their Kshatriya compatriots became irreconcilable. See Col. Tod's graphic description in the Annals of Rājasthān, vol. i., also Jour. Eth. Soc. London, 1869, vol. i. n.s. p. 126.

seven mothers; worshipping Svámi Maháséna; who acquired the insignia of the peacock's tail (*mayúra pinch'ha*) and the spear (*kunḍá*) through the excellent favour of Kárttikéya; the (*caraha lāchana*) boar seal from the favour of Bhagávan Náráyaṇa. The other ensigns of royalty above referred to are the conch shell (*ṣaṅkha*); the noubat (*pancha mahāśabda*); the banner of the sharp sword (*páṭi*¹), and the *pratiḍakkā* (sort of drum); the sign or standard (?) (*pada*) of the Gangá and the Yamuná; the throne (*sinhāsana*); and the golden sceptre (*kanaka daṇḍam*).² Several of these symbols appear on the seals attached to their copper deeds, and might perhaps occur on their coins.

The earliest record of this family that has yet been found is in the Kaira copper-plates above mentioned, which record a grant made by Śrī Vijaya Rāja Sarvva, son of Buddha Varmá Rāja, and grandson of Jaya Simha, in A.D. 472, who must have lived, at least, thirty or forty years earlier. They were then a powerful family in Gujarát, with a mythical tradition of having previously reigned in Oudh, and distinguished by most of the same titles (*Mánava-gotra*, *Háriti-putra*, etc.), which appear in their later grants. No reliable mention of their settlement in Kuntala is found until, after some unsuccessful attempts, Pulikéśi I. crossed the Narbadá and took Vátápi (or Bádámi). These events probably occurred at the end of the fifth or beginning of the sixth century of our era, because Pulikéśi I. was the son of Raparága, Buddha Varmá's brother, and his cousin Vijaya's grant is dated A.D. 472. The legend published in the Madras Journal,³ on the faith of a copper-plate inscription of Rāja-rāja II., the Choḷa-Chalukya, of the eastern Chalukya branch, dated Saka 944, which ascribes the first invasion of Kuntala to an earlier Chalukya prince, has been critically examined and refuted by Mr. Fleet.⁴ A similar legend, evidently based on the same tale, was found by Col. Mackenzie in the annals of the Kákatiya family of Worāṅgal, a notice of which is given in the introduction to the catalogue by Prof. Wilson,⁵ affording another instance of the tendency of history to repeat itself. Pulikéśi I. may therefore be considered to be the true founder of the Dakhan family, and to have established his capital at Vátápi, identified by Mr. Fleet as Bádámi. Of his two grandsons, Pulikéśi II., surnamed Satyáśraya, continued the line, hence distinguished as the Western, on the table-land, while his younger brother Kubja Viṣṇuvardhana I., founded the eastern branch (of which a more particular notice will be given afterwards), below the Gháts at Rájamahendri in the province of Vengi, in the seventh century. The fortunes of the western house suffered a temporary obscuration, the date of which has not been accurately ascertained, but it must have been subsequent to Kirttivarmá II., for a grant by him is still extant,⁶ bearing the date of A.D. 758.

No record remains of the exact time or of the circumstances which reversed the hitherto successful career of the Chalukya house; but two historical events which occurred about this

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. vii. pp. 111, 245.

² Grant of Vijayarāja, Ind. Ant. vol. vii. p. 245.

" Pulikéśi " " vii. 214.

" Vikramāditya vi. " viii. 12.

Kaira Plates, J.R.A.S., i. n.s. p. 270. The meaning of the

terms *paṭi* and *pada* is not clear. I give them as rendered by Mr. Fleet.

³ Vol. iv. n.s. p. 78.

⁴ Ind. Ant. vol. vii. p. 244.

⁵ Vol. i. p. cxxvii.

⁶ Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 23.

time may have contributed to bring about that result. In the *Rājataranginī* there is an account of the military expedition of the Kashmirian king Lalitāditya,¹ who with his victorious army is there said to have swept over the whole of Southern India, and returned to his capital content with this proof of his prowess. I at one time thought that this event might have shaken the throne of the Chalukyas. But plausible as this explanation appears to be, it cannot be accepted as certain, being unsupported by concurrent testimony. It rests on the single authority of Kalhana paṇḍit, author of the *Taranginī*, and is by some, among whom I may include Dr. Bühler, who has paid considerable attention to this epoch, supposed to be altogether legendary. There is, however, abundant evidence to show that the prostration of the Chalukya empire was due to the rise of the Rāṭṭa state of Mānyakhēṭa, which maintained its superiority under a succession of warlike princes² for nearly two centuries, from the middle of the eighth to the middle of the tenth. The military prowess of one of these, Govinda III., rivalled the exploits of Lalitāditya. Between the years 785 and 810 A.D. he carried his victorious arms from the Tungabhadra to the Vindhya mountains. Powerful as the Rāṭṭas were, they were unable to retain their hold of these conquests, and were humbled and driven back to their old limits. The circumstances under which this took place are equally obscure. We only know that Tailapa II. is mentioned in several inscriptions as having defeated Karkara the last Rāṭṭa king, and recovered the throne of his ancestors in A.D. 973. He reigned twenty-four years and transmitted the throne to twelve of his successors, who ruled with more or less splendour at their capital of Kalyāṇa until the succession of Taila III. (A.D. 1150). About this time his chief military officer (*danda-nāyaka*), named Bijjala, of the Kalachuri family, set aside his master, usurped the throne, and, with his two sons, exercised the rights of sovereignty over a portion of the country.

The falling fortunes of the Chalukya house encouraged attacks from other quarters, and the invasions of the Yādavas on the west, and the Kākatīyas on the east, helped to precipitate their downfall. A show of independence was maintained by the feeble efforts of one or two of Taila's successors, until about 1189 A.D. the remnant of their dominions fell under the sway of the Yādavas.


The influence of their characteristic symbol, the boar, survives to the present time, not only in the vernacular name of the pagoda, but in the social habits of the people; who in some places employ weights and measures certified by the figure of a boar marked upon them, and use stamps or seals, similarly authorized, for marking the heaps of grain where the revenue is collected in kind, on the *metayer* system (Madras Journal, vol. iv. n.s. pp. 98-99).

The succession of the princes of this, undoubtedly the most important, dynasty of the Dakhan, is shown in the Genealogy appended on the following page.

¹ The exact date of Lalitāditya has been determined by Gen. Cunningham and Dr. Bühler, who have shown his reign to have lasted thirty-six years seven months and eleven days, or from 725 to 762 A.D. Now, as Kirtivarman's grant, above referred to, was conferred in Malsur, it is quite possible that if Lalitāditya's

success has any foundation in fact, it might have forced the Chalukya prince to retire to the extreme south of his dominions, and so have prepared the way for the success of other enemies.

² Dantidurga, or Dantivarman II., 726-765 A.D.; Kṛishṇa, 766 A.D.; Dhruva, 770 A.D.; Govinda III., 785-810 A.D.



3. RAṬṬA OR RĀSHṬRAKUṬA DYNASTY OF MĀNYAKHĒṬA.

This seems to be the proper place to notice the dynasties just mentioned (the Kalachuris and the Yādavas) that arose upon the ruins of the Chalukya state, and to trace their influence on the coinage of the country. But first, I will return to the Raṭṭas, whose temporary occupation of Kuntala has been already adverted to.

In common with several other dynasties, they claim to be of Yādava descent, and to be lords of the city of Lattalūra.¹ As we have before seen, they formed, with the Pallavas, one of the most important sections of the earlier inhabitants of the Dakhan, and, like them, offered a strenuous resistance to the settlement of the Chalukyas. Their range extended from Élurá, in the north, to the Kṛishṇa in the south, embracing the whole of Western Kuntala. The first seat of their power appears to have been at Élurá, where an inscription of Dantidurga is still extant,² and where his uncle Kṛishṇa constructed a magnificent temple on the hill at Élápura, another form, according to Prof. Bhandarkar, of Élurá.³ A succession of warlike princes greatly extended their dominions, till Amoghavarsha, after the battle of Vingavali, in the ninth century, established himself at Mānyakhēṭa (now Málkhéd), considerably further south, in the centre of the Nizam's dominions, which thenceforward became the capital.

We have little doubt that they are represented by the modern Mahrattā (Maha-Raṭṭa) nation, and must have occupied a space extending much beyond the limits of the present Mahārāshtra. If their identification with the Reddis of Eastern Telingana, as proposed by some commentators, be accepted, the conclusiveness of which however is doubtful, they will be found to occupy a much larger area than that at first supposed. For a considerable Reddi principality was established on the fall of Worangal at Kondavid,⁴ and still later, the powerful Zamindars of Venkatagiri⁵ are found to be descendants of the same stock.

As appears by their inscriptions, Raṭṭa chieftains were long settled at Saundatti, Parasgaḍ, Belgaum, and other places in the Southern Mahrattā country. Their name is sometimes found perpetuated in that of places, as Raṭṭihalli, a *taluḱ* south of Dhárwār; and, in the

¹ No city of the name has been found, but it occurred to me, on first reading the name, that it might have come from Lat-Elurá, a forced reading it is true. But seeing that the Rāshṭrakūṭa princes were rulers of Lāta, and that Elur was the first capital of the family, the surmise seemed not without a show of probability.

The province of Lāta lay to the south of Gujārāt. How far it extended in that direction is not clear, but probably it reached

to the confines of Kuntala and the Konkan, and formed part of the Rāshṭrakūṭa dominions, whence they derived the title of Lāta-vara. Lassen considers it to be the Latiké or Lariké of Ptolemy.

² Arch. Survey Western India, by Burgess and Bhagvānlāl Indrajī, 1881, No. 10, p. 95. ³ Ind. Ant. vol. xii. p. 229.

⁴ Mackenzie, Cat. vol. i. p. cxxxiv.

⁵ Taylor's Or. MSS. vol. iii. p. 476; also Madr. Jour. vol. vii. p. 372.

Kongudésa Charitra, the first prince of the Chéra dynasty in the far south is said to be of the "Retṭi" kula.¹ He and his seven successors are named in the Maisur inscriptions, p. xli.


The Ráshtrakútas have been distinguished from the Raṭṭas as a northern or Rájpút race, we doubt if on sufficient grounds. The probability is that the Raṭṭas flourished under rulers of their own in Western, as the Pallavas did in Eastern, Kuntala, and that their power was broken by the earlier invasions of the Chalukyas, who, under Jayasinha, overcame Indra the son of Kriṣṇa (names occurring again in their later genealogies), their then chief, and although we have no specific mention of them subsequent to this event, they were probably reduced to subjection as the Chalukya conquest proceeded. The desire to magnify their origin may have led to the substitution of the Sanskrit term Mahá Ráshtra for the simpler form of Raṭṭa, both which designations² are found as synonyms in the Saundatti inscriptions, and in an inscription obtained by me at Śirúr, near Dhárwár, Amoghavarsha-Nripātunga is distinctly said to be "born in the lineage of the Raṭṭas." (I. A. vol. xii. p. 220). Dr. Burnell more distinctly refers to the Sanskritizing tendency of official scribes, when recording grants in that language, giving, among other illustrations, that of "Ráshtra from Raṭṭa=Redḍi."³ A further point of similarity is found in the habits and pursuits of the two races; the Maharaṭṭa Kunbi and the Telugu Redḍi being equally conspicuous for their agricultural industry and skill.⁴ For the genealogy of this family we are indebted chiefly to the researches of Dr. Bühler supplemented by those of Mr. Fleet.

¹ J.R.A.S. vol. viii. p. 2; also Madr. Jour. vol. xiv. p. 4.

² See inscription at Bail Hongal in Ind. Ant. vol. iv. pp. 115-16 and 279-80.

³ S. Ind. Palmog. 2nd. ed. Introd. p. 1.

⁴ The equivalent term for "Retṭi or Redḍy" in the Tamil dialect according to Rev. Wm. Taylor is "Veḷḷāla," the most esteemed of Tamil agriculturists. — Madr. Journal, vol. xiv. p. 4, note.



The inscriptions from which the above genealogical list has been prepared¹ show that seventeen or eighteen princes (for there is some confusion as to the succession of the later names) ruled in the land,² occupying a period of 312 years, and giving an average of 18.6 per reign. Some of these must have been much within that proportion; for the inscription at Śirūr, before mentioned, is dated in the fifty-second year of Amoghavarsha I.³

¹ Ind. Ant. vols. xi., xii.

² Ind. Ant. vol. xii. p. 255.

³ Ind. Ant. vol. xii. p. 216.

None of the coins of this State have come under my notice. Bhau Daji¹ has figured certain silver coins found in the Nasik district, which General Cunningham² ascribes to a Krishna Rája of the fourth century. But the epigraph has been very imperfectly read. The execution is superior to that of any Dakhani coin of that age with which I am acquainted, and they seem to be nearly allied to those of the Sáh dynasty of Gujarát. The only clue we can give to what their coins may have represented, is the figure of a four-armed deity (? Śiva) confirming the Khárepátan³ grants, as shown in the annexed woodcut. Similar to this are the figures in relief, but they are not very distinct, on the seals of several copper grants such as those of Dantidurga, I. A. vol. xi. pp. 110-13; of Govinda III., in which the hoods of the snakes form a canopy over the god, *ib.* 126; of Prabhutavarsha, xii. 11; of Dhruva III., *ib.* 179.



From the above indications, the inference is, that this family were worshippers of Śiva. But it is remarkable that Amoghavarsha's inscription mentions that he "had the sign, or mark, or banner of Garuḍa," which indicates a tendency to Vaishnaism.

4. THE KALACHURIS OF KALYAN.

The founders of the first of the minor states before mentioned belong to a distinguished race, of whom an incidental notice will be found at p. 11 *ante*, as having been considered by Col. Wilford⁴ to be the representatives of the Haihaya tribe. They became celebrated as Kings of Chedi in Bandelkhand, and were the founders of Kálanjarapura (Kálinjar), from which most of the principal families claim to be derived. They are widely, though sparsely, distributed, and are found in Jabalpur in Ságor, Ratnapúr in Berár, etc., etc.

The branch now to be considered were chiefs (Mahámaṇḍaleśvares) of Taddevádi in North Maisur, and probably had charge of the neighbouring districts of Hángal, Banawási, Nonambavádi, etc. The head of the family in the twelfth century was Permádi. He was succeeded by his son Bijjala, who in addition held high military command at Kalyán under the Chalukya king. We know not how his disloyalty to his sovereign arose, but it is certain that he drove Tailapa III. from his capital about the middle of the twelfth century. He did not, however, immediately declare his independence. Following his sovereign to the south, we find him still using the subordinate style and designation, but in an inscription at Annigeri before 1162 A.D., which was about the date of Tailapa's death, he threw off the mask and proclaimed himself supreme. Among his titles he uses those of "Tribhuvanamalla," and "Lord of Kálanjarapura,"⁵ with the

¹ J. Bo. Br. R. As. Soc. vol. xii. p. 213.

² Arch. Reports, vol. ix. p. 30.

³ J. B. As. Soc. vol. i. pp. 209-16.


⁴ As. Res. vol. ix. 8vo. p. 105; Cun. Arch. Rep. vol. ix. p. 101.

⁵ Ind. Ant. vol. v. p. 48.

standard of the golden bull, the *damaruka* drum, etc.¹ Little is known of the events of his reign. He had four sons, of each of whom we find grants within very few years of each other. In fact, their usurped authority was never consolidated.

The son of Tailapa, Somesvara IV., continued to make head against them in the south for twenty years after his father's death, and finally succeeded in obtaining a precarious hold on the dominions of his family about 1182 A.D., the year at which we lose sight of the Kalachuri rule. His success was greatly promoted by the dissensions which prevailed at Kalyán on the introduction of a new religious sect called Lingáyats, which sprang up at this time. The Kalachuris were said to have been Jainas, and are so represented in the Basava-purána, the sacred book of the Lingáyats, although in their insignia is included the banner of a golden bull, which is also found on the seal of a grant of Singhana Déva, and, with the phallus-like representation of Mahádéva, heads the inscription on a stone tablet at Balagami.² The new creed was a form of Saivism, known as the Jangama or Vira Śaiva sect. Between them and the old sect a mortal enmity existed. Some account of the new religion will be found in the Jour. Roy. As. Soc.³ It is sufficient to state here that Basava, its founder, an *Ārádhya Bráhmaṇ*, was the minister or Dewan of Bijjala, whose power he undermined, and compassed his death, an event of which conflicting accounts are given. The exact date of Bijjala is unknown, but he is supposed to have reigned about eleven years. He was succeeded by his eldest son Somesvara, who ruled for eight more, after which his three younger brothers, in all of whose names inscriptions are extant, struggled to maintain the falling fortunes of their house for a short time longer. The latest record of Singhana, the youngest, is dated 1183 A.D., which would show the duration of the Kalachuri rule to have lasted only about thirty years.

Short as is this term, I have met with two coins, a pagoda with a standing figure advancing to the left, and on the reverse the words *Sovi Murári Ráya Déva*,⁴ and two or three fanams with the same device, which I refer to Bijjala's eldest son. As stated above, the seal on a copper grant of Singhana has the figure of a bull couchant, which may therefore have been also used on their coins. A genealogical list of the family follows.⁵



¹ The *damara* or *damaruka* is a small double drum shaped like a sand-glass, a distinction like the *noulat* (*pancha mudi pabde*), the right to carry which is conferred by the sovereign on men of rank. It is represented on the seal of Vishṇuvardhana Chalukya VII., pl. ii. fig. 1. Num. Gl. Madr. Journ. n.s. vol. iv.

² Ind. Ant. vol. iv. p. 274, and vol. v. p. 46.

³ Vol. iv. o.s. pp. 20, 22, Madr. Journ. vol. vii. pp. 212-214.

⁴ Inscriptions recording grants made by him are extant at Ingleswar and Kukkanúr in the neighbourhood of the Nizam's territory, and extending southwards into the western parts of Dhárwár. My coins were from the Sâtárá district.


⁵ Ind. Ant. vol. iv. p. 274.

5. THE YĀDAVAS OF DEVAGIRI.

Another of the minor States before mentioned was that of the Yādavas of Dévāgiri, who drove the Kalachuris out of Kalyán, and gained possession of the northern Chalukya districts. Its principal station was at Dévāgiri, the modern Daulatābād, a remarkable fortified hill of great strength, a few miles south of Élurá.

At this time the head of the family was named Bhīllama, who does not appear to have descended from distinguished ancestors, but is simply stated to be of the Yādu (*ramsa*) stock. No mention of him is found in the few inscriptions that have been copied, but one recently met with gives the names of his father Mallugi, and his grandfather Singhapa. After him we have nine successors, but only seven generations. The duration of the family as rulers did not exceed 124 years (or from 1187 to 1311 A.D.), when it was subverted by the arms of 'Alā-ud-dīn Muhammad Shāh, the Mahommedan Emperor of Delhi.¹

Coins of this family are rare. Their device was a golden *garuḍa*, a bird sacred to Vishnu. On a recent copper-plate edited by Mr. Fleet² the seal has the form of the god either seated or kneeling. If the latter, it may be meant for the semi-human form of Garuḍa. I have also two or three pagodas, representing Garuḍa, half man half bird, but cannot assign them with certainty to this dynasty. The use of the designation Dvárāvatipur-varadhiswara would seem to connect them with the family next to be considered, although no other proof of affinity appears. The following is a genealogical list.




¹ J.R.A.S. vol. iv. pp. 28-31; Briggs's *Ferishta*, vol. i. p. 365; Thomas's *Pathān Kings of Delhi*, pp. 156, 188.

² Journ. Bom. Br. Roy. As. Soc. vol. xv. p. 383.

6. YĀDAVAS OF DVĀRASAMUDRA COMMONLY CALLED HOYSAĀLA BALLĀLAS.

The other family deducing their descent from Yādu just mentioned, rose to celebrity at Dvārāvātipura, now called Dvārasamudra and Halabidu in Maisur. Now as the dynasty we have last been considering included among their titles that of Dvārāvātipur-varadhisvara, we may fairly conjecture that they were an offshoot from this family, since according to the well-known practice of other great houses, such epithets were always derived from some place of note, the earliest seat of the race. Be this as it may, it had not the effect of producing relations of amity between them. The obscurity of their origin is veiled under a legend of a native of Shāsākapura, named Sala, having protected a Jaina priest from the attack of a tiger, which animal, thenceforward, became their cognizance, and is represented on their seals. The exploit is also perpetuated in a group of statuary on the roof immediately in front of the tower, on a temple in the *tāluḥ* of Raṭṭihalli in the Dhārwār district, and repeated with variations on two other temples in the same *tāluḥ*. They are of considerable merit and are the only instances I have met with of free sculpture. The figure of the man is bold, and well shaped, but the animal more resembles the mythological *sirja* or *yāli*.¹ He is the reputed ancestor of Vinayāditya, the first of the family of whom we have authentic mention, who was a feudatory (Mahāmaṇḍaleśwara) of the Kalyān Chalukyas. From him is deduced the following genealogy:



From the name of Vinayāditya's grandson, which was likewise borne by others of his descendants, they are sometimes styled Hoysaṇa Ballālas. Although the family had been growing in importance for some time previously, as appears from Vishṇuvardhana, his grandfather, having taken Talkād, the capital of the Koṅgu Chéras, seizing part of their territory, and having added the country north of Dvārāvati as far as the Tungabhadra to his possessions, it was not till the close of the twelfth century that the second Ballāla (or Vira Ballāla) assumed regal titles. He seems to have been a warlike prince, and boasts that he had "despoiled the warrior race of Kaṭachuri," and overcome Jaitrasimha (probably

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. v. p. 179.

the second prince of the Dévagiri line).¹ As this was coincident with the fall of the Kalachuris, and as previous to that time all the members of the family bore the subordinate title, we may conclude that this event encouraged them first to declare their independence. Their power now rapidly increased and overspread the greater part of Kuntala. The tide of success however was turned in the reign of Singhaṇa, Jaitugi's successor.

On the death of Ballāḷa about A.D. 1211, the Hoysaḷas were driven back within their former limits of the Tungabhadra river. Narasimha then appears to have assisted the Chōḷa in his contests with the Paṇḍya king, obtaining considerable influence to the eastward, and residing much at Vikramapūr in the Chōḷa country.²

Nothing of importance is recorded of the three following princes, the last of whom, Ballāḷa III., was crushed (1310 A.D.) by the generals of 'Alā-ud-dīn,³ who, having completed the conquest of Worāṅgal in the previous year, captured Dvārasamudra, and made Ballāḷa a prisoner. He was afterwards liberated, and allowed to make a show of sovereignty at Belur until the final destruction of Dvārasamudra in A.D. 1326. After this, they retired to Tonnūr, in the north of Seringapatam, where they maintained an independent position with the modest style of *Danda-nāyakas*, equivalent to the European title of General.

Copper coins issued by them are still extant, having on the obverse the figure of an elephant facing to the right, surmounted by the Canarese Śrī, and a legend in the same characters on the reverse.

I have not succeeded in meeting with any Hoysaḷa coins, but the seal⁴ on the ring of a set of copper plates dated A.D. 1252, recording a grant by Someswara, in which he is called "Vīra Soma," "during his residence in his favourite city of Vikramapūr in the district of Kulakani-naḍ in Chōḷa-désam," etc., which I saw in the office of the Chief Commissioner of Maisur, bears the figure of a tiger, which, as above mentioned, was adopted as the cognizance of the family, as represented in the accompanying woodcut, and some such symbol will probably be found on their coins. This people continued to profess the Jain religion till the time of Vishṇuvardhana. He was converted to Saivism by Ramanuja Charya,⁵ who had fled from the court of Tanjore to escape the persecutions of Kerikala Chōḷa.



NOTE.—Since the above was written my friend Gen. Pearse has made me acquainted with a gold coin in his collection, of which the following is a description, and which will be represented in our plates.

The coins are of extreme rarity, two only being known, his own obtained in 1882, and a second in the Museum at Bangalore. The obverse has a maned lion advancing to

¹ Ind. Antiq. vol. II. p. 303.

² Inscription at Bangalore dated A.D. 1250. See Mysore Inscriptions, p. 322.

³ Briggs's Ferozshah, vol. I. p. 373; Zia-ud-din Barni, in Sir

H. Elliot's *Historians of India*, vol. III. p. 293.

⁴ See Mysore Inscriptions, p. 322.

⁵ *Cald. Comp. Gram. Introd.* pp. 129, 143; *Hist. of Tinnevely*, p. 30.

right, above his back and loins a smaller lion rampant, both facing what the General calls an altar or *stambha*. In front of the smaller lion is a sun. On the reverse three lines in old Canarese characters, reading on the one Śrī Nonambavādi Dónā, and on the other Śrī Talakadoo Dónā,¹ the latter proving the date of the coin to be subsequent to the time of Vishnuvardhana, the conqueror of Talkād. The Mackenzie Collection is also said to have contained a copper coin of the same Vishnuvardhana, on which was a figure of Ramanuja.²

7. KĀKATIYA OR GANAPATI DYNASTY OF WORANGAL.

The decay of the Chalukya empire favoured the rise of another small dynasty at Orugallu or Worangal, but the original seat was at Anumakoṇḍa, in its immediate neighbourhood, where the family had previously been settled. The materials for their history are few, viz. an inscription on stone at Anumakoṇḍa, three versions of which have been published.³ I have also an unpublished inscription on stone, from the Mangaligiri *taluk* in Guntūr.⁴

The first individual of this line of whom we have an authentic record was a chief named Prōla, whose origin is not very clear. He is called the son of Tribhuvanamalla in the inscription at Anumakoṇḍa, but this is a mere title assumed by several kings.⁵ and we must therefore consider Prōla as the founder of the family. He is said to have professed the Jain faith,⁶ and is styled a Mahāmaṇḍaleśvara, from which it is inferred that he was a feudatory of the Chalukya king. During the troubles that arose soon after the accession of Taila III., Prōla openly rebelled and made captive his royal master. He appears, however, to have returned to his allegiance, released the king "through devotion by his goodwill,"⁷ and acted against his enemies. He incarcerated one named Góvindarāja for a time, replacing him by a chief named Udaya; quelled the outbreak of another named Guṇḍa of Mantrakūṭa; and drove back a third called Jaggadēva, who had advanced to attack Anumakoṇḍa. Taila, however did not long survive, and the death of Prōla, which took place about the same time, probably 1160 A.D., threw upon Rūdra, his son and successor, the further prosecution of the war. He followed up his father's successes with vigour, subduing Bhima, Rāja of Vardhamānanagari, and his ally Chódódāya, who, perhaps, was the Chōla-Chalukya king of Vengi, or his general. Bhima was driven from

¹ Nonambavādi, the seat of the Kalachuris of Kalyān (see p. 77), is a district in Chitaldrág division, south of the Tungabhadra, in the kingdom of Maisur. I can find no such word as *Dónā* for a pagoda in any Dravidian Dictionary.

² Cat. vol. ii. App. p. cccxiv.

³ J.A.S.B. vol. vii. p. 901; Bom. Journ. Roy. As. Soc. vol. x. pp. 46-49; Ind. Antiq. vol. xi. p. 9.

⁴ On a pillar opposite the temple of Vivēswara Swāmi in the Malkapūram Agraharam in Mangalagiri *taluk*.

⁵ Chalukya, Hoysales, and Kalachuris.

⁶ Mackenzie's Cat. vol. i. p. cxxviii.

⁷ Ind. Ant. vol. xi. p. 17.

his territory to the forests, probably of the Eastern Gháts, and then with other rebel chiefs submitted to Rúdra's authority. During these successes, which are more fully detailed in the Anumakoṇḍa inscription, he enlarged and consolidated the Kákatiya dominions. Several additional particulars are found in two vernacular histories, summarized in Taylor's Catalogue.¹ Wilson also briefly notices them, but I refrain from quoting them because they rest on no sufficient evidence. We have no further account of Rúdra's proceedings, nor of the date of his death. He was succeeded by his brother Mahádéva, of whom still less is known, although some legends of his acts are embodied in the local traditions. After him came his son Ganapati, said by some to have been his nephew, and the son of Rúdra; but the Mangalagiri inscription expressly calls him the son of Mahádéva. Prof. Wilson states that the inscriptions of this prince in the Mackenzie Collection range from 1223-1261 A.D.,² but few authentic records of his doings remain, although traditionary statements are not wanting. He is said to have been a zealous votary of Śiva,³ and to have persecuted the Jainas, displacing the hereditary village accountants or *karaṇams* (karnams), and substituting in their stead Niyogi Bráhmans, who still retain possession of the office. That he was a prince of vigour and ability is established by the fact that from him the family is called the Ganapati as well as the Kákatiya dynasty. He died, about 1257 A.D., without male issue, leaving an only daughter, married to a scion of the Chalukya house. Rúdrāma Dévi,⁴ the widow of Ganapati, then assumed the direction of affairs. There is an inscription⁵ dated s.s. 1191=1269 A.D. in the twelfth year of her reign, which would place her accession in 1257 A.D.; so that the date of her husband's death, above mentioned, may be accepted with confidence. She is said to have held the regency for twenty-eight years, and then to have made over the government to her grandson Pratápa Rúdra. The early part of his reign appears to have been prosperous,⁶ and marked by successes over his neighbours, but these were obscured by the disasters of its close. The tide of Mahommedan conquest had now reached Telingana. We learn from Ferishta that an expedition against Worangal was despatched from Bengal in 1308 A.D., which proving unsuccessful, Malik Káfur was sent against the place with a second army by way of Dévagiri in 1309 A.D. Advancing rapidly, he besieged the city before Pratápa Rúdra's allies could come to his assistance. The king capitulated, purchasing peace by the payment of a large sum, and the surrender of his horses, elephants and jewels, at the same time engaging to pay an annual tribute for the future. This he appears to have done in good faith, but the other Hindu chiefs having withheld their tribute, Malik Káfur proceeded for the fourth time to the Dakhan in 1312 A.D. to enforce their

¹ Taylor's Or. Cat. MS. vol. iii. pp. 480-84; Mackenzie Cat. vol. i. pp. cxviii. cxix.

² Vol. i. p. cxix.

³ Vol. i. pp. cxix, cxx.

⁴ In her Colonel Yule recognized the "Queen of Mutáli" (Motapilli), whose able and virtuous administration of her country is eulogised by Marco Polo (vol. ii. p. 295). It does not appear

that Marco Polo visited her country, but the fame of her rule may have come to his ears and the dates agree pretty well.


⁵ At Durgi in the Palnad taluk of the Kistna Division. Sewell's List of Antiq. vol. i. App. xx. 1882.

⁶ Mackenzie's Cat. vol. i. p. cxxii; Taylor's Or. Cat. MS. vol. iii. p. 483.

submission. He marched from Dévagiri and ravaged the greater part of Mahārāshtra and Carnatica, but spared Telingana. No particular change occurred till 1322 A.D., when, on the accession of Gheias-ud-din Tughlak, Pratāpa Rūdra attempted to free himself from the Delhi yoke. An army under the king's son was despatched to Worāṅgal, but after a protracted siege he was compelled to retire with great loss. In the following year a second expedition proved more successful. Pratāpa again offered terms similar to those accepted by Malik Kāfur, but they were rejected. The city was taken with great slaughter, and the Rāja and his family, with all his treasures, were sent to Delhi. At the same time the name of the city was changed to Sultānpūr, which, however, it did not long retain.¹

Of the future fortunes of Worāṅgal few authentic particulars have been recorded. Pratāpa Rūdra is said to have been allowed by the Sultān of Delhi to return to his capital, where he died, probably before or about 1325 A.D.² He was succeeded by his son Virabhadra. During the troubles which prevailed in Mahomed Tughlak's reign, the people of the Dakhan on several occasions rose against their Mahomedan conquerors. It was during this period that the kingdom of Vijayanagar was founded,³ offering an asylum to refugees from the subverted Carnatic and Telingana States. Worāṅgal was more than once the scene of successful insurrection, the last recorded occasion being in 1344 A.D. Subsequent to this no certain information regarding its history is forthcoming. Pratāpa Rūdra's son is said to have retired to Kondaviḍ, and the family disappears from history. Ultimately Worāṅgal passed under the dominion of the Bhamani kings, on the dismemberment of whose territory it fell to the Imād Shāhi rulers of the Golconda principality.⁴

The following is a genealogical list of the Kākatiya line:—



Although the line of succession above given is tolerably correct, the dates are ten-

¹ There are two if not more gold coins of Muhammad bin Tughlak extant of the Sultānpūr mint, both dated A.H. 729. Pathān Kings of Delhi, pp. 210, 211.

² The above facts are derived from Briggs's *Ferishta*, vol. i. pp. 371, 372, 378, 405; Elphinstone's *India*, vol. ii. pp. 46, 48, 56-7, 63; Zia-ud-din Barni in Elliot's *Historians of India*, vol. iii. pp. 204-233.

³ Briggs's *Ferishta*, vol. i. p. 427; Elphinstone's *India*, vol. ii. p. 63.

⁴ Mackenzie's *Cat.* vol. i. p. cxxxiii.

⁵ Mackenzie's *Cat.* vol. i. pp. cxvii-cxxiii; *J.A.S.B.* vol. vii. p. 901; *Journ. Bom. Br. Roy. As. Soc.* vol. x. pp. 46-49; *Ind. Ant.* vol. xi. p. 9.

tative only, there being nothing to mark the duration of each individual's reign. The Mackenzie Collection contains a number of dated inscriptions which Professor Wilson gives in the introduction to the Catalogue, but the results obtained from them are so unsatisfactory that I am inclined to think they have been incorrectly copied from the originals. There are two dates, however, which may be accepted with confidence; that of Tailapa Déva's succession to his brother in 1150 A.D., and that of Rúdra's inscription at Anumakonda, 1162 A.D. The death of Taila, that of Próla, and the accession of Rúdra must all have occurred within a space of twelve years, and probably, as already stated, about 1160 A.D.; for Próla's rebellion and repentance, followed by his exertions to put down the king's enemies, in the midst of which he seems to have died, must have occupied a considerable time. Although the exact date of his accession is nowhere recorded, tradition assigns him a long reign of fifty years, which, according to the year we have assumed as that of his death, would place it in 1110 A.D. Rúdra is also credited with a long reign, but, as already stated, we have no date of his death. Nor is any date in the line met with on which we can rely, until that mentioned before of the twelfth year of Rudramá Dévi,¹ which is supported by a second inscription of the same year. From these we obtain the date of Ganapati's death, and the succession of his widow. The devolution of her authority in the year 1285 A.D. on her grandson rests on tradition only, but is not improbable. The dates of the closing scenes of Pratápa Rúdra's reign are related by the Mahommedan historians.

The duration of this small dynasty would be about 215 years, giving to each of the seven princes an average reign of 30·5 years—a result in excess of probability. Yet, except with regard to the commencement of Próla's era, which is uncertain, and the fact that two of the princes, viz. Ganapati and his grandson Pratápa, succeeded, if not as minors, at a very early age, the duration assigned to the dynasty is within the limits of possibility, and does not lack the support of contemporary records.

I have not had the opportunity of meeting with many coins of this family, but have made some notes of a few that I have seen, as well as of seals of their grants. Their cognizance appears to have been a bull couchant, which is seen on several of the seals, between two candelabra, with an umbrella above, and on each side a chowrie. One seal had a four-armed figure seated under the umbrella, instead of which on another was a bell, and on a third is the appearance of a snake (?) or undulating line under the bull. A copper coin, now lost, had on the obverse a bull couchant, and on the reverse a legend, not very clear, in a somewhat old form of Nágari, of which the following is a tentative reading, Srimat . . . Ka . . . Kakati . . . Pratápa Ra . . . ya . . . (Q. variya) Kataka . . . Saka . . . 2 . . . I had also a large handsome gold coin, with a well-executed bull couchant on the obverse, which must have belonged to this dynasty, and I have still one or two gold fanams with a sitting bull from Rewáda in the Northern Circars.

¹ Sewell's List of Antiq. vol. i. p. 57, 1832.

8. EASTERN CHALUKYA DYNASTY.

Having now disposed of the main branch of the great Chalukya empire and the short-lived states which sprang out of its ruins, we turn now to the other branch of the family distinguished from it as the Eastern Chalukya. It was founded, as above stated, by Kubja Vishnuvardhana, brother of Pulikési II., who, fixing the seat of his power at Rájamahendri *circa* 605 A.D., ruled over Vengi and the greater part of Kalinga. He was followed by fifteen successors, as shown by the accompanying genealogical list, who reigned till about 920 A.D.

About this time an intruder named Tálapa or Tárapa pushed aside Vijayáditya, the rightful monarch, and a period of revolutionary changes and disputed successions prevailed for about twenty-five or thirty years. This was followed by an interregnum of about the same duration, after which a prince named Danárnava, whose descent is not clear, restored order and reigned for three years, leaving the throne to his son Kírttivarmá. He was succeeded by his brother, Vimaláditya, the last of the line. The unsettled state of affairs above described appears to have attracted the attention of Rája Naréndra,¹ the then reigning Chola king, who, being unsuccessful in a contest with Somésvara I., the Chalukya prince of Kalyán, now turned his attention to Vengi-désam. The Rájamahendri kingdom fell an easy prey to the invader, and became incorporated with the empire of the Cholas, of which it continued to form a part until they were expelled by the Kákatiyas of Worangal at the end of the thirteenth century.

I have not met with any coins of this dynasty, although I was in charge of the provinces which formed their dominions for five years. A few small gold fanams of the Chola period were the only result of my enquiries.


The following is the genealogy, slightly modified from that published by me in the Madras Journal.² It is still imperfect, but will doubtless be corrected hereafter as fuller details are obtained.³

¹ This name taken from the Chélin *Sisnam*, I take to be another appellation of the great Rajendra Chola, who had many titles. Burnell gives him those of "Vira Chola, Kulottunga-Chola (i), Rájarájendra (Rájarája) Koppákesarivarmá." The first name, however, I believe to be that of Vira Déva Chola,

Kulottunga Chola (ii), Tribhuvana Chakravarti, Saptama or seventh Vishnuvardhana, who succeeded his brother Rája Rája as Viceroy of Vengi-désam.

² Vol. iv. n.s. pp. 81-2, 1858.

³ Ind. Ant. vol. vii. p. 16, and Sewell's List of Antiquities.



These last details are derived from a very fine copper-plate *sāsanam*, in possession of the *karanam* of Chélúr,¹ of Vira Déva Chōla, surnamed Kulottunga Chōla, Tribhuvana Chakravarti, and Saptama, or seventh Vishṇuvardhana, of Rājamahendri, dated s.s. 1001, conferring the village of Kaléru for maintaining two *mantapams* at Pithápur and Draksharāmam, and a tank at Chélúr, supplemented by a smaller copper-plate. He had just been appointed to the Viceroyalty of Vengi, in succession to his brother Rāja Rāja, whose presence was required at Tanjore, after having held the office for one year only. His inscriptions range from s.s. 1001 to s.s. 1057=A.D. 1079 and 1135.

My only copy of the first, and most interesting document, is with Mr. Fleet in India, and I can only refer to it from the incidental notices in the Madras Journal above quoted, assisted by my memory, which will account for any inaccuracies that may have crept in. The particulars differ in some respects from those given by Burnell at page 22, and again at page 40 of his *Palæography of Southern India*.

9. LAST GREAT HINDU KINGDOM OF VIJAYANAGAR.

The increasing power and importance of the Chōla state during the eleventh and twelfth centuries would seem to point to it as that in which we might expect to find the next important class of coins, but such is not the case. The inscriptions of Someswara Déva Chalukya I. and his two sons show, it is true, that the Chōla kings were engaged in frequent warfare with them during the latter half of the eleventh century.² This is also confirmed by the narrative in the *Vikramanka Charitra*,³ proving that the Chōla power was then growing in importance. But further hostilities were checked by the long and prosperous reign of Kāli Vikrama (Vikramāditya VI.), and afterwards the predominating influence of the Mahommedans prevented any further hostilities on that side. This, however, did not hinder them from advancing along the coast, and from occupying the Rājamahendri kingdom in the early part of the eleventh century, when their power was at its highest, as will be seen when we come to treat of the Dravidian coinage.

In the fourteenth century the foundations were laid for the last great Hindu dynasty, which exercised an important influence on the history of Southern India, both in its political and numismatic aspect. This was the kingdom of Vijayanagar. Like the minor states we have lately been reviewing, its founder boasts of no ancestral lineage, but declares himself simply to be of Yādu race, an origin which deserves fuller consideration.

We have before adverted to the ethnological importance of two great predominating

¹ Madras Journ. vol. iv. n.s. p. 94.

² J.R.A.S. vol. iv. pp. 13, 14.

³ Introd. pp. 27-8, note 7.

classes in the early population of India, the pastoral, and predatory or martial.¹ The former exist under many different names, their best known title in the north being that of Ahírs. They are widely distributed, and held an important position from the earliest times. Sir Henry Elliot describes their wide distribution from the north-west provinces² towards Bengal. In the Vishṇu-purána they are included as Abhíras³ among the people inhabiting the west; and their names occur on two or three other occasions in the enumeration of countries and peoples, from which Prof. H. Wilson takes the opportunity of identifying them with the Abiria, whom Ptolemy places above Patalene on the Delta of the Indus, and also of referring to the mention of them in the Mahábhárata and Rámáyána. They are further specified in the same purána as the dynasty⁴ immediately following the Andhras. Sir H. Elliot again refers to them as the Ahír princes who were at one time "Rájas of Nepál, about the beginning of the Christian era, and as perhaps connected with the 'Pála,' or shepherd dynasty, which ruled in Bengal from the ninth to the latter part of the eleventh century."⁵ Nor were they confined to Upper India only, for Sir Henry further points out that the name of Aseergurh, which Ferishta says is derived from Asa Aheer, shows that they held an important place in the Dakhan also, where they are best known by their Dravidian name of Kurumbars or Kurubas, with various synonyms in the different dialects.

In early days the Kurumbars occupied a no less conspicuous position in the south than the Ahírs did in the north. The greater part of Drávida then bore the name of Kurumba-bhúm,⁶ which extended across the whole peninsula from the Coromandel to the Malabar coast. Occasion has already been taken to notice the eastern portion of it, which on its conquest by the Chólas received the name of Tondamandalam. Until late years the principality of Kurumbenádu existed in North Malabar near Calicut under its own chiefs, the last of whom, according to Buchanan, became extinct in A.D. 1778-79.⁷

When the great officers of Kérala threw off the yoke of the Chéras in the fourth century, the Kurumbar Rája is found among the Sámantas or minor chiefs who declared their independence. At the present day the name still lingers in the Kurumbar *taluq* of Malabar, and in a class of predial (Wilson's Glossary, *s.c.*) slaves in the same district; and although the territorial name in its full extent is lost, the people are found scattered throughout the country in many places.⁸ All these, whether Ahírs, Kurumbars, or by whatever name they are called, are sections of a great pastoral race, formerly holding an important place in the political constitution

¹ Journ. Eth. Soc. 1869, vol. i. p. 112.

² Supp. Gloss. p. 7, c. Aheer.

³ Vol. ii. p. 168, note 4, and p. 185, note 2.

⁴ Vol. iv. p. 202.

⁵ Supp. Gloss. p. 6.

⁶ Madr. Journ. vol. vii. p. 312, etc.

⁷ Buchanan's Journeys, vol. ii. p. 499.

⁸ They are found both in the mountains and in the plains; in the former they fell the timber, at which they are very expert; and in the latter they pasture their flocks, leading a semi-nomad life, in the waste land and jungles, and weaving the black

blanket (*kamba*) in general use. Occasionally they engage in agriculture, and are distinguished for their probity and love of truth, so that their word is accepted without hesitation. Those in Arcot and the Tamil provinces generally speak the Canarese language amongst themselves, and may be seen frequenting the market of Madras with their buttermilk and dairy produce. In some parts of Maisur a Kurumbar is required to turn the first furrow for the farmer in spring, indicative of their former hold on the soil; and on the Nilagiri plateau the proud Toda, who exacts a tribute in token of superiority from all the other cultivating classes,

of India, of which they have been deprived by subsequent events. Mythologically they are classed as belonging to the Yádu *vamsa*, descended from Yádu, the progenitor of the Yádavas, who were essentially a race of herdsmen. Hence many of the indigenous dynasties have thought it no discredit to deduce their origin from the Yádu *vamsa*, and in doing so may be considered to be derived from the pastoral stock. This, as we have seen, was the case with the Dévagiri and Dvárásamudra families. It was also that of the Raṭṭas or Ráshtrakúṭas of Mányakhéṭa,¹ and is now seen to be the origin attributed by themselves to the house of Vijayanagar, an origin also claimed for the present dynasty of Maisur. However uncertain the source of the other families may be, the correctness of that assigned to the earliest Vijayanagar dynasty cannot be questioned, for it has always been known as the Kuruba line.²

The political state of the South of India in the fourteenth century offered opportunities favourable to the rise of a new power. The raids of Mahmúd of Ghazni and 'Alá-ud-dín Ghilji effectually broke down the older Hindu dynasties and established the authority of the Muhammadan empire of Delhi in the northern Dakhan. Its further extension to the south was checked by the revolt of its officers, who, throwing off their allegiance to Delhi, established their independence. In course of time the Báhmāni state of Kalburga, the most important of these, in like manner fell to pieces; and this, as well as the subdivision of its territory into four minor principalities, contributed to divert the attention of the Muhammadans from pushing their advances further south at that time. It was in this unsettled period that the kingdom of Vijayanagar arose, and had a lengthened career, which, if its princes had avoided interference in the quarrels of their Musalman neighbours, might have continued to flourish longer than it did. Early in the fourteenth century an adventurer from the south named Sangama, crossing the Káveri, possessed himself of Seringapatam,³ and with his five sons⁴ gradually obtained possession of the Hoysala dominions. The eldest son,

himself presents an offering of the firstfruits to the despised and hated hill Kurumbar, whom at other times he dreads, for his supposed magical powers, and sometimes inflicts on him, in secret, a bloody retribution for visitations of small-pox, cholera, or murrain among their buffaloes attributed to their spells (Rice, *Gazetteer of Mysore*, vol. iii; *Trans. Third Preh. Congress*, 1868, p. 253; *Proceedings Criminal Courts of Coimbatore*, on the slaughter of three Kurumba villages). They take a prominent place in the primeval festival celebrated annually in honour of the village *numen* (the *Gramadevata*) (*Journ. Ethn. Soc.* vol. i. n.s. 1868-9, p. 97), under the direction of the Pariahs (*Paraiyer*). On these occasions a general licence prevails. All distinction of caste is suspended; Bráhmans even take part in the bloody rites. After the Pariahs, the Kurumbas, or Dhángars as they are also called (Wilson's *Glossary*, pp. 135, 305), are the leading celebrants, conspicuous, like their probable congeners the Santáls, by their great drum. The saturnalia ended, all revert to their usual status. Notices of the Kurumbars are frequent in the Tamil MS. collections, references to some of which will be found below. *Madras Journal*, vol. vii. pp. 10, 18, 28, 305-312, 321, 350; vol. viii. pp. 17, 31-2; vol. x. p. 16, etc.; also in the appendices not published in the *Journal*.

¹ Witness the copper-plate grants of Kardla, *J.R.A.S.* vol. ii. p. 379, vol. iii. p. 100; of Khárepátan, *Journ. Bom. Br. Roy. As. Soc.* vol. i. p. 209; of Wana-dindori in Nasik, *J.R.A.S.* vol. v. p. 350.

² They have also been called by some the Narapati dynasty, which, with similar names, as the Gajapati, Aswapati, Chhatrapati, etc., applied to other princes, seems to be a modern practice for which there does not appear to be any good authority.

³ Inscription at Chitrakaldurg. *Colebrooke's Essays*, vol. ii. p. 228, and *Journal Bombay Branch Royal Asiatic Soc.* vol. xii. p. 372.

⁴ In the inscriptions translated by Colebrooke the five brothers stand as follows:—Harihara, Kampa, Bukkaraya, "who was sovereign of the earth," Marapa and Mudgapa. Their early title of Mahámapálaswara is equivalent to that of Count or Palatine in the middle ages applied to the head of a principality, but not necessarily implying submission as a feudatory to a higher power. They have also the title of *Vodeya* (*sic*) (*Journ. Bom. As. Soc.* vol. xii. p. 340-1), Odeya or Uḍaiyār *swáya* Wodeiyar—a lord, a chief, a ruler—a title borne by some Hindu tribes, and still employed by the sovereigns of Maisur and Kurg (Coorg) (*Wils. Gloss.* pp. 381, 629).

Harihara, and the third, Bukka, are mentioned in inscriptions under the modest title of Mahāmaṇḍalēśwara, but Bukka was the first who assumed regal style and titles.¹ This is shown by the way in which his name is mentioned as compared with those of his four brothers. Assisted by his celebrated minister Mādhava, also called Vidyaranya, he fixed his capital near the ancient town of Ānegundi, giving to it first the name of Hosapattana, "new city," afterwards of Hastināvatī, perhaps the Sanskrit equivalent of the Canarese Ānegundi,² and finally, as his power extended, that of Vijayanagara, "the city of victory," which became its permanent designation, sometimes exchanged for Vidyanagara, "the city of learning," in honour of his great minister. The date of Bukka's assumption of royalty and the foundation of the city have not as yet been accurately ascertained, but may safely be placed in the period between the third and fifth decades of the fourteenth century. His oldest inscription with which I am acquainted is the copper-plate³ from Harihar (s.s. 1276 = A.D. 1354), with the seal of a god, before the family adopted the *varāha* or Boar *avatar* of the Chalukyas; and in an inscription on stone at Banawāsi, the minister is said to rule the Banawāsi province under Bukkarāya in A.D. 1368 (s.s. 1290). This was probably near the end of his reign, which lasted, Wilson states, according to some accounts, thirty-four years, and if so, would agree with the date (A.D. 1334) assigned for its commencement by Mr. Ravenshaw.⁴ It is evident therefore that the chronology and order of succession of the dynasty have yet to be cleared up.

It will be sufficient now to give a nominal list only of the ruling princes as far as they can be ascertained, none of the coins struck by them having dates. Before doing so, however, a few remarks may be offered on the character of the minister Mādhava, to whom much of the celebrity enjoyed by the Kuruba dynasty is due. Of his early history we know comparatively little. He is popularly stated to have aided Bukka both by his counsel and the wealth of which he is reputed to have been possessed. He is known to have been a profound scholar, and, notwithstanding the cares of state, to have written, or caused to be written, voluminous commentaries on the Vedas. In these it is stated that he was assisted by his brother Sayana, regarding whom great differences of opinion prevail. Despite the declarations to the contrary recorded in the commentaries, southern Paṇḍits maintain that Mādhava and Sayana were one and the same person, and further, that he professed the *Vedantist* doctrines of Sankarāchārya, and ended his days at Sringeri as the head, or *Jagadguru* of the *Smārta māṭha* sect, which post he held for some years, dying in 1386, aged between 80 and 90.

These assertions are scarcely reconcileable with facts derived from other sources. The inscription on stone at Banawāsi, before mentioned, edited by Fleet, the authority of which has never been questioned, states precisely that, in A.D. 1368 the *Mahopadhana* (or

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. x. p. 63, also Journ. Bom. Br. Roy. As. Soc. vol. xii. p. 340-1.

² From the word for elephant, *das*, Canarese = Sanskrit *Āśvī*.

³ Journ. Bom. As. Soc. vol. xii. p. 338.

⁴ As. Res. vol. xx. p. 7; also pp. 18, 19.

Prime Minister), Mádhavánka, was ruling the Banawási Twelve Thousand under Bukkaráya. This is supported by a copper inscription obtained by Le Grand Jacob¹ in the Southern Konkan, where it is said that in A.D. 1391 the Prime Minister Mádhava, still holding under Harihara the government of Jayantipúr (or Banawási), led an expedition against Goa, expelled the Moslem garrison, and annexed it to the Vijayanagar state.

This contemporary record makes it very improbable that he could have become an ascetic, and ended his days in a *maṭham* at the time stated (A.D. 1386). We may rather conclude that he held his high office of Prime Minister to the last. So much for his history. As regards his opinions, he appears to have had a mind of a high order. His love of letters is proved by his study of the ancient literature of his country, and his cultivation of it, by the important works written by him, or under his superintendence. Among his administrative reforms was the improvement of the mint, and the introduction of the Nágari alphabet in the public records and on the legends of the coins. These, with the *varáha avatar* adopted on the coins and on the state seal, differing only from those of the Chalukya dynasty by the addition of a sword in front of or above the animal, evince a leaning towards Vaishnava rather than Saiva opinions.

The age was one of religious² excitement. The teaching of Sankara, Rámánuja, and Madhwáchárya; the doctrines of the *Vedánta*, *Advaita* and *Dvaita* schools; the creed of the *Jangamas* or *Lingáyats*, etc., led to interminable discussions, all urging their respective tenets with a zeal only surpassed by their persecution of the Jainas and other sects, and especially their extirpation of the Buddhists, in which Sankara and his followers were conspicuous. We would fain believe that the tolerant spirit of the wise and learned minister stood aloof from the extremes of bigotry, and that he lent his influence rather to compose differences,³ and to revive the old simple Vedic theology.

The large number of inscriptions on stone and copper extant should have furnished a reliable narrative of the fortunes of this dynasty, and certainly a trustworthy chronological list of its rulers, had they been critically examined. In the absence of such a work, we can only state the line approximately.

The principal lists available are those published by Professor Wilson,⁴ founded mainly, it would appear, on inscriptions of fifteen princes, contained in the Mackenzie Collection,⁵ and compared with what he calls the "Chronological statement most generally received," printed in the above-mentioned Collection, and in the *Asiatic Researches*, vol. xx.; and a list prepared by Colonel Mackenzie himself for the use of Mr. A. D. Campbell, and published in the preface to his *Telugu Grammar*. From these it would appear that the original Kuruba family was superseded about 1490-1500 A.D., by Narasinga or Vira Narasimha, represented as of

¹ *Journal Bombay Br. Roy. As. Soc.* vol. iv. p. 107; vol. ix. p. 27.

² See Wilson on Religious Sects of the Hindus, *As. Res.* vol. xvii. These essays have been republished in vols. i. and ii. of Wilson's collected works, by Trübner, in 1861-2.

³ See an instance, Inscription of Bukkaráya at Belligola, *As. Res.* vol. ix. p. 270, 8vo. ed.; also Mediation between Jains and Vaishnavas, *As. Res.* vol. xi. p. 8.

⁴ *As. Res.* vol. xx. p. 7.

⁵ Vol. i. p. 293; *ib.* pp. 291-2.

Tuluva extraction,¹ from whom the line has been called the Narasinga dynasty.² He and his immediate successors, especially Krishnarāya, appear to have been men of enterprize and ability, and to have extended their dominions considerably to the south, adding to them the whole of Drāvīda-désam, and placing their lieutenants in Trichinopoly, Tanjore, Madura, Maisur, etc. Rāma Rāja³ is said by some to have been the relative and minister of Sadāsiva, the fourth from Narasimha, and to have been the *de facto* ruler himself. Ultimately he is represented as setting aside his principal altogether. However this may have been, Rāma Rāja provoked the enmity of his Muhammadan neighbours in the Dakhan. He was defeated and slain in the fatal battle of Talikota, A.D. 1564.⁴ His brother Trimāla, after a futile attempt to resume his authority at Vijayanagar, then removed to Penakonda, a strong position about a 100 miles south of Ānegundi, where, and at Chandragiri, and occasionally at Vellore,⁵ his successors resided for some years with greatly diminished power.

After this it is doubtful whether this the third line did not become extinct, but those who claimed to succeed (whoever they might be) were expelled by the Muhammadan King of Golconda, in A.D. 1646,⁶ some taking refuge in Ānegundi, calling themselves Polygars only, while others of the family sought an asylum in Bednur. In A.D. 1801, on the cession of that part of Tipú (Tippoo) Sultān's dominions to the British Government, the family in Ānegundi, being found in a state of destitution, received a pension from the East India Company. The list of names thus derived, but for the accuracy of which I can in no wise vouch, is given tentatively as follows: to which I further append that prepared by Dr. Burnell, apparently from other sources, and published in his *Palaeography*, pp. 54-5.

Kuruba Line.	Bukka Rāja ⁷	A.D. 1334—1368-70	Narasimha Line.	Narasimha...	A.D. 1488—1508-9	Third or Ujuring Line.	Rāma Rāja	A.D. 1550?-1564
	Haridhara ...	1370—1400		Krishna Rāja	1508-9—1530		Trimāla ...	1564—1571
	Dēva Rāja ⁸	1400—1424		Achyuta Rāja	1530—1542		Sriranga I.	1572—1586
	Vijaya ⁹ ...	1424—1445?		Sadāsiva ...	1542—??		Venkatapati	1586—1608
	Malikārjuna	1445?-1465					Vīrarāma ...	1622—1626
Virupaksha	Virupaksha	1473—1488					Sriranga II.	1615—1628
							Venkata ...	1628—1636
							Rāmadēva ..	1636—1643
							Ānegundi Venkatapati	1643—1646

but he having been expelled in A.D. 1646, the line virtually ceased.

¹ In the inscription of his son Krishṇa Rāja, dated s.a. 1434 = A.D. 1612, edited by Fleet, in the *Bombay Journ.* vol. xii. pp. 391-3, he is called Narasa, Nṛsiṃha, and Śrī Nārasiṃha, descended from "Timma, famous among the princes of Tuluva." But Ferihta repeatedly refers to him as the Telinga Rāja. The recent destruction of Worangal, with the events which followed, doubtless sent many to seek their fortunes in a new country. In the same grant Krishṇa Rāja is called his son by Nagaliddēvi, by some said to have been a concubine.

² Wilkes's *History of Mysore*, vol. i. p. 15. Inscriptions of Virupaksha, the last of the Kurubas, extend from 1473 to 1478 A.D. Mackenzie *Cat.* vol. i. p. cxliii.

³ Ferihta makes him son-in-law of Shēo (Sadāsiva). According to the Persian account, a powerful minister, named Timrāj, took advantage of the minority of Sadāsiva's grandson, whom he poisoned on his attaining manhood, and setting up another minor, administered the affairs of the kingdom till his death, on which his son Rāmārāja, who had married Sadāsiva's daughter, openly

usurped the throne. Briggs's *Ferihta*, vol. iii. p. 80-1; Mackenzie *Cat.* vol. i. p. 294.


⁴ After the battle Bijanagar was taken and sacked by the conquerors, a blow from which it never recovered. Its wealth and prosperity have been described in glowing terms by several travellers. One of them, Abd-er-Razzak, ambassador from Shāh Rokh in 1442, says, "The city is such that the pupil of the eye has never seen a place like it, and the ear of intelligence has never been informed that there existed anything to equal it in the world." His accounts are confirmed by the Venetian traveller Nicolò Conti, who visited it in the early part of the fifteenth century (*Hakluyt Soc., India in the Fifteenth Century*, p. 23; *ib.* p. 6), and by Cesar Frederick, who saw it three years after its fall.

⁵ Wilkes's *History of Mysore*, vol. i. pp. 12-19.


⁶ *ib.* p. 19.

⁷ Mackenzie *Coll.* i. cxlii. and Ravenshaw, *As. Res.* xx. 7.

⁸ Burgess and Fleet, *Inscriptions*, p. 22. Date of his installation, 1400 A.D.

List according to Burnell.

This Sadāgiva succeeded as a child: "Thirty yeares was this Kingdome governed by three brethren which were Tyrants, the which keeping the rightfull king in prison, it was their use euery yeere once to show him to the people, and they at their pleasures ruled as they listed. These brethren were three captaines belonging to the father of the king they kept in prison, which when he died, left his sonne very young, and then they tooke the gouernment to themselves" (C. Frederick, in "Purchas His Pilgrimes," vol. ii. p. 1704; cf. Couto, Dec. vii. 5, 5, f. 936).



The history of Ferishta,¹ who wrote during the end of the sixteenth and the beginning of the seventeenth century, throws considerable light on the events we have just been considering. He resided at the court of Ahmadnagar with his father,² in the reign of Murtuza Husain Nizām Shāh. During the troubled times which followed the death of that prince he repaired to Bijapur, and found an asylum with Ibrahim 'Adil Shāh II., A.D. 1579-1626, and must, therefore, have been familiar with the events he describes.

According to his narrative, the Rājās of Vijayanagar³ early came into unwise and

¹ Ferishta, who was born in the end of the sixteenth century, completed his history in 1609 at Bijapur.

² Briggs's Ferishta, vol. i. pp. xi, xii.

³ Ferishta, vol. ii. p. 301.

unprovoked collisions with the Bāhmani kings and their successors, but the facts as related by him for the possession of Moodgul¹ appear to be exaggerated, and the name of Kṛishṇa given to the Bijanagar king nowhere occurs in the generally received lists. It is found, however, in that of Dr. Burnell. Other cases of ill-judged interference on the part of the Hindus are mentioned, one of which, in 1520 A.D.,² was attended with partial success, but generally the Muhammadan historian shows them to have been worsted. An interesting summary is given of the events ending in the battle of Talikota,³ and of those that forced Rāma Rāja's successor, here called Venkatadri, to seek for refuge in Penakonda and Chandragiri,⁴ together with the steps taken by 'Alī 'Adil Shāh to follow up the victory.

The adoption of the boar symbol of the Chalukyas by Mādhava, with the use of the Nāgari alphabet, should have appeared more prominently on the new coinage; but I have only met with it on the copper money, in which the boar is distinguished from that of the Chalukyas by the addition of a sword. This, however, does not apply to the gold coinage, in which I have met with no instance of the employment of the same symbol, but only with mythological forms of their deities: in all, the legends are Nāgari. The employment of this character was continued, so far as our present knowledge extends, by the princes of the three successive sections of the dynasty. But the coin figured by Wilson⁵ from the Mackenzie Collection implies that the practice of the first prince of the Narasinga line forms an exception. As described it represents on the obverse the Narasinga *avatar*, while the legend on the reverse exhibits, in Canarese characters, the name Narasimha. In the absence of other examples, it cannot now be determined how long this innovation lasted, but it would appear from the readings given below that it did not continue. Probably it owed its appearance to the foreign extraction of Narasinga, derived, according to some accounts, from the Malabar coast, but, according to all the Muhammadan historians, from the Telugu country. The Kuppélūr inscription describes him as the son of Isvara, and a coin bearing this name may be explained in this connection. It represents the figures of Rāma and Śita, seated, with Hanumān, and, on the reverse, the word Isvara, in Canarese, as will be noticed when treating of the *Rāma-ṭanka* medals further on.

There are, besides these, copper coins having the boar and sword on the obverse and Canarese legends on the reverse, which must be attributed to the same period.

In a paper on the pagoda or *varāha* coins in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. lii. mention is made of a gold coin in the Madras Government Museum, weighing fifty-one or fifty-two grains, bearing the figure of Hanumān seated, on the obverse, and an imperfect legend on the reverse, in which the name "Bukka" is said to have been read. For this reason it has been assigned to the founder of the Kuruba line. The description given is very short. The figure exhibits none of the characteristics of the monkey

¹ Ferishta, vol. ii. p. 310.

⁴ *Id.* p. 141.

² *Id.* v. l. iii. pp. 48-50.

⁵ *As. Soc.* vol. xvii. p. 595, pl. iv. fig. 92.

³ *Id.* p. 80.

deity, and the character of the letters in which the single word "Bukka" is read is not stated. As represented in fig. 9, plate ii. it looks like Canarese, or Telugu.

The same paper contains a notice of two coins taken from Moor's Hindu Pantheon,¹ of which the Museum does not appear to possess examples. They are represented in plate i. figs. 10, 10a. The obverse is described as exhibiting a "two-headed bird, like the Russian national ensign, but holding a small elephant in each beak and in each claw." On the reverse, *Śrī Pratāpa Dēva Rāya*, as read by Dr. Burgess (but only, it would appear by the context, from Moor's figure, and not from the coin), which he attributes to the third Kuruba prince. Before, however, accepting this assignment, it is proper to examine the matter a little further.

Gold coins with this device are by no means rare. Moor figures four; Wilson gives representations also of four; and I myself possess seven. Among all these are two types: one with a two-headed inessorial bird advancing to the left; the other exactly resembling the spread eagle of the Russian and Austrian arms. Wilson suggests the name on the legend to be *Śrī Vīra*, which a friend (Mr. Fleet), well known for his skill in palaeography, reads *Śrī Pratāpa Chatura Rāya*. Mr. Thomas reads *Śrī Pratāpā Chatuta Rāya*, which he thinks may stand for Achatutya, and be identified with Achyuta, the third name in the second or Narasinga family.

It is difficult, amongst such varying statements, to decide on the paternity of these coins. Dr. Bidie figures a second exemplar as 10 among his gold coins, but refers to it as a copper piece in plate ii. It has the reverse of what appears to be a trisula, or trident. I have a number of copper pieces (upwards of thirty), all of them with the double-headed bird, some with *Nāgarī* legends on the reverse, others with Telugu or Canarese, much worn, and none of them intelligently read. One has apparently a figure seated on an animal on the reverse; others are quite plain, and many show signs of considerable antiquity. For a long time I attributed these coins to *Kṛishṇa Rāya*, the greatest prince of this dynasty, who, in the copper-plate inscription at Kuppélūr, in the *Raṇibennur*² *tāluḥ* already referred to at p. 93, is described as "a very *Gaṇḍabhérunda* to the herds of elephants that are his foes." Under the diverse readings of the reverse, this identification will not stand. The conclusion to which I now come is that the symbol is not indicative of a particular dynasty, but may have been assumed by any prince in token of his military prowess. I am strengthened in this view by the fact of the figure of *Garuḍa* having been adopted as the cognizance of several of the dynasties of which I have treated above, viz. the *Yādavas* of *Dēvagiri*, the *Raṭṭas* or *Rāshṭrakūṭas*, the *Silāhāras* of *Kolhāpūr*, and being, moreover, a favourite emblem of all votaries of the *Vaishnava* creed.³

¹ Plate 104, p. 434.

² Journ. Bom. Br. Roy. As. Soc. vol. xii. p. 393.

³ Moor and Wilson likewise suppose the bird to represent *Garuḍa*, and Col. Yule also considers the strange relations of Marco Polo regarding the *Gryphon* to have had a similar origin. Whencesoever derived, it is undoubtedly the monster popularly

known in South Indian mythology under the name of *gaṇḍa bhérunda*. In the Tamil dictionary it appears as *gaṇḍa* or *kaṇḍa bhérunda paṇḍi*, meaning, "a double-headed monstrous bird." Brown, in his Telugu dictionary, under the word *gaṇḍa*, gives a not well-authenticated meaning, "a lump of flesh"; and the Malayālim word, according to Dr. Gundert, signifies "the

It is remarkable that no coin of Kṛishṇa Rāya himself has yet, as far as I am aware, been found. A representation of the youthful Kṛishṇa on a very well-executed coin, I believed would be found to pertain to him, but neither does this hold good, when the legend is read. The fame of his style and superscription (viz. Śrī pratāpa Kṛishṇa Rāya) has caused it to be largely assumed by subsequent usurpers of the royal prerogative, who asserted their independence on the fall of the empire.

My collection contains a considerable number of coins unread before I left India, which, as now interpreted, appear to belong to this dynasty. Several of them have figures of Śiva and Parvati seated, and others exhibit the semblance of Viṣṇu standing under an archway, or canopy. Being unable, from loss of sight, to describe these and some others more minutely, Mr. Thomas has kindly offered to decipher them for me, and I now append the conclusions to which he has arrived in his own words, as shown in the subjoined note.¹

temple of the elephant." Dr. Rost considers that *garuḍa* may be a southern corruption of *Garuḍa*, and *bhairunda* or *bhairunda* a *tadbhava* form meaning "terrible."

Eastern romance contains many allusions to a monstrous two-headed bird holding elephants in its beak and in its claws, the elephant in the former sometimes replaced by the *sarabha*, or fabulous lion. We are all familiar with the part it plays as the Roc in the story of Sindbad the Sailor, and his adventures with the gigantic egg, and also with the bird, as told in Lane's Arabian Nights, where both its figure and its attack on Sindbad's ship are vividly portrayed (vol. iii. pp. 90, 92; also vol. ii. p. 60, note). It appears to be the *'angka* of the Arabs, the *simorgh* of the Persians, the *ber guchre* of the Talmud, the *gryps* of the Greeks, and the *griffin*, or *gryphon*, of European heraldry.

¹ [As we may eventually, perchance, be unable to exhibit in our Plates facsimile illustrations of the various ramifications of the Vijayanagar currencies, I have compiled the subjoined list of Nāgari legends from the previously published engravings and descriptions of this series of Coins, aided by the extant specimens of the class in Sir Walter Elliot's collection. The identification of the issuers of some of the coins is not always complete.]

The alphabet employed does not seem to have reached a very determinate stage, and the die-engravers themselves seem only partially to have understood the original forms of the letters. Hence the Palaeographer will detect many anomalous revivals of the normal types of the Nāgari characters—a difficulty in the way of interpretation which will not be lessened by the ignorance of subsequent artists who have had to reproduce the originals for our more modern copper plates.

No. 1.—Gold. Sir W. Elliot. Six coins.

OBVERSE.

Śiva and Parvati.

REVERSE.

श्री प्रताप हरिहर

Śrī Pratāpa Harihara.

No. 2.—As. Res. vol. xvii. Nos. 93, 94, 95, 96, p. 595; Moore's Hindū Pantheon, pl. civ. fig. 3; J.A.S. Bengal, 1883, pl. i. 10a. Sir Walter Elliot has numerous coins of this type.

OBVERSE.

Two-headed Bird. Garuḍa? sometimes to the front, at others to the left.

श्री प्रतापाक्षतुत राय

Śrī pratāpa-achātuta Rāya.

ELLIOT

The reading of the name on this class of coins has hitherto remained indeterminate. Prof. Wilson hesitatingly suggested **वीर Pira** (As. Res. vol. xvii. p. 595). Dr. Bidie, under the inspiration of Mr. J. Burgess, prefers *Deva Rāya*—a reading I cannot concur in. A peculiarity is noticeable in these legends in the frequent prolongation of the final *a* in *Pratapā*, which implies a short *a* before the apparently opening *ek*, and authorizes the identification of the name with that of *Achyuta*, No. 8 of Wilson's list of Inscription designations, in the As. Res. vol. xi. p. 7, or that of the eighth king after Bukka. See pp. 93, 94, ante.

No. 3.—General Pearse.

OBVERSE.

Śiva and Parvati.

श्री प्रताप सदासिवा राय

Śrī Pratāpa Sadaśiva Rāya

No. 3a.—Marsden, MLXXIV. J.A.S. Bengal, 1883, pl. ii. fig.

13. Sir W. Elliot. Two coins.

OBVERSE.

Śiva and Parvati.

श्री सदा सिवा

Śrī Sadaśiva (the Ikkeri king).

No. 4.—J.A.S. Bengal, 1883, pl. ii. fig. 14. Sir W. Elliot.

Two coins.

OBVERSE.

Śiva and Parvati.

श्री कव चरमल राय

Śrī Kavea Tirumala Rāya.

The copper coins of this king with the boar obverse read

चलम चरमल तल

Chalam (Chalan) Tirumala taka (for Tanka)

No. 5.—Marsden, MLXXIII. As. Res. vol. xvii. No. 96;

J.A.S.B. 1883, pl. ii. fig. 15.

OBVERSE.

Viṣṇu.

श्री वेंक ईश्वराय नमः

Śrī Venka īśwariya namaḥ.

Marsden read *Descara*, but Mr. Sewell, more correctly, gives *Escara*. The initial letter is a near approach to the modern *i*, a counterpart of which we have in the titles of Lankeswāra, Dareswāra, etc.

From the above identifications it appears that representations of Śiva and Parvati found especial favour with several of the princes of the line, which, with reference to their religious proclivities and to the Vaishnava names of several, is somewhat remarkable. The same device is found on the coins of the Rājas of Ikkeri and Bednur, who were worshippers of Śiva, and with whom they have hitherto been supposed to have originated.

The not uncommon coins called Venkatapati *hāns*, having the effigy of Viṣṇu, standing under an archway, probably originated with the later Chandragiri princes, three of whom bore that appellation. Which of them first struck the pieces now bearing the above name it is difficult to say; not improbably it was retained by all three. They are well executed, and continued in circulation to a late period, especially on the east coast and in the province of Arcot. In Rāidruga (Rāyadurga), a district of Bellary, Major (Sir Thomas) Munro states that they were the only gold coins current at the end of the last and beginning of the present century, although not accepted in the neighbouring districts.¹ This is accounted for by the fact that on the dissolution of the Vijayanagar government, the then military commander, or *Daḷavadi*,² of the place, made himself master of Penakonda, from which he was ejected by the king of Bijapur, and was then established at Rāidruga, paying a considerable tribute, in addition to stated military service, to the 'Adil Shāhi dynasty, and afterwards to Aurangzeb.³

The Nāgari legend on the copper coins with the figure of the boar is, according to Mr. Thomas, *Chalam* (current) *Triramala taka* (for *ṭanka*), which he therefore assigns to Tirumala, the brother of Rāma Rāja; that in the Canarese character is read by my friend before mentioned, *aisakha māsa* with the numeral 1. followed by another, perhaps a fraction (?), above it, apparently having reference to the exchangeable value of the piece. A number of these coins were figured in the Numismatic Gleanings, where the epigraph was read as *Sālava* or *Chālava Tiramal Rāya*,⁴ and the Canarese legend on another, fig. 11, as Śrīman Rāma, with the numeral 15 above it.

No. 6.

OBVERSE.

Dancing figure of Kṛṣṇa—Sir W. Elliot; two specimens.

श्री चिक्क देव राय

Śrī Chikka Deva Rāya.

"Chikka Deva Mahārāja" is mentioned in Mr. Ravenshaw's Inscription, No. 7, of s. 1442 (A. R. vol. xx. p. 28), as of the "tribe of Caṣyapa . . . strong as the Garuda Bharunda." He is supposed to be the Chakk dda, No. 116 of the Pedigree list, p. 19. But the device on this coin seems to remove it from the Vijayanagar list proper.

No. 7.—Marsden, No. MLXX. and MLXXI. A. R. vol. xvii. No. 88. Journ. Asiatic Soc. of Bengal, 1883, No. 12a and 12b, Dr. Bidie's paper.

OBVERSE.

Durgā seated.

श्री प्रताप कृष्ण . .

Śrī Pratāpa Kṛṣṇa Rāya.

Sir W. Elliot considers that these coins represent a class of later date, and of a different locality.

No. 8.—Dr. Bidie gives an engraving of a coin (pl. i. fig. 11) with an obverse of Śiva and Parvati, as in No. 1

of this list, with a reverse which reads clearly

श्री प्रताप दाव राय

Śrī Pratāpa Dāva Rāya.

This piece he classes under a series otherwise supposed to belong to *Dēva Rāya*, or the next in succession to *Harikara*. The Nāgari transcript in the Ravenshaw inscription, No. 3, p. 38, adheres to the देव देवा.—E.T.]

¹ Letter to Bd. of Rev. 25th January, 1806.

² *Daḷavadi* is the title of a military commander, or minister of state, and is still borne in that sense by the ministers of Mysore and Travancore.

³ Appendix to the Report of the Principal Coll. of the Ceded Districts, dated 20th March, 1802, quoted in V. Report H. of C. 1812, p. 839-40.

⁴ The word *Sālava* before *Tiramal* may be the family name of the third dynasty, and may perhaps also have some connection with the second dynasty (Ind. Ant. vol. xiii. p. 155). The old family of Sālva or Saluva was of some distinction, and flourished for several centuries at Karwāl or Karveti Nagara. See ante, p. 43, note. Also Mad. Journ. Lit. and Sc. vol. iv. n.s. pl. i. figs. 12-17, pp. 91 and 93-99.

To this era I would assign the large cup-shaped gold medals, the form of which may have been taken from the concave *padma-tankas* before described, as struck at Banawási, of which Mádhava was so long governor. These medals, with elaborate representations of Ráma and Sita sitting in state surrounded by numerous attendants, among whom Hanumán is conspicuous, are known as *Ráma-tankas*,¹ and are greatly prized by Vaishnava Bráhmans as objects of household worship at the present day, so much so, that they are now often manufactured for that purpose. Flat pieces, with a similar representation of Ráma and his court on the obverse and a Nágari reverse, weighing from 167 to 180 grains and upwards, are occasionally met with. I have only seen them in the British Museum. Both these appear to be medals. In connection with the above I may advert to the coin mentioned before with that of Narasimha, the founder of this second dynasty, in which Ráma and Sita are represented seated on the obverse with Hanumán, and the words Śri Isvara on the reverse. They weigh 58 grains. In addition to these I have two spheroidal specimens, somewhat resembling Mr. Gibbs' coin described at page 53, but only weighing from 18 to 18½ grains. They have on the concave side what appears to be Hanumán holding a flower, and on the convex reverse apparently one or two figures seated, but much worn and indistinct. The cabinet of the late Col. Guthrie contained two gold pieces, of which he kindly gave me casts, connected apparently with this class of medals. The larger exhibited on the obverse, Ráma and Sita seated in profile with Hanumán before them, and behind them a figure holding a *ch'hatra* or umbrella. On the reverse were four erect figures supporting a canopy (?) and in the exergue two indistinct letters. The other is similar, but smaller. The obverse is the same, but the three figures on the reverse appear to be moving to the right. The casts are too indistinct to allow of a more minute description. Having been purchased, their origin is not known. The whole collection is believed to have gone to Berlin.²

The characters impressed on the gold coins of the Vijayanagar dynasty survived its decay, and continued to be exhibited in a class of pagodas, mostly associated with the figure of Durga and the legend of Kṛishṇa Ráya, struck by numerous local chiefs and princelings who, after the fall of the empire, established themselves on its ruins as noticed before. Some account of these will be found in the concluding section of Part III.

NOTE.—After the foregoing was in type, Dr. E. Hultzsch's paper on a copper grant of Ranga II., s.s. 1566 (=A.D. 1644), appeared in the June number of the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. xiii. p. 153, which throws some further light on the succession of the later princes, subsequent to the Narasinga line, after their supersession by the descendants of Ráma Rája.

In this paper the learned Doctor refers to a grant of Sadásaiva in his possession, received from


¹ *Ráma-tankas* occur in different sizes, the largest ought to weigh four *tálas* or 720 grains, perhaps a double *Ráma-tankas*, and is very rare; the next, 360 grains; the third, 180 grains. These appear to be the normal weights, which have been reckoned according to the standard *tála* of 1833 = 180 grains, but the

bazaar *tála* of twelve *masas* is somewhat less, and varies in different places.

² The same subject is likewise engraved on seals, of which I have a good specimen cut in a piece of lapis lazuli.

Dr. Burgess, bearing the date of s.s. 1478 (=A.D. 1556-7), which does not appear to have been published. This grant gives the genealogy the same as that in Mr. Fleet's Kuppélúr inscription of Krishna Ráya, with the additional names of Raṅga, Achyuta, his son Venkata, and his nephew Sadásiva. Then the substantive grant of Raṅga II. goes on to show the genealogy of Ráma, who married the sister (?) of Sadásiva, and eventually usurped the throne. This Ráma is shown to be derived from a family of subsidiary princes styled Rájas of Karnata.¹ He and his brother Tirumala are said to have been the sons of Raṅga, who was the son of Ráma, and he the son of Bukka, "protector of Saluva Nṛsiṃha (of Vijayanagar?)," whatever this may mean. But this Bukka has no connexion with the founder of the Kuruba line. The succession of the remaining Karnata princes, which is somewhat confused, concludes the paper. The grant is dated A.D. 1644, only two years before the taking of Chandragiri by Abdullah Kutb Sháh, of Golconda, which extinguished the monarchy.

The following are the names of the reigning Karnata kings, as taken from the grant of Ranga II. mentioned above, showing their descent, but omitting the names of those who did not reign, and being a succession of six princes from 1564-1644, which gives an average reign of 13·2 years each.



¹ According to an inscription given by Rice in the *Mysore Inscriptions*, they are called "Lords of Aravítipura" (p. 254). Grant of S'ri Raṅga Rája at Devanahalli, dated s.s. 1506 = A.D. 1584.

10. THE VEMA REDDIS OF KONDAVID.

A family of this name attained to some distinction after the fall of Worangal. I had obtained some inscriptions concerning them, in the Krishna division of the Northern Circars which, with the rest of my collection, having been lent to Mr. Fleet, are now in India. I am, therefore, only able to give a very meagre account of the family. My chief reliance is on a copy of an inscription¹ from the pagoda of Amareswara, at Amaravati, near the Krishna in Guntur, dated s.s. 1283=A.D. 1361, recording the dedication of a temple to Amareswara, which was re-established by Ala Véma Reddi in the year 1361 A.D., some twenty or thirty years after the fall of Worangal; and on some local records, which cannot be accepted with much confidence.

In these it is stated that the family first came from Anumakonda, the original seat of the Kákatiyas, where a wealthy farmer named Permál, Perumálla, or Prolaya Reddi, resided. He had five sons, with whom he established himself at Kondavid, a place of great strength, and extended his possessions over the neighbouring country. He was succeeded by his son Allanda Reddi, and he by his brother Dodaya Reddi. The latter had three sons, of whom the youngest, Allada Bhupati, succeeded him. His son was Véma Reddi, the only possessor of the name found in the manuscript, and if the family took their designation from him, he must have been a personage of some importance. But the only authentic mention of the name is in the above inscription. He was followed by his brother Virabhadra, in whose time Kondavid is said to have been taken by Krishna Ráya of Vijayanagar. Other accounts, however, attribute the fall of Kondavid to the Muhammadan ruler of Golconda. But this must be a mistake, for Krishna Ráya reigned from 1508-9 to 1530 A.D. It is quite impossible to reconcile this with the date of the inscription above mentioned, which is not only clear in the manuscript copy which I possess, but agrees with Professor H. H. Wilson's mention of it in the Introduction of the Mackenzie Catalogue; and is further irreconcilable with the Professor's statement that "the number of descents is uniformly stated at seven, and this is apparently correct,"² and on the previous page that "they sunk under the ascendancy of the Vijayanagar dynasty, after an independent reign of about a century."³ I can find, however, only the names of six princes, which are shown in the accompanying genealogical table. It is probable, therefore, that Véma Reddi either had at least six or seven successors of whom no mention is made, or rather, which is more probable, that we must attribute the establishment of the Kondavid principality to the Véma Reddi of the inscription, or his immediate progenitors, before the middle of the fourteenth century. This agrees with the final subjugation of Worangal about the same period, when some of the fugitives from that place are said to have found a refuge at Kondavid, where, in virtue of their common origin, they would be favourably received.

¹ Given to me by Colonel Mackenzie's employé, Cavellly V. Lutchmiah.


² Mackenzie Cat. vol. i. p. cxxxv.

³ *Id.* cxxxiv.

I have seen few, if any coins, as far as I know, belonging to this family; but I have three copper pieces bearing a bull couchant with a *lingam* over the back, which may perhaps be attributed to it; for they adopted the cognizance of the Worangal Kákatiyas, namely, that of a bull (or Nandi) couchant. I have a facsimile of a figure of this animal, which I saw on the ring connecting the plates of one of their copper grants. It is neatly modelled, and represents a recumbent bull covered with trappings, having a small *lingam* and *yoni*, the emblem of Mahádéva, or Śiva, in front of its bended knees.

Véma Redḍi Genealogy.

From a Manuscript headed "Ancient Princes of Reddiwár Family of the Kondavid Dynasty in Teliंगा." Also Mackenzie Catalogue, Vol. I. p. 309.



Another List from Taylor's Catalogue Raisonné Or. MSS. Vol. III. p. 513.

Potaiya Véma Redḍi,	reigned	12	years.
Cómti Véma Redḍi	"	27	"
Anupota Véma Redḍi	"	30	"
Rája Véma Redḍi	"	4	"
Dherma Véma Redḍi	"	12	"
Cumara Giri Redḍi	"	14	"


11. SILÁHARAS.

Before leaving the table-land it may be worth while, here, to notice another small state in Western Kuntala, although I have no acquaintance with their coins. It is that of the Siláháras of Kolhápur, connected with which are branches below the Gháts in the Konkan. They never attained to great eminence, but an interest attaches to one of their titles as deriving their origin from Tagara, a place known to the Greek geographers, the identification of which has given rise to much ingenious speculation.


The family claim to belong to the Vidyādhara Jimutavāhana *kula*, distinguished by the ensign of a golden *Garuḍa*, with the style and title of a Mahāmaṇḍaleśwara, and the distinction of the *noubat* (five *mahāsabdas*). The several divisions of the family have been fully treated by Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl in the thirteenth volume of the Bombay Journal.

A Kolhāpur copper grant has the impression of a *Garuḍa* on the seal, while that of a grant from the Konkan bears a sitting figure, probably *Garuḍa*, with the hands joined in the attitude of devotion. The following are the genealogies of the two principal families:

1st.—Kolhāpur, Journal Bombay Branch Roy. Asiatic Soc. Vol. xiii. p. 16 (Paṇḍit Bhagvānlāl's).



2nd.—Khārepātan Plates (Ind. Ant. Vol. ix. pp. 33-46).



12. WODEIYAR DYNASTY OF MAISUR.

The only State of importance which established itself permanently after the fall of Vijayanagar in south Kuntala was that of Maisur. When the greater part of Southern India was annexed to that empire by the Narasinga dynasty in the sixteenth century, the charge of the south-western districts was entrusted to a viceroy located at Seringapatam, who collected the revenue, or tribute, from a number of local chiefs, or Wodeiyars, as they were called, according to Wilkes thirty-three in number.¹ As the paramount authority declined, these chiefs, or Polygars, assumed a more independent position, and even adopted, when they dared, the title of Rája.² Tradition relates that among these were two brothers named Vijaya and Krishṇa, who are said to have constructed the fort of Maisur, in the district of Hadana, early in the sixteenth century. One of their successors, named Cháma Rája, went so far as to withhold his tribute and set the viceroy at defiance.³ It was, however, paid with more or less regularity by his successors till early in the seventeenth century. Ráj Wodeiyar, the then chief of Maisur, who appears to have been a man of capacity and energy, and had great influence with the viceroy, assumed possession of the whole province on the death of that functionary.⁴ The importance of the family as an independent power may be held to have begun with this Ráj Wodeiyar. He was followed by eight successors:—

Ráj Wodeiyar	1578—1617.
Cháma Rája IV.	1617—1637.
Immaḍi Rája	1637—1638.
Kaṇṭhírava Narasa Rája	1638—1659.
Kempa Déva Rája	1659—1672.
Chikka Déva	1672—1704.
Kaṇṭhírava Rája II. ("Múkarasu," or the dumb) ..	1704—1714.
Doḍḍa Krishṇa Rája	1714—1731.
Cháma Rája V.	1731—1733.

with the last of whom the line seems to have ceased in 1733 A.D., during a period of anarchy caused by disputed successions which enabled Haidar 'Alí to seize upon the throne. He died in 1782, and on the death of his son Tipú, who was slain in 1799, the old line was restored in the person of Krishṇa Rája Wodeiyar, a child of five years old. After a long reign of sixty-nine years, he was succeeded by his adopted son, the present Rája, in 1868.

¹ History of Mysore, vol. i. p. 26.² *Ibid.*³ *Ibid.* p. 26.⁴ *Ibid.*

I have heard that gold coins of a Cháma Rája have been found, but this is doubtful. The name occurs five times in the lists, three antecedent to Ráj Wodeiyar, and two subsequent to him, the former of these last two being probably the prince referred to. A Rája of the same name is said, with what truth I know not, to have struck the copper coins bearing a series of Canarese numerals, from one to upwards of thirty. The reverse exhibits three or four pairs of double lines crossed by similar pairs at right angles, the square interstices either plain or containing a dot or small symbol. One of my smallest pieces has a six-pointed star in the central square. My specimens extend from one to thirty-two, but those of the British Museum go still further. They are of different sizes, weighing from thirty to fifty grains, or even more.¹

The princes of this dynasty seem to have inherited the cognizance of the elephant from the Kōṅṅus and the Chéras, for it appears on numerous copper coins which are still current in the form of the *ane paisa* or small elephant cash; and even Tipú, notwithstanding his love of innovation and contempt of everything Hindu, continued to use it on his copper coins, many of them large and handsome, which are still frequently met with.² But according to Buchanan the Maisur princes never coined pagodas, and the first authentic record of a gold coinage is that of Kanthiráva Narasa Rája, who, in the middle of the seventeenth century, issued the gold fanams bearing his name, which became the standard denomination of the currency.³ This fanam bears the image of the Narasinga *avatár*, and varies in weight from six to eight grains, but in the Calcutta mint tables it is entered at $5\frac{3}{16}$ grains, and containing fifty-eight per cent. of pure gold.⁴ Ten of these formed a nominal pagoda of account, in which the revenue of the northern provinces was kept, as appears by Sir Thomas Munro's Report on the settlement of the Ceded Districts for 1806-7.⁵ But Although no gold pagodas were struck by the Maisur princes, they were issued by the Rájas of Ikkeri, who were votaries of Śiva under the form of Aghoreswara. The first of these, Sadásiva Náyak,⁶ struck pagodas with the figures of Śiva and Parvati, and his own name in Nágari on the reverse, a device which was used by his successors until their expulsion by Haidar, who still continued their issue in the same form, merely substituting his own initial τ on the reverse. The Bedar Polygar of Chitaldrug coined Durga pagodas in the same fashion and

¹ This design of a chequered reverse is common, and does not appear to be peculiar to Maisur, if indeed it is rightly attributed to Cháma Rája at all. The figures on the obverse of the other varieties are very various, comprising Hanumán, Durga, an elephant, horse, peacock, *padma* or *lotus*, *śankha* shell, bell, etc., which cannot be identified with any special family or locality. I believe them to be quite modern, and therefore devoid of historical importance. Marsden describes a similar reverse on the gold fanams of Tanjore and Madura. I have never met with such. The numerous examples which have come in my way have been all of copper.

² Marsden's Num. Or. vol. ii. pl. xlv.

³ Buch. Journ. vol. iii. pp. 257-8.

⁴ Prinsep's Useful Tables, p. 40.

⁵ See his Report, dated 18th April, 1806.

⁶ Although of no great historical importance, a list of these Rájas of Keladi, as they were first called, or Ikkeri and Bedaur, may be useful to further enquirers. I have two lists, which do not agree in dates, and show other discrepancies.

According to the Maisur Gazetteer.

Sadásiva Náyak	A.D. 1559-75
Bhadrappa or Bhadrappa	1575-84
Dodda Sankana	1584-91
Chikka Sankana	1591-92
Siddhappa	1592-93
Venkatappa	1593-1625
Bhadrappa	1625-48
Sivappa Náyak	1648-70
Bhadrappa	1670-80
Hucha (Mad) Somasek'hara	1680-85

character as those so generally employed by the Polygars and Zamindárs of the upper Carnatic and the valley of the Krishna. These supplied a sufficient circulating medium not only in Maisur but in the neighbouring provinces. On the fall of Tipú, the Maisur Government, having found it convenient to coin pagodas of the same value as the Kanthiráya *hán*, previously only employed in accounts, issued them from the mints at Maisur and Nagara, at the same time restoring the old Ikkeri.¹ This, as already stated, had been adopted by Haidar under the name of the Baháduri pagoda, until its supersession by the Sultáni *hán* of Tipú. The revised pagoda was called the new Ikkeri, which eventually merged into the Krishna Rája *caráha* or pagoda now in use.²

The restored dynasty also coined silver fanama, double and single, with the figure of Bala Krishna, the youthful form of that god, and replaced the traditional elephant of their copper pieces by the mythological lion or *sarabha*.

I do not here propose to notice the coinage of the Muhammadan usurpation. They have been described and figured in Moor's Account of Little's Detachment and Marsden's Numismata Orientalia, plates xlv. and xlvi., and would form a fitting sequel to a description of the coins of the Muhammadan kings of the Dakhan from the Báhmanis downward.³

Chinnammaji	A.D. 1685—97
Baavappa	1697—1713
Somasék'hara	1713—39
Bodi Baavappa	1739—54
Chikka Baavappa	1754—57
Somasék'hara Virammaji (Queen-regent)	1757—63

According to the Keladi Rája Pattam, No. 1920, in Taylor's
Cat. Rais. vol. iii. p. 650.

Chelvapa Náyak pattam	—
Sadśaiva Náyak	A.D. 1514
Dodda Sancara vijaya	1546—1559
Chicka Sancara pattam	1559—68
Rama Náyak	1568—83
Venatapati Náyak pattam	1593—1630
Virabhadra Náyak pattam	—
Sivapapa Náyak pattam	—
Chicka Venatapati Náyak	—

Bhadrapa Náyak pattam	—
Sóma sec'hara Náyak pattam	—
Hari Baava Náyak pattam	—
Sóma sec'hara Náyak pattam	—
Baavapa Náyak pattam	—
Sóma sec'hara Náyak pattam	A.D. 1758

¹ Buch. Journ. vol. iii. p. 258.

² Buch. Journ. vol. iii. p. 25.

³ This would be a desirable contribution to the I. N. O. if coins could be found, as I doubt not they will be now as times are more settled. I endeavoured, when in the Southern Mahratta country, to make a collection of these, and although in charge of a considerable portion of the Adil Sháhi territory, failed in procuring more than a few insignificant copper pieces. I have, however, a tolerable collection of their seals, copied from impressions on their *sanads*.

PART III. SECTION I.

COINS OF DRÁVIDA.

WE come now to consider the moneys of the Dravidian dynasties, the relations of which lay more often with each other than with those of their northern or western neighbours. That they were early recognized as distinct powers appears from the mention of them in Asoka's edict, where they appear as the *Codas*¹ (*Choda*), *Pándyas*, . . . and the prince of the *Kéralas*. But beyond this, the political history of Drávida is very imperfect; the native writings, which are numerous, are altogether untrustworthy, and the attempts to found historical narratives based on them are consequently unsuccessful. The large collections, made with so much care by Colonel Mackenzie, have never been fully analyzed. A *Catalogue Raisonné* of his MSS., prepared for the Madras Government by the Rev. William Taylor, and printed in the *Journal of the Madras Literary Society*, affords some fragmentary notices,² and more extended portions of them, which have been published from time to time without the means of verification from other sources, have yielded no certain results.

Such are the sketches of the *Pándyans* by Professor Wilson in the *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, vol. iii. p. 199; such, also, those of the *Chéras* by Professor Dowson in vol. viii. p. 1; and the incidental notices by Dr. Burnell in his *South Indian Palæography* have supplied a few facts. The late F. W. Ellis would probably have thrown much light on these, as well as on most other subjects of Dravidian literature, had he lived to utilize his materials; but the large and valuable collections he had made perished on the occurrence of his untimely death. The latest reliable work, by competent authority, bearing on the subject—Dr. Caldwell's *History of Tinnevely*³—shows how much remains still to be accomplished. Professor H. Wilson alludes to the obscurity in which the whole subject is involved, observing that, although a large number of inscriptions is still extant,⁴ they are either without dates, or have only those of the reign of the donor, whose name is given with

¹ Dr. Bühler's late trans. of Asoka's edict; Khalsi version, p. 12, in *Zeit. Morg. Ges.* for January, 1883.

² Mr. Taylor afterwards published a fuller catalogue of them, and of MSS. in the College Library at Madras, in three volumes, 1857-62, but they have added little more to our knowledge.

³ Caldwell's *History of Tinnevely*, p. 27.

⁴ Mackenzie's *Catalogue*, vol. i. p. lxxxi.

perplexing variations. To which, moreover, it may be added, that many more, as yet unnoticed, still remain to be transcribed. It is to these contemporary records that we must look for more certain data, and meantime, I can only throw together a few general statements to illustrate as far as possible the coins that have come to hand.

Of the three predominant states before mentioned, the most northerly—Cholamandalam, the country of the Cholas—lay between the Pennár on the north and the 'Vellár' on the south, the sea on the east, and Kutakeri on the west; but afterwards, by the conquest of Tondamandalam, its northern limit was pushed to the Eastern Gháts, and to Śtri Harikotah on the coast. From the Vellár to Cape Comorin in the south, and from the eastern sea to an ill-defined line separating it from the Chéra territory on the west, constituted the boundaries of the Pándyan state. All the country to the west of the latter, and both sides of the Sahyádrī hills as far as the sea, including Kérala, formed the most ancient Chéra limits, but when it was dismembered, and Kérala became independent, they were restricted to Koyimbatur (Coimbatore) and the southern parts of Maisur and Salem, after which, the name of the Chéra dynasty was merged in that of the Koṅgani rulers of Koṅgu-deśa.¹ These, which are the received boundaries, varied from time to time, and, as Dr. Caldwell remarks, "shifted," as one or other was in the ascendant.²

Each of the three had its particular symbol, or standard, that of the Cholas being a tiger, of the Pándyans a fish, and of the Chéras a bow, to which the Koṅgani dynasty added that of an elephant, still retaining the bow. These emblems are represented on the most ancient coins, that of the predominant power of the time holding the middle place, with those of the other two on either side. The earlier coins bear representations of these figures, but about the eleventh century a remarkable change occurs, by which the currency became largely composed of a new type, described by James Prinsep under the name of the Ceylon series,³ having a rude human figure, standing on the obverse, and seated on the reverse. Previous to this change, the oldest Chola coins which I have seen exhibit their own device of a tiger between the bow and fish, and the legend in an old form of Nágari, showing them to have been then in the ascendant; but in the later examples, which are very numerous, the tiger is exchanged for the Ceylon type, with the name of the king in more modern Nágari, under the arm of the seated figure. In like manner the earlier Pándyans have the impress of the fish symbol only, and the epigraph in old Nágari; but after the change above mentioned, the fish is seen under the arm of the sitting figure on the reverse, or of larger size, in various combinations, occupying the whole field, the legend in Tamil character, while the standing figure on the obverse remains unaltered. The change did not affect the Western money till a recent date, but an approach to it was, in some rare cases, observable.

How this change from the more ancient forms arose is hard to discover. I was long

¹ Of the two rivers of this name, that referred to is the most southerly, which falls into the sea to the south of Point Calymere.

² Mack. Cat. vol. i. pp. lxxiv, lxxxii, xcii; Taylor's Hist.

MSS. vol. i. pp. vi, xi, xii; vol. ii. App. D. pp. 25-28; Cald. Hist. of Tinnevely, pp. 24, 25, 26.

³ History of Tinnevely, p. 24.

⁴ Essays, Thomas' edition, vol. ii. p. 419.

under the impression that it was introduced from Ceylon during the successful invasions, and protracted occupation, of the northern part of the island by the Tamils, which took place, according to Turnour, in the tenth century.¹ But Mr. Rhys Davids' statements do not coincide with this view. Admitting that a more ancient coinage might have once existed, but of which no examples have come to light, he finds that the earliest specimens known, date from the middle of the twelfth to the end of the thirteenth century.² All these exhibit the same character to which, as before stated, Prinsep has given the name of the Ceylon type, a designation which it is convenient still to retain. The device on the obverse is a rude standing figure, which has been described by some as that of Hanumán, or of a *rākshasa* according to others, but which in reality is a degraded figure of the king, by whom, as I think and shall explain hereafter, the coin was originally struck. It has the left arm upraised, holding what seems to be a flower, and below the elbow four or five flattened globules or pellets, the right hanging down, and under it a weapon, or sceptre. The drapery is represented by a straight line on each side of the legs, and another line between the latter, making with the legs, five parallel lines. On the reverse, a similar rude sitting figure, with a legend or characteristic symbol under the left arm, the right hanging down over the knee, with fingers extended.³

Nothing similar to this is found in any Indian coinage before the eleventh century, when it came into general use in Drávida only, at the time the Chola-Pándyan dynasty were masters of the whole of it. Before this, the relations of the Cholas and Pándyans, while still separate, with the Singhalese, had become very complicated. The Mahawanso states that from the eleventh century the island was under the control of the Solleans (Cholians),⁴ who held the administration in their own hands till they were expelled by Vijaya Báhu. His nephew, Parákrama Báhu the Great, not only completed the humiliation of the Tamils, but, resolving to punish Kulasek'hara, the Pándyan king, "for the countenance and aid he had always afforded to all invaders of Ceylon," he sent an army under his minister to the mainland, who defeated Kulasek'hara and his Cholian allies, and seated his son, Vira Paṇḍu, on the throne.⁵ Here, then, we have a period of revolution and conflicting authority coinciding with the change in the character of the coinage.

The legend on the earliest of these Singhalese pieces is Lankeṣwara=Lord of Ceylon, a term which, in its Páli form, Lankissara, Mr. Rhys Davids observes, was only applied to three kings before the reign of Parákrama the Great. Of these, the first two lived before

¹ Turnour's Mahawanso, App. pp. lxiv, lxv.

² Inter. Num. Or. Vol. I. Part VI. p. 1.

³ Mr. Rhys Davids' researches lead him to the conclusion that, although he has found no evidence of the existence of coined money proper in the island before the fifth century A.D. (Inter. Num. Or. Vol. I. Part VI. p. 13), it is not impossible that such may have existed. "There is sufficient evidence," he says, "of the use of pieces of metal of certain weights as mediums of exchange, and probably marked or stamped," like, I conclude, the *puṇḍras*

or *eddings* of the mainland. But it does not appear, as far as I can learn, that examples of these have been found.

⁴ App. p. lxiv.

⁵ Mahawanso, App. p. lxvi. Another account states that he was invited by the Pándyan king to oppose Kulasek'hara Déva, whose relation to the Pándyan family is involved in much obscurity, and will be further noticed when we come to treat of that dynasty.

the fourth century A.D., "in whose time coins were unknown in Ceylon." The third was Vijaya Báhu I. (1071 A.D.), and "it is not known that any were struck in his reign." The use of the epithet he supposes to have been applied, not to him individually, but in its general sense, as to all kings of the island. He then states that the Singhalese form, Lankešwara, came into use in Parákrama's reign, and in his opinion, therefore, that the coin bearing it belongs to that prince. He further observes that "he conquered the south of India, and thence introduced the art of coining into Ceylon;" adding that "he is the only king of Ceylon who struck several coins,"¹ though his successor continued the use of the same title, with the addition of Kalinga. Thus, in Mr. Rhys Davids' opinion, the Singhalese obtained the type in question from India, instead of having been the means of introducing it into that country. Whence, then, could it have originated? From whatever source derived, it made its appearance in India in the same degraded form as we now see it. Rude as it was on its first appearance, it made little change during a considerable period, some of the later specimens being, if anything, rather an improvement on their predecessors, although, eventually, they became so altered as to be scarcely recognizable. Notwithstanding Mr. Rhys Davids' high authority, I am disposed to believe that stamped coins must have existed in Ceylon before the time of Vijaya Báhu, for I cannot imagine that a people who had reached a comparatively high state of civilization could carry on the ordinary transactions of daily life without having recourse to a monetary system already in use among the people around them.

Frequent intercourse was carried on between the Buddhists of the north and those of Ceylon during five or six centuries before the period in question, and, doubtless, they must have brought stamped money with them, an art which a people so far advanced as the Singhalese would not be slow to imitate.² They would thus become acquainted with the elegant coinage of the Guptas,³ which I have always believed to have formed the prototype of the coins in question.

Without pursuing the subject further at present I will notice such particulars as I can find regarding each of the three states above-mentioned, beginning with Chéra.

¹ *Inter. Num. Or.* Vol. I. Part VI. pp. 26-7.

² Cunningham, *Ancient Geography*, pp. 634-7.

³ See *Ariana Antiq.* pl. xviii. figs. 11, 12, 22, 23, etc.; Prinsep's *Essays*, vol. i. pp. 227, 374, plates xxii. xxiii. xxiv. xxx.

PART III. SECTION II.

DYNASTIES OF DRÁVIDA.

1. CHÉRA AND KONGU DYNASTIES.

THE Chéra power seems to have been at the highest before the Christian era, when it is found under the name of Kérala in Asoka's edict, and has been recognized by Professor H. Wilson in the Carei, and in the Carura Regia Cerebothri of Ptolemy,¹ terms which, making allowance for inaccuracies of sound and transcription, may be held to represent the city of Karúr, the capital of Chérapati, or Lord of Chéra. Up to the separation of Kérala, described in a former section (p. 61 *ante*), but little is known of its history. The severance of so large a portion of territory must have seriously affected its influence as an independent state,² and, as we have seen, the importance of the Chéras as a substantive power waned from that period, the western portion being split into numerous principalities, while the eastern continued, with diminished influence, under a different name. Among the dynastic lists collected by Colonel Mackenzie, a series of twenty Chéra kings, purporting to have reigned in the *Kali-yuga*, is found; but they seem to be so apocryphal that I have not thought it necessary to transcribe them,³ and this I do the more readily because I have never heard of the existence of any Chéra coins; nor, when we consider the early date at which the dynasty was subverted, does it appear probable that any improvement on the *eldling* had taken place. But, if any piece should be discovered hereafter, it would probably bear the impress of the bow, still found as an adjunct on later Dravidian coins.

The obscurity in which the Chéra dynasty is involved continues to be felt in tracing the fortunes of the eastern remnant of its territory, which, under the name of Kongu-désa, comprised the western portion of Maisur as far as Nandidrúg, together with Koyimbatur (Coimbatore) and Salem.⁴ Here a new dynasty arose, for the history of which the authority hitherto followed, with too much confidence, is a native chronicle called the Kongu-désa Charitra (or Rájakal), of which an abstract has been published by Professor Dowson, and a

¹ Mack. Cat. vol. i. p. xciii.

² See Burnell's *Palaog.* Second Edition, p. 33.

³ See MS. volume, noted in the App. to the Mack. Cat. vol. ii. p. cxviii, from which Dowson obtained the list quoted in the J.R.A.S. vol. viii. p. 26.

⁴ To this Dr. Burnell adds Tondainádu, of which there is no proof. It was too far removed from the seat of their authority, and continued more probably in the possession of the independent Kurumbars, until its subjugation by a Chóla conqueror.

fuller translation given by the Rev. William Taylor.¹ It professes to give a succession of twenty-eight princes, according to which the first seven were said to belong to the Reddi or Ratta race, of whom nothing more is known than that their capital is said to have been at Skandapúra, a site not identified.² A careful examination of the contents of this work, however, will show that it is not to be trusted as an authority, although it quotes inscriptions in support of its statements; for these, instead of adding strength to the narrative, only show its weakness. A grant conferred by the fifth prince on a Jaina (priest?) is dated s.s. 4—long before that era was known in the south; and the seventh is said to have been converted to Saivism by Sankara in s.s. 100, centuries before that teacher was born. After the seventh prince a change in the succession occurs, which appears to mark the introduction of another dynasty, whether following the preceding immediately, or at an interval greater or less, is not stated, but resting on better established grounds.

Quitting, therefore, this guide, we turn to a series of inscriptions emanating from princes of this latter race. The first of these was Kōṅgani Varmá, whose capital, according to the Chronicle, still continued to be Skandapúra, wherever that was; but the true seat of their power was Talavanpúra, or Dalavanpúra, now Talkád. From him the line became known as the Kōṅgani dynasty. They originally professed the Jaina religion; were of the Kánváyanasa gótra, and Jáhnavi kula. From the latter circumstance, they are sometimes called the Ganga dynasty, Jáhnavi being another name of the sacred river. As before stated, they took for their cognizance an elephant, in addition to the Chéra bow, the latter being that by which they continue to be recognized by their Chola and Pándyan neighbours. Kōṅgani Varmá was followed by some twenty successors, according to the Rájakal, fifteen of whom are found in inscriptions published in the *Indian Antiquary*. But even these records are not free from contradictions and discrepancies, which seriously damage the authenticity of some of them. The earliest published, under the names of the Merkara and Nagamangala copper plates,³ attracted considerable attention, and were accepted by Dr. Burnell on palæographic grounds. A third copper plate, bearing a strong family resemblance to the other two, was obtained by me from Tanjávr (Tanjore), an impression of which led Dr. Burnell to reject it at once as a forgery. It was subsequently edited by Mr. Fleet⁴ from the original, when he not only confirmed Dr. Burnell's verdict, but was led to throw doubts on the authenticity of the other two, founded on the discrepancy of the dates, and on the fact that the engraving of all three purported to be the work of one and the same individual. The genealogy in the Merkara and Nagamangala plates agree as far as they go. The date of the former plates, in the reign of Kongani III., is given as 388, of what era is not stated; while Prithuvi Kōṅgani

¹ J.R.A.S. vol. viii. p. 2; Mad. Journ. vol. xiv. p. 4.

² Lassen places it at the Gajalhatti pass in Salem, but others have supposed it to be identical with the modern Udipi on the coast. If it is the site of the ancient Chéra family, it may be found in the vicinity of Karur, near Palghát, and may not improbably be identical with Karúr itself, the Karoura of

Ptolemy (Κάρουρα Βασιλείου Καραβόδρου). According to the Imperial Gazetteer, Karúr has likewise borne the names of Vanji and Gárdhapuri.

³ Ind. Ant. vol. i. p. 360; vol. ii. p. 155.

⁴ *Id.* vol. viii. p. 212.

(the sixth of the name), in the Nagamangala plates, sanctions, in s.s. 698, the fiftieth year of his reign, the grant of a village to a Jaina temple at the request of a dependent, whose wife was the daughter of a Pallava chief (see *ante*, p. 42).

Supposing the year 388 to refer to the Saka era, as proposed by Professor Bhandarkar, the interval between these two grants would be 310 years. This apportioned among the six intervening princes, would give an average of 51·4 years, a supposition not to be thought of. But as the year 388 may refer to some other era, it is possible that a solution of the difficulty may hereafter be found. Again, if Mr. Fleet's supposition is correct, that the Viswakarmá, who executed the Merkara plates, is the same individual as the engraver of the Nagamangala plates, our confidence in both is destroyed. But it may be observed that Viswakarmá was originally an epithet of any great god, and ultimately was restricted to the personification of the creative power.¹ As such, he became the especial deity of all classes of artificers, so that the name, in these instances, may have had a general, rather than an individual application, which would also account for its use in the undoubtedly spurious Tanjore grant: this, however, is a very forced solution of the difficulty. Four more copper grants have been published by Mr. Rice in the *Antiquary*, two of which were found in the village of Mallohalli, near Bangalore. One of these has the usual elephant seal, on the other it is missing. They refer to grants of land, one in the twenty-ninth year, or *Jaya samvatsara*, of Kōṅgani Rája (II.), the son of a Kadamba mother, and the donor of the Merkara plates; the other by his son Aviníta, Śrímat Kōṅgani Vridhdharája, or Durvvinita in Vijaya, the thirty-fifth year of his reign. Their genealogy is given in detail and agrees with all the inscriptions, which makes the former, who is the second of the name (or, if we include Márasimha Satyavákya Kōṅgani Varmá, the third), the sixth in succession to the founder. The date assigned to the first grant by Mr. Rice is s.s. 376, computed by him from a comparison of the cycle year Parábhava, corresponding with the assumed s.s. year 388 of the Merkara plates, and, as Jaya is twelve years earlier than Parábhava, he thus gets s.s. 376 as the corresponding Saka year of that (Jaya) cycle year. But then comes the difficulty of his son's grant being placed in the year of the cycle preceding that of his father, and in the thirty-fifth year of his reign. To escape from this dilemma, he is therefore obliged to place Aviníta's grant in the Vijaya of the following cycle, which corresponds with s.s. 435, placing fifty-nine years between them, and involves the further difficulty of assigning reigns of unusual length to Kōṅgani and his son. To account for the long reign of the former, he infers, from an incidental expression in the second deed, that he was crowned in his infancy; and for that of his son, that he was the same person as the Datta Aviníta, called also Avaníta Mahádhirája Bhadatta, the *mantri* or minister, of the king,² who, having obtained the village, the subject of the Merkara charter, gave it, etc., etc., and thus was contemporary, and ruling jointly with his father. It is remarkable, however, that this person is nowhere

¹ Dowson's Classical Dictionary, s.s.

² *Ind. Ant.* vol. i. p. 365.

called the son of Kōṅgani III. in the Merkara grant, nor is a son mentioned at all, but the prefix *Datta*, attached to his name, may imply that he was an adopted son.¹ In the Mallohalli grants his son is distinctly called Avinīta, and Śrīmat Kōṅgani Vṛiddharāja, with the surname of Durvvinita, implying that he was an unjust or tyrannical prince.² All these circumstances considered, together with the uncertainty of the era, and the careless notation of the date pointed out in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. i. p. 363, leave an impression of doubt as to the amount of credence to be accorded to these documents, although the documents themselves have the appearance of being genuine.

Of the two remaining grants, one having the elephant seal, was produced in support of a claim, before the court at Harihar. It relates to a gift of land made by Rāja Malla, lord of Koḷālapūra (? Kolār), to one Rāma Dēva of the Yarakula caste,³ for service rendered to his wife and her attendants. The date has not been clearly ascertained owing to the ambiguity of the characters in which it has been expressed, but it corresponds with the cycle year Sadharana, and which Mr. Rice conjectures may be s.s. 272. This Rāja Malla's name does not appear in any of the other inscriptions, but his father's name, Viṣṇu Gopa, is found in all the lists. The last plate of the set, the seal of which is missing, was found among the old records in the Commissioner's office. It is dated in the third year of Kōṅgani Mahārāja, the son of Kōṅgani Mahārāja (III.), deduced in regular succession from the founder. The description given in the grant is somewhat obscure, but seems to make him the same person as the Avinīta, surnamed Kōṅgani Vṛiddharāja (IV.) and Durvvinita, of the Mallohalli grant. The date is not given, and the rest of the grant is imperfect.

Turn we now to the stone inscriptions, the first of which is that obtained by me at Lakmeshwar, and edited by Mr. Fleet,⁴ in which Mārasimhadēva, who had also the titles of Ganga-Kandarpa and Satyavākya Kōṅguni Varmā, gave a grant of land to a Jaina priest in s.s. 890. The stone on which it is inscribed is a large and fair slab in the Jaina temple, and in itself is free from suspicion; but below it, and on the same stone, follow two other inscriptions, the first of which being undated, and as derived from a family of no great note, need not be noticed now. The third, however, and the last on the stone, which records a benefaction to the Jaina temple, on the occasion of its repair by Vikramāditya Chalukya II. (whose genealogy is correctly given), in the Saka year 656, involves the difficulty of a more ancient record coming in succession to the later deed. The only possible explanation that occurs to me is that the older grants might have been transferred to this stone at a later period, for the unification of the titles. On the other hand, a second grant of the same prince (Mārasimhadēva), in the same year, is found on another stone in the temple, and this, on the above hypothesis, should have been added in succession if there was room for it.

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. v. p. 140.

² Kōṅgu-dēva Rājakaḷ, J.R.A.S. vol. viii. p. 4. The terms Avinīta and Durvvinita are nearly synonymous; the first meaning unmannered or mannerless, the second has the more intensified signification of evil mannered.

³ A nomad caste of this name is still found wandering over the plains of Southern India, earning a subsistence by catching birds and weaving mats.

⁴ Ind. Ant. vol. vii. p. 101.

Márasimha, whose name occurs nowhere else, is called the younger brother of Hari Varmá, which places him near the beginning of the line, and makes the settlement of his date of the greater importance. He is further distinguished in the inscription by the epithets Ganga-Kandarpa, and Satyavákya Koṅguni Varmá (*i.e.* the cupid of the Ganges, and the truth-speaking Koṅguni Varmá). The last appellation is that of the author of the three inscriptions on stone, found by Mr. Kittel in different villages of the Kodagu (Coorg) district, which will now be noticed. The first of these conveys an endowment to the Jaina temple at Pergga by Satyavákya Koṅgini Varmá (so read). The date of the grant is somewhat doubtfully read by Mr. Kittel as s.s. 780. Mr. Fleet, finding the name Satyavákya to be the same as that in the Lakmeshwar inscription, inclines to the opinion that both were made by the same person, whom he therefore identifies with Márasimha; and to get over the otherwise irreconcilable difference of date, which involves a space of 120 years,¹ for reasons stated, reads that at Pergga as s.s. 900. And it is remarkable that both these dates correspond with the Isvara *samvatsara* of their respective cycles. The second inscription procured by Mr. Kittel relates to a Jaina grant of a Satyavákya Koṅguni Varmá in s.s. 809, and there seems no reason to cast any suspicion on the accuracy of this date. But if Mr. Kittel's reading is the right one, the interval between the two grants would be twenty-nine years, and might easily refer to the same person. The last grant is a deed of investiture by a Satyavákya Koṅgunivarmá, fixing the quit-rent of certain lands in kind and money, but being undated it requires no further notice. All three have one or all of the following titles: lord of Kolálapúra or Kóvalálapúra, protector of Nandagiri, Ráchamalla, Permmannaḍi, which it will be remembered were also borne by Rája Malla of the Bangalore copper plate.

Having thus examined all the inscriptions hitherto published, together with the native Chronicle, there is found to be a considerable agreement, both as to the names and the order of succession. Neither of them, however, afford reliable chronological data to determine either the beginning or end of the dynasty. We are thus driven to seek for its place in history from such indirect mention of it as can be found elsewhere. The most important notice is the statement in the documents relating to the Yádavas of Dvárāsamudra that Vishnuvardhana, the fourth prince, captured and burned Talkáḍ, and annexed the Ganga territory. The name of the Koṅgu king is not mentioned, but as it is given as a simple fact, unaccompanied by the usual laudatory ascription of victories over surrounding potentates, it may be received as correct. This would place the extinction of the dynasty between the second and third decade of the twelfth century. Now, seeing that there were twenty-one Koṅgu kings, according to the Rájakal, although fifteen or sixteen only are found in the inscriptions, at twenty years to a reign we obtain a period of four centuries (420 years) for the duration of the dynasty, or at an average of fifteen years, three centuries (315 years).

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. vii. pp. 162-3

The former of these would place the rise of the family about the beginning of the eighth century, and the latter to the beginning of the ninth, an approximation to the date of the Lakmeshwar grant, for Hari Varmā's brother, Mārasimha, is the fourth in the list, and his inscription is dated s.s. 890 (=968 A.D.). A further confirmation is obtained by taking the period between Mārasimha, A.D. 968, and the close of the dynasty in A.D. 1125, which embraces 157 years. This distributed among his eleven successors, according to inscriptions, would give an average of 14·3 to a reign. This conclusion differs so entirely from the views hitherto entertained, which rest chiefly on the Koṅgu-dēsa Charitra, that I offer it with some diffidence.

Mr. Foulkes, in his sketch of the dynasty published in the Manual of the Salem Collectorate,¹ gives the date of the first Koṅgani Varmā as s.s. 111 (=A.D. 189), and its extinction by the Cholas about s.s. 800 (=A.D. 878), being a period of 689 years, and giving an average of nearly 33 years (32·8) to a reign, after which they held it for 126 years.² Of this Chola conquest I have been unable to find any proof; while of that of the Hoysala Ballālas the evidence is clear and consistent. How the Chola supremacy ended, or how the Ganga power revived, as it clearly must have done during the century that intervened before its so-called second extinction by Viṣṇuwardhana, we are not told. It is for those who hold that view to explain the difficulty. Granting, however, these statements to be correct, it must have become once more very powerful, as Viṣṇuwardhana seems to have considered its destruction one of the most glorious acts of his reign.³ In addition to this it must be noted that the Chola ruler, so far from subduing the Koṅgu country, was aided by Narasimha II. of the Hoysala Ballālas in his conquest of the Pāṇḍyan kingdom;⁴ as a result of which Somesvara, his son, obtained a portion of the conquered territory, where he frequently resided at the town of Vikramapūra.⁵

The cognizance adopted by the Koṅgani dynasty, in addition to the bow of the Chéras, was an elephant, and was taken with much propriety from that noble animal, the principal haunts of which in the south are the hills and forests of Koṅgu-dēsa. The only coins on which it appears in gold are those known as *anai kāsū*, *anai mitti* or *gajapati kāsū*, which are found both in the form of *kūns* and *fanams*, and are not uncommon on the Malabar coast. They have an elephant caparisoned on the obverse, and what I have called the floral reverse, seen on many of the earliest pagodas and fanams, with sometimes a Canarese letter above the elephant's back, of the meaning of which I am not aware, but conjecture it may be the first letter of the king's name, or of the cycle year in which

¹ vol. i. p. 37.

² *Id.* p. 39.

³ Inscription at Belūr (Velapūra) dated s.s. 1039 (=A.D. 1117).
 . . . "Having by the might of his arms first acquired the wealth of the Hoysala kingdom, taking up the circle of the points of the compass, he gained possession of Talakādu, and of Ganga Rājya, being the first to rule them" (i.e. in supersession of the ancient race), "this Viṣṇu Bhūpāla, the raiser of the fortunes of the Yādu race. The Lakshmi of victories gaining great increase by the power of his arms, he burnt the capital city of the Gangas,

this powerful king Viṣṇu, called *Bhujabala Ganga*, possessor of the city of Talavanapūra (Dalavanpūra), subduer of the *Konkongā* country, gained possession of Koḷālapūra," with the titles *Bhujabala*, *Vira Ganga*, and *Vikramaganga*, importing his conquests over the *Gangas*.—Rice, *Mysore Inscriptions*, pp. 262, 263; also inscription at Sindigere, *id.* p. 330.

⁴ MS. inscription at Harihar.


⁵ Compare *Sūsanam* at Bangalore; Rice, *Mysore Inscriptions*, p. 322.

it was issued. Copper coins are often met with of considerable variety, and have a character specially their own. They are small and irregularly shaped, without a raised edge, weighing from 50 to 55 grains, but one was as much as 68. Another of only 23 grains I take to be a half. The symbols on the obverse are very various, and neatly executed, that in the centre, sometimes an elephant, sometimes a weapon, etc., is generally, though not always, flanked by a bow; and some other forms difficult to name, and which, owing to my blindness, I will not now attempt to describe. Some thirty years ago I had a large collection of these, from which a selection was depicted and partly engraved for my *Gleanings*, but I left India *re infecta*. They will be found represented in the plates. From their simple, not to say rude forms, I at first attributed them to some earlier Chéra dynasty, of whom no clear record survives; but seeing that they are die-struck, the outcome of an art of which there are no ascertained specimens anterior to the fifth or sixth century, I hesitate to assign to them a date earlier than the Kōngu age.


I may add that I have one coin with the Ceylon sitting figure on what I here take to be the obverse, and the usual Kōngu altar on the reverse, but it would be unsafe to assign to this dynasty the adoption of an innovation which was recent at the time of its fall.

GENEALOGIES.

ACCORDING TO INSCRIPTIONS.



ACCORDING TO THE KONGU-DESA CHARITRA.



¹ Hari Varmā changed the capital to Dalavanpūra.

² Vishṇu-kopa embraced the Vaishṇa religion, and having no child of his own, he adopted a son named Mād'hava, who succeeded him. Subsequently a son was born to him, named Kṛishṇa Varmā, after which there appears to have been a disputed succession, ending in Dindicara, a pretender, being set

aside in favour of Kōṅgaṇi Mahāthirāya II. or III. (see Mallohaḷḷi plate), the son of Kṛishṇa Varmā's younger sister.

³ Mushkara and his father Dhruvanita were wise and warlike.

⁴ Trivikrama, ? the S'ri Vikrama of the Nagamangala plate.

⁵ Rāja Govinda Raya changed to Saivism.

2. PÁNDYAN DYNASTY.

THE historical obscurity of Dravidian history, already noticed, is equally felt in dealing with the Pándyans. For nearly two thousand years the position of their country kept them much secluded from contact with the rest of India. The mild and inoffensive character of the Jaina religion which prevailed there from the earliest times was favourable to the cultivation of letters and the arts. The many compositions of the former, afterwards leavened by the Vedic literature of the first northern missionaries, unfortunately contain no notice of passing events, and the traditionary writings of a later date supply nothing to make up for the deficiency. The quiet tenor of the Jaina period was first broken by the theological disputes of the ninth to the twelfth centuries, about which time a further light breaks in from the Singhalese annals. It is by a comparison of these with native traditions, and such help as can be obtained from inscriptions, and other casual notices of contemporary events, that we must endeavour to elucidate the changes that have taken place in the development of the coinage.

It has already been observed that two essentially distinct types are found, the first of which bears the distinguishing Pándyan figure of the fish only, with ancient Nágari letters. The only specimens that are known, and all of gold, are of this type, and very rare. One is in my own possession, weighing 58·8 grains; another has been figured by Prof. H. Wilson¹ from the Mackenzie Collection, and a third is found in Moor's Hindu Pantheon.² This kind, undoubtedly the oldest, probably belongs to that period when the capital of the Pándyans was at Kólkói or Kórkói (*Kóλχοί*), long the seat of the pearl fishery, and the emporium of the trade of the south, the ancient site of which, still retaining the same name, was discovered by Dr. Caldwell³ near the modern village of Máramangalam. How long it continued to be the capital is uncertain, and it was perhaps not till the seat of government was removed to Madura that the elegant coins above mentioned were struck. The characters on the reverse, from their

¹ As. Res. vol. xvii.

² Plate 104, figs. 9 and 11. Two coins are represented, one of which resembles mine.

³ Ind. Ant. vol. vi. pp. 80-83.

greater resemblance to Nágari than to Tamil, favour the supposition that the dies were cut by Jaina artists after the alphabet had been modified by Aryan intercourse, and the establishment of the Madura *Sangam* or College, placed by Dr. Caldwell in the eighth or ninth century. But there is no reason why they should not be dated a century or two earlier, or on the other hand, that they may not have been struck as late as the tenth century. After this the character of the coinage underwent the complete change by the introduction of what in the previous section has been called the Ceylon type. This I suppose to have been owing to the intercourse between Ceylon and Madura, our accounts of which are derived exclusively from Singhalese writers. The inhabitants of the latter, called Solleas, settling in the island in considerable numbers, provoked the enmity of the natives, which led to their expulsion, and to invasions of Madura in retaliation, two of which are recorded to have taken place, the last only being very successful. But it is remarkable that no mention whatever is made of them by Tamil writers.¹ That these invasions actually occurred, the character of the Ceylon histories do not allow us to doubt, but it may be questioned whether they, especially the last, were of so decisive and important a character as asserted. If the Singhalese chronology is undisputed; they must have taken place about the twelfth century. But this, as will be seen, is open to question.

Previous to this change, the kingdom of Madura had fallen under the dominion of the Cholas, whose power had been carried to its highest point by Rajendra Chola, between 1064 and 1113 A.D. The few coins I possess of his time all belong to the old type of that dynasty, exhibiting their own symbol of the tiger between the Pándyan fish and the Chéra bow, with the legend in old Nágari. It was not until the time of Rája Rája that the so-called Ceylon type appeared. It then at once came into general use. Coins with the name of Rája Rája flooded the country; those of copper are still numerous, and examples in silver, though rare, and one or two in gold, have also been met with. From that time they constituted the prevailing character of the Dravidian currency. We may, therefore, safely conclude that the change took place somewhere about the eleventh or twelfth century, and that the names of the kings on the coins of that type now found with Tamil legends, the letters of which, it may be observed, differ little from those now in use, are also subsequent to that time.

I have given Rajendra Chola's date on data furnished by the Chalukya inscriptions, but the establishment of its accuracy is so important that I will mention some additional evidence derived from Tamil records. Dr. Caldwell has found inscriptions of his in every part of Tinnevely. Two of these near Cape Comorin, are dated in the fourth and fifth years of his reign.² They are confirmed by the inscription at Gangondaram quoted by me in the Madras Journal, vol. xiii. pp. 37-44 (1844). All these take credit for a so-called victory(?)

¹ I may also observe that the Madura chronicles, such as they are, are significantly silent as to the Chola conquest, showing their unwillingness to record any facts tending to the disparagement of their own country.

² At Kótár, in South Travancore; Hist. of Tinnevely, p. 28.

gained by him over Ahavamalla at Kudala Sangam, the junction of the Kṛishṇa and Tungabhadra rivers. This Ahavamalla we know from the Western Chalukya inscriptions, was Someswara I. surnamed Ahavamalla, the second who assumed that title, and reigned from 1042-1068 A.D. He it was who in the passages of the inscriptions at Annigeri and Lakmeshwar cited by me in 1836,¹ states that he repelled the invasion of the Chōla king, who could be no other than Rajendra.

I will now examine the value of the native accounts of the Pándyan dynasty. These are recorded in nominal lists containing names varying in number from thirty to seventy-seven, of which five have been published by Professor H. Wilson, and two by Mr. Nelson.² Almost all these begin and end with the same names (viz. Kulasek'bara, and Kúna or Sundara Pándya), and it is manifestly impossible that they can be accepted as correct. Professor Wilson indeed says that "the conflicting statements they contain are not likely to afford much satisfactory information;"³ and Bishop Caldwell adds that "such lists, until confirmed by inscriptions, are of no conceivable historical value."⁴ Even then, the light they afford is very doubtful, so many of the inscriptions being without dates. Moreover, the brief legends on the coins, as before stated, and the names likewise, are Tamil, while the names in the lists are Sanscrit. Nevertheless, Dr. Caldwell cites one instance in which the name on a coin is found in the lists, and, as I have three or four more besides, I have appended these lists to this section for purposes of comparison.

It is more difficult, amid such discordant elements, to assign the Chōla conquest to its proper place among them. Tradition associates Rajendra Chōla with Sundara or Kúna Pándya, the last name in the lists, whom he reinstated in his hereditary dominions, making him his vice-regent, and giving him his sister in marriage. But Rajendra's conquest of Madura having taken place before the change in the coinage, it is clear that the individual so distinguished could not have been the last of a line of princes, all of whom adopted that change. Still, I cannot reject a tradition so generally received as a myth, but prefer to associate it with an earlier Sundara. It has occurred to me that the name Sundara, which is found on many of the coins, with or without addition, may be a dynastic title, like Śátakarpi of the Andhras, derived from Sundara, the husband of *Mináṭchi*, the tutelary goddess of Madura. His name stands third in all the lists published by Wilson, except the third, which it heads, and is conjoined with that of *Mináṭchi* in the second. It is repeated several times in Mr. Nelson's shorter list, and recurs in inscriptions and on coins, with nothing to mark the particular ruler referred to. Dr. Caldwell himself doubts "whether Rajendra gained the sovereignty of the Pándya country by conquest or by voluntary cession,"⁵ and certainly the traditionary statements import the conditions on which Rajendra reinstated the Sundara, whom he admitted into the closest family relations, to have been liberal and lasting. This

¹ J.R.A.S. vol. iv. notes at pp. 13 and 14.

² J.R.A.S. vol. iii. pp. 236-239; Madura Man. pp. 39-41, 74-5.

³ J.R.A.S. vol. iii. p. 202.

⁴ Hist. of Tin. p. 27.

⁵ *Id.* p. 23.

would enable that Sundara to transmit his dignity to his descendants, and when the Chola power began to wane, would encourage them to throw off their dependence on it, as they appear to have done. It accounts, likewise, for the way in which their names are written in some of the stone inscriptions, as Sundara Pándya-Chola.¹ These inscriptions boast undisguisedly of their victories over the Cholas, and the inscription on one coin has reference to the capture of Kánci by a Pándyan prince.²

There is nothing to show at what period the superiority of the Cholas became seriously impaired. It is known from the recorded interference of the Hoysala Ballála princes, Ballála II. and Narasimha II., in disputes between the Cholas and the Pándyans about this time (see p. 81 *ante*), that the authority of the former was by no means unquestioned, and it was probably further shaken by the Singhalese invasion, and by the appearance in the political horizon of a new competitor for power in Drávida, who bears the name of Kulasek'hara, but whose proper name has not been ascertained. Nor do we at present know the circumstances under which he attained that power and influence which the events of the period show him to have possessed. Dr. Caldwell has seen many of his inscriptions in Tinnevely,³ and the celebrity to which he attained may serve to account for the place his name holds at the head of all the lists except the two shorter ones. Parákrama Báhu, 1153-1186 A.D., according to the Mahawanso, sent an army to attack him for the assistance he had given to previous invaders of Ceylon;⁴ but another account states that the Singhalese monarch was invited by the then king of Madura to repel an enemy named Kulasek'hara, who was preparing to attack him.⁵ Parákrama Báhu accordingly sent his general, Lankápura-danda-nátha, with an army to his assistance, ordering him to establish the rightful king upon the throne. Kulasek'hara seems to have espoused the cause of one Sundara, who is called the Pandu king. This would imply that a dispute was now pending for the throne of Madura, between two competitors, the pretensions of one being backed by the Singhalese, and those of the other by Kulasek'hara. After several battles, Lankápura claims to have succeeded in placing the other claimant, named Vira Pándya, on the throne.⁶ The latter version receives support from the statements of two or three Muhammadan historians; but according to the dates given by them the chronology presents considerable difficulties. The most reliable of these writers is Amír

¹ Inscription at Karuvár (Karúr) (Hist. of Tin. p. 32), a practice which Caldwell observes was very common in subsequent reigns. One instance is given in which Rajendra himself is said to have assumed the name of Rajendra-Chola-Pándyan (Hist. of Tin. p. 28) and probably others will be found.

² Extracts from an inscription on the rock at Thirupparankunram, near Madura (Nelson's Mad. pp. 56-7). . . . "Who terrified the flags bearing respectively the emblems of the furious tiger and the strong bow, and compelled them to hide themselves. . . . Who destroyed the power of the tiger-flag in the country surrounded by the Kávéri river; and made the fish-flag of the Pándya kingdom, which is bounded by Cape Kumári, to fly everywhere; and marched and spread abroad his forces, furnished with high couraged horses and elephants; set Tanjore

and Uriúr on fire . . . Who in his anger drove the Chola from his dominions into a barren place; took away his crown of pure gold . . . anointed himself with all proper ceremonies in the *mantapan*, which was the Cholas anointing hall at Ayirattali." The coin referred to in the text is of the true Ceylon type with the fish crossed, and crozier, and the legend *Kacchi Vatunguss Perumáśa*, meaning the "Conjeeveram giving king," referring to the taking of Kánci and its restoration, but affording no key to the name of the king.

³ Hist. of Tin. p. 30.

⁴ Turnour, App. p. lxvi.

⁵ Rhys Davids, from native statements, purporting to be derived from the Mahawanso.

⁶ Bengal Journal, vol. xli. pp. 191 201 (1872).

Khusrú, who died in 1325 A.D. In describing Malik Káfur's campaigns in the Dakhan, he says that two claimants for the throne of Madura, the one named Bir Pándya and the other Sundar Pándya, who had hitherto been on friendly terms, were now in opposition to each other. On learning this, the Muhammadan general attacked and plundered Mathra (Madura), "the dwelling place of the brother of the Rái Sundar Pándya," who forsook it and fled.¹ Another historian, 'Abdu-llah Wassáf, gives a somewhat different account of the same event. He states that Sundar Pandí, the Dewar or ruler of Ma'bar, who had three brothers, each ruling an independent country, died in A.H. 692 (1292-3 A.D.).² Afterwards, he says that "enmity prevailed between the two brothers, Sundar Pandí and Tíra Pandí, after the murder of their father."³ In a third place he describes Kales Dewar as a prosperous and powerful ruler of Ma'bar for upwards of forty years. He then states that he had two sons, Sundar Pandí and Tíra Pandí, the latter of whom, though the younger, and illegitimate, was declared by his father to be his successor. The elder, Sundar, enraged at this supersession, killed his father 1310 A.D., and seized the crown. But the son of Kales Dewar's daughter, Manár Barmúl, taking the part of Tíra Pandí, he was ultimately successful, and Sundar Pandí fled for protection to Delhi, where he not only found an asylum, but 'Alá-ud-dín ordered his general Malik Káfur to afford him assistance, which appears to have been the cause of the expedition of that general against Madura above mentioned.⁴ All these narratives appear to refer to the same historical event, viz. a disputed succession⁵ between the two sons of the king of Madura, Sundara and Víra Pándya, in which an individual named Kulasek'hara, who perhaps may be the same person as the Kales Dewar of Wassáf, plays a conspicuous part.⁶ Memorials of him have been found in the shape of copper *śāsanams*, the seals of which have the fish symbol in the centre, flanked by the tiger and the bow, as represented in the annexed woodcut, showing that he had assumed the paramount position of the Chólas, or in other words, of the whole of Drávida. The copper plates to which the seals above described were attached were translated by Dr. Caldwell,⁷ and purport to be issued in the "13th year, 4364th day of the lord of the earth, Śrí Kochchadei Varmá, emperor of the three worlds, Śrí Kulasek'hara Déva," etc., etc. If this is the year of the *Kalīngga*, it would correspond with 1263 A.D. Should this date be accepted, it brings his era near to that of the Muhammadan writers, and as his reign is said by Wassáf to have been



¹ Sir H. Elliot's *Historians*, vol. iii. pp. 88, 91.

² *Ib.* pp. 32, 34.

³ *Ib.* pp. 49, 50.

⁴ *Ib.* pp. 52-4. See also p. 81, *ante*.

⁵ Nelson in his *Manual of Madura* characterizes the period from 1100 A.D. to 1324 A.D. as "one of numberless invasions and constant internecine strife," during which the ancient race of the Pándyans came to an end, and those who assumed the name at a later period he styles "Pseudo-Pándyans" (Part v. p. 111).

⁶ Amir Khusrú wrote the life of 'Alá-ud-dín, and is said to have been his contemporary, and to have participated in some of the

events he describes; whilst 'Abdu-llah Wassáf, who published four volumes of his history in A.D. 1300, and a fifth in 1328, appears to have been introduced by the Wazir Rashid-ud-dín, who was his friend, to the Persian court, and to have presented his history to the Tartar king Uljaitú. Rashid-ud-dín also composed a history of his times; and, quoting from Al Biruni, gives nearly the same account as that of Wassáf above noticed. Elliot's *Hist.* vol. i. pp. 69-70. See also Colonel Yule's paper on "Rashid-ud-dín's Geographical Notices of India," *J.R.A.S.* vol. iv. n.s. pp. 345, *et seq.*

⁷ *Ind. Ant.* vol. vi. p. 142.

a long one, it is so far confirmatory of their narratives; but then comes the difficulty of the earlier Ceylon date, for it is not probable that two such contentions for a precisely similar object between two brothers of the same names should have taken place so soon after each other, although the dates differ so materially as the middle of the twelfth, the middle of the thirteenth, and beginning of the fourteenth centuries. Perhaps an explanation may be found in assuming, as Dr. Caldwell has suggested, that some confusion has taken place in the Singhalese records.¹

I have a drawing and facsimile of the seal of another *śāsanam*, which, to the best of my recollection, was deposited with the preceding at Tiruppūvaṇam, and referred to the grant therein mentioned by the chief of Madacolam, a feudatory of Kulasekhara. This seal differs somewhat from the above marginal woodcut in having the tiger and the fish placed upright, opposite each other, in the middle of the field, with the bow transversely below them: round it a legend, which has been read doubtfully as Pāṇḍya Narendra Varmanaha samasta lōkāsrayaha—The Pāṇḍya Narendra Varmā, lord of the whole world. Two inscriptions deposited in the University of Leyden were exhibited during the meeting of the International Oriental Congress in September 1883, the seals of which lead, presumably, to the supposition that they were granted by the same ruler, the Kulasekhara above mentioned. One of them is described to me by General Pearse as an exceptionally fine specimen, consisting of twenty-one plates of unusually large size. On the seal, of which he had a photograph, the disposition of the figures resembles that of the Madacolam grant just mentioned, a representation of which will be found at the end of this section, but it is more than double the size, and has the addition of a tall lamp on either side, behind the tiger and the fish. The smaller *śāsanam* consists of three plates, and has a seal more nearly resembling my drawing. We may expect that the translation of these will throw much light on this vexed question.²

Before quitting this subject, I must advert to the Sondar Bandi mentioned by Marco Polo as ruler of the south in 1292 A.D. He sailed with the embassy from the Mongol emperor of China to the Tartar king of Persia in 1292 A.D. The voyage was tedious and difficult, and when they reached the coast of India, the south-west monsoon had set in. This obliged them to put into the port of Kayal, which had succeeded Kōrkōi as the emporium of the south. The information gathered by him regarding that country does not appear to have been committed to paper at the time, but was dictated by him some years later in Genoa, to a fellow-prisoner whilst he was in confinement after the battle of Curzola in 1298 A.D.³ The historical part of his testimony is very short, merely stating that the great province of Ma'bar (Drāvida) is ruled by five kings who are own brothers, of whom the one at this end is a crowned king named Sonder Bandi Davar.⁴ In

¹ Hist. of Tin. p. 30.

² Since the above was written a notice of these seals has appeared in the Ind. Ant. vol. xiii. p. 59.

³ Yule's Marco Polo, vol. i. pp. lii. liii. lxxx.

⁴ *Id.* vol. ii. p. 267.

another place he states the king of Kayal to have been the eldest of the five brothers, and named Ashar,¹ an inexact form of the true name, whatever it may have been. This hardly coincides with his former statement that Sonder Bandi was one of the five brothers who reigned over this end of the province. This is probably an incorrect version of the old tradition that Drávida was first settled by four brothers, named Kola, Chola, Pándya and Chéra. His use of the name Sonder Bandi does not enable us to apply it to any particular individual of that name. If not connected with the dynastic use of the term, it may have had reference to the disputed succession adverted to above, in which a Sundara bore a conspicuous part. It is remarkable, however, that Marco makes no allusion whatever to a contention of such surpassing interest to the whole province. His silence, therefore, may be taken to imply that it took place after his departure, and this adds strength to the doubt cast on the accuracy of the Singhalese Chronicles.

In conclusion, I will now notice some of the more remarkable specimens of the coinage of this dynasty of which I was able to make a considerable collection. Gold coins, as before stated, are very rare. The only addition to those mentioned in the beginning of the section is a gold piece, very recently obtained by General Pearse in London, which he has described to me as remarkable from exhibiting what he calls a crocodile above two fishes, upright, in the centre of the field, below them a third fish placed horizontally. On the reverse three lines of bold archaic Nágari.

Copper coins are met with in considerable numbers, but I cannot assign to any of them a date beyond the tenth or eleventh century, because they all exhibit the Ceylon type, and have legends exclusively in Tamil, differing little from the letters in present use. Most of them, however, exhibit the figure of the fish (*min*, Sans.)² in various combinations, which, as before stated, is the special cognizance of the Pándyan lines. From this the sovereigns took the title of *Minavan*=the fish one, and from this also the tutelary deity worshipped in the great temple at Madura is called *Minátchi*,³ whose union with the god Sundara has already been noticed. One of these which had the name of Kulasek'hara, has been lost; but I have an exact drawing of it. This goes to prove that although he heads the native lists, he was subsequent to the eleventh century. Kulasek'hara is an epithet rather than a proper name, and means head ornament or crown of the race. It occurs in three of the inscriptions noted by Mr. Sewell in his *Collection of Antiquities*,⁴ viz. one of Tribhuvana Chakravarti Kulasek'hara Dévar, in A.D. 1402, and two of Kulasek'hara Perumál Dévar, dated A.D. 1536, and 1550, all in Tinnevely.

I have also a coin of Malaya *ketu*, the Malaya *dhwaja*, second in the lists, who is called

¹ *Id.* p. 305, note 2, p. 310.

² A species of carp or barbel found in the larger rivers, the Tamil name of which is *kayal*: the *Barbus tor* of Buchanan, the *malasir* of English sportsmen; and also celebrated in the heraldry of northern India.

³ Popularly, but incorrectly, as I am informed by Dr. Cald-

well, the name of the goddess is often written *Minákshi* or *fish-eyed*, having reference, perhaps, to other names of Dévi, as *Kamakshi* or *wanton-eyed*, *Visalakshi* or *large-eyed*. The true meaning of *Minátchi* is *fish rule*, not *ruler*, formed from the Tamil root *at* to rule.

⁴ p. 306.

the son of Kulasek'hara.¹ It exhibits the figure of a mountain and a crescent, and below the word *ketu*, synonymous with the Sanscrit *dhruva*, a standard. Malaya is the name of a well-known mountain in the Madura district, sometimes called the southern Meru. To these may be added a coin of Vira Pāṇḍya, fourth and fifth in the lists. The reverse of this has the two fish with crozier between; a lamp on either side, and the name *Vira Pāṇḍya* above. According to Dr. Caldwell there was more than one of the name.² The coin read by him as Samara Kolāhala, who occupies from the fortieth to the forty-second places in the lists, is remarkable as indicating a change in the religion. I have several varieties of it, suggesting either a long reign of the above prince, or that there was more than one of the name. The most conspicuous exhibits a human figure of Garuḍa on the back of the fish, with the adjuncts of the *ṣaṅkha* and *chakra*, all attributes of Viṣṇu; and the meaning of the legend, "din of war," seems to intimate that the change from Saivite tenets had been brought about by violence. Another has *Garuḍa* on the obverse without the fish, and on the reverse two fish facing each other, with a crozier between them. No legend. A third has on the reverse two fish parallel to each other, with or without a candelabra on either side, and the legend round the margin. A fourth has only the word *Kolāhala* between the fish on the reverse. The earliest form of religion in the south had probably been that of the Jains. The Saivite doctrines of the great reformer Sankarāchārya met with a favourable reception at Tanjore,³ which led to the extermination of the Buddhist sectaries who had obtained a footing from Ceylon.⁴ The Chōla conquest and the marriage of Sundara Pāṇḍya with the sister of Rajendra, led to the conversion of that prince, and the extension of the Saiva doctrines into Madura under the guidance of Gnāna Sambandha, the religious teacher of the queen. Sundara persecuted his late Jaina co-religionists with relentless cruelty, 8000 of whom are said to have been impaled by him.⁵ Confirmatory of these executions sculptured representations are still to be seen on the walls of a neighbouring temple at Trivatūr in the Arcot district. Still later the doctrines of the Vaishnava sect superseded those of Sankara at Madura, as shown by the coins of Samara Kolāhala above mentioned, but found no favour at Tanjore, and their author, Rāmānuja, fled to Dwārasamudra, where he converted the Jaina king Viṣṇuvardhana.⁶ An anthropoid figure of Garuḍa appears on another coin holding a bow (?), and above a *ṣaṅkha* shell (?). On the reverse the name *Bhucanika vīraṇ*, a name not found in any of the lists. One coin bears the name of *kūṇa*, and has the appearance of being older than the rest. On the obverse is the Ceylon standing figure, and on the reverse is a sitting figure, perhaps having reference to Sundara's early attachment to the Jaina

¹ Nelson's Mad. part iii. p. 7.

² Hist. of Tin. p. 27.

³ Caldwell's Comp. Gram. p. 138.

⁴ Few traces exist of the hold the Buddhists had in the south notwithstanding the support it must have received from its proximity to Ceylon. We know from Hiouen Tsang that when he was at Kāschī, about the year 639 A.D., a number of Buddhist monks came there to avoid the political troubles

in their own country (Cun. Anc. Geo. p. 548), and that it flourished for a time is proved by the monument at Negapatam (Ind. Ant. vol. vii. p. 224), and the tradition that it was persecuted to extirpation by Māpikha-vāsagar in the reign of one of the earlier Chōlas is generally received.

⁵ History of Tinnevely, p. 32.

⁶ Ibid. p. 30.

faith.¹ Another type has on the obverse two fish with crozier between, and on the reverse the name *Sundara Pāṇḍya*.

A somewhat curious specimen has on the obverse a horse galloping to the proper left, with three small symbols above, which I cannot recognize. On the reverse two fishes looking outwards, between them a crozier, and above it a small bull seated between two chowries. I am unable to explain the meaning of these devices, but according to native Pāṇḍits they have been referred to the story of Arimardhana Pāṇḍya, fifty-fourth or sixty-first in the lists, and his minister Māṇikka-vāsāgar, as told in the *Sthala Mahatmya*, which will be found in Taylor's Hist. MSS.,² but with what amount of truth or likelihood I am not prepared to say.

A unique specimen deserves mention from its bearing on General Pearse's description of his recently acquired gold coin, although the Ceylon type shows it to be much more recent. The reverse has the figure of a scorpion and the word *ketu* (= *dhvaj*), under it a crocodile, and below all two fish. This may refer to a name like *Makara ketu*, could such be found. There is a coin with the Ceylon type on both sides, with the addition on the obverse of two fish and a crozier, on the reverse under the arm letters which appear to read *Terumalai*, and may refer to a Nāyak of Madura. Another of those with the Ceylon type on both sides has on the reverse under the arm, the crozier and a fish. Another coin has the recumbent bull, and the word *ketu*, and the standing figure on the obverse, but as there is no fish it is doubtful whether a Pāṇḍyan origin can be assigned to it.

¹ This close approximation of the Ceylon type to Rajendra Chola does not agree with the traditionary statements of the near relationship between him and Kūṣa.

² Vol. i. pp. 104-10. See also his Cat. McK. MSS. in Cat. Rais. vol. iii. pp. 135-138; Madura Man. part iii. pp. 33-36.



SEAL OF MADACOLAM GRANT.

LISTS OF THE PANDYAN KINGS REFERRED TO AT PAGE THIRTY-FOUR.

No.	No 1 VAMBAVALI.	No. 2	Vol.	No. 3. MAD. PUR.	No. 4. MAD. PUR.	No. 5. HALASTA.	NELSON'S LIST. "HIST. OF MADURA," pp. 39-41.	NELSON'S SHORT LIST. "HIST. OF MADURA," part iii pp. 74-5.	NAYAK DYNASTY. "PRINCE'S UNDEUL TABLET," p. 122.
1	Kulasekhara	Kulasekhara	—	—	Kulasekhara	—	{Kula Sek'hara Padhya.	Soma Sundara Padhya	Vissanatha, 1530.
2	Malaya Dhwaaja	Malaya Dhwaaja	—	—	Malaya Dhwaaja	—	Malaya Dhwaaja P.	Karvera Sundara P.	Krishnappa.
3	Sundara	{ Sundara }	—	—	Sundara	—	Sundara Padhya	Kumara Sek'hara P.	Virappa.
4	Vira	Wagra	—	—	Wagra	—	{Ugra Padhya, called Harad'hara.	Kumara Sundara P.	Vavuppa.
5	Abhisheka	Vira	—	—	Abhisheka Vira	—	Vira Padhya	Sundara Raja P.	Kumara Krishnappa.
6	Vikrama	Abhisheka	—	—	Vikrama	—	Abhisheka Padhya.	Shamuk'ha Raja P.	Kasturi Rajappa.
7	Rajasekhara	Vikrama	—	—	Rajasekhara	—	Vikrama Padhya.	Mettu Krishnappa.	Mettu Krishnappa.
8	Anantaguna	R. Sek'hara	—	—	R. Sek'hara	—	Raja Sek'hara P.	Indra Sundara P.	Virappa, died 1623.
9	Kulabhara	Kulottunga	—	—	Kulottunga	—	Kulottunga P.	Chandra Kula Dipa P.	Terimala or Trimal,
10	Varaguna	Anantaguna	—	—	Anantaguna	—	Anantaguna P.	Mina Kethana P.	1623-33.
11	Raja Raja	Bhuhana	—	—	Kulabhuhana	—	Rajendra P.	Mina D'hwaja P.	Mettu Virappa, 1633.
12	Raja Gambhira	Rajendra	—	—	Rajendra	—	Rajendra P.	Makara D'hwaja P.	Chokkanatha, died
13	Chitra Vira	Tari Raja	—	—	Rajasekhara	—	Rajasekhara P.	Kudala P.	1657.
14	Chitra Gona	Udala	—	—	R. Gambhira	—	Raja gamb'hira P.	Kudala P.	Krishna Mutu-
15	Chitra Gona	Gambhira	—	—	P. Vamsadipa	—	Padhya Vamsa	Satru Sambhara P.	Virappa, 1687.
16	Chitra Gona	Vengi Adi	—	—	Purandari	—	Purandari P.	Vira Bahu P.	Vijaya Ranga, under
17	Chitra Vikrama	Vamsa Sek'hara	—	—	P. Vamsa Vataka	—	Padhya Vamsa	Satru Sambhara P.	the regency of Man-
18	Raja Martanda	Vamsa Churumani	—	—	Sundaresa P. Sek'hara	—	Sundaresa P. Sek'hara	Vira Bahu P.	gammal, 1605
19	R. Chudamani	Kumara	—	—	Varaguna	—	Varaguna P.	Makuta Vard'hana P.	Vijaya Kumara, under
20	R. Sundara	Vangaya	—	—	Raja Raja	—	Raja Raja P.	Vajra Simha P.	the regency of
21	R. Kulottunga	Eradasa	—	—	Chitra Raja	—	Chitra Raja P.	Varuna Kulottunga P.	Minastirini, 1731.
22	R. Sirogibha Gam- bhira	Sundaresa P. Sek'hara	—	—	Suguna	—	Suguna P.	Kula Vard'hana P.	Fort seized by the
23	R. Gambhira	Varaguna	—	—	Chitra Vataha	—	Chitra Vataha P.	Adi-Vira-Rama P.	Nubammudana, and
24	Padhya Vamsa Dipa	{ Prākrama (1370 A.D. Cald. Gr. p. 135). }	—	—	Chitra Bhuhana	—	Chitra Bhuhana P.	Soma Sek'hara P.	Madura became
25	Perundara Sundara	Surabhi	—	—	Chitra Dhwaaja	—	Chitra Dhwaaja P.	Raja Raja P.	tributary to the
26	Padhya Vamsa	Kunkuna	—	—	Chitra Varmā	—	Chitra Varmā P.	Virama P.	Nawab of Carnatic,
27	Jannadewara	Karpura	—	—	Chitra Sona	—	Chitra Sona P.	Pratapa Raja P.	and afterwards to
28	Peruskottama	Karunya	—	—	Chitra Vikrama	—	Chitra Vikrama P.	Viraguna P.	the British.
29	Varaguna	Puruskottama	—	—	Raja Martanda	—	Raja Martanda P.	Kumara Chandra P.	
30	Raja Sardola	Chaturassana	—	—	Raja Churamani	—	Raja Chudamani P.	Varatunga P.	
31	R. Kulottama	Kona, or Sundara	—	—	Raja Sardola	—	Raja Sardola P.	Chandra Sek'hara P.	
32	Antama Pravina	Raja Martanda	—	—	Raja Kulottama	—	Raja Kulottama P.	Soma Sek'hara P.	
33	Raja Kunjara	Sardola	—	—	Pravina	—	Pravina P.	Parakkrama P.	
34	Pravira R. Bhayan- cara	Kavi Raja	—	—	R. Bhayankara	—	R. Bhayankara P.		
35	Varoonajani	Kulottama	—	—	Wagra Sona	—	Wagra Sona P.		
36	Satrunjaya	Tunda Pravina	—	—	Satrunjaya	—	Satrunjaya P.		

LISTS OF THE PANDYAN KINGS REFERRED TO AT PAGE THIRTY-FOUR (continued).

No.	No. 1. VAMBAVAL.	No. 2.	Vol.	No. 3. MAD. PUB.	No. 4. MAD. PUB.	No. 5. HALASTA.	NELSON'S LIST. "Hist. of MADURA," pp. 39-41.	NELSON'S SHORT LIST. "Hist. of MADURA," part iii. pp. 74-5.	NAYAK DYNASTY. "PRINCE'S USEFUL TABLES," p. 122.
37	Viravallanda	—	—	Raja Bahumkara	Jayagouda	Bhima Paracrama	Satrujaya P.	—	—
38	Viraparakrama	—	—	Rudrasena	Pratapa	Pratapa Martanda	Bhimara P.	—	—
39	Pratapa Martanda	—	—	Satrujaya	Vikrama Kanchuka	Vikrama Kanchuka	Bhimaparakrama P.	—	—
40	Vikramakuryaka	—	—	Vinatar	Samara Kolahala	Yuddha Kolahala	Pratapa Martanda P.	—	—
41	Samara Sek'hara	—	—	Viroprakrama	Atula Vikrama	Chatur Vikrama	Vikrama Kanchuka P.	—	—
42	Kolatala	—	—	Pratapa Martanda	Atula Kirti	Atula Kirti	Yuddha Kolahala P.	—	—
43	Atula Vikrama	—	—	Vikramakanchuka	Kirti Bhushana	Kirti Bhushana	Atula Vikrama P.	—	—
44	Atula Kirti	—	—	Samara Kolahala	Kirti Bhushana	Vamsa Sek'hara	Atula Kirti P.	—	—
45	Vamsa Sek'hara	—	—	Yatula Vikrama	Vamsa Sek'hara	Champaka	Kirti Vibhushana P.	—	—
46	Pratapa Surya	—	—	Yatula Kirti	Vamsa Churamani, or Champaka	Pratapasurya	Vamsa Sek'hara P.	—	—
47	Vamsatula	—	—	—	—	—	Vamsa Chodamani, called also Champaka P.	—	—
48	Nipu Marudari	—	—	Kirti Bhushana	Pratapa Surya	Vamsa Dhwaya	Pratapa Shivasena P.	—	—
49	Chola Vamsottara	—	—	Vamsa Sek'hara	Vamsa Dhwaya	Vamsa Bhushana	Vamsa Dhwaya P.	—	—
50	Kula Churamani	—	—	Vamsa Churamani	Marutaka	Loma Churamani	Ripu Marudana P.	—	—
51	Raja Churamani	—	—	(Surya Vajjala)	Chola Vamsantaka	Kula Churamani	Chola Vamsantaka P.	—	—
52	Vamsa Siromani	—	—	Marutaka	Chera Vamsantaka	Raja Churamani	Chera Vamsantaka P.	—	—
53	Padya Gambhira	—	—	Pratapa	Padya Vamsesa	Bhupa Churamani	Padya Vamsesa P.	—	—
54	Kuvallatya	—	—	Sovilanki	Vamsa Siromani	Padya Kulesa	Vamsa Siromani P.	—	—
55	Vamsa Vibhushana	—	—	Savangi Sandha	Pandyeswara	Arinardhana	Pandyeswara P.	—	—
56	Kulesa	—	—	Vamsa Sek'hara II.	Kulohwaya	Konapadya	Kula Dhwaya P.	—	—
57	Chola Churamani I.	—	—	Vamsa Siromani	Vamsa Vibhushana	—	Vamsa Vibhushana P.	—	—
58	Chola Churamani II.	—	—	Pandyeswara	Chola Churamani	—	Soma Chodamani P.	—	—
59	Raja Churamani	—	—	Kulohwaya	Kula Churamani	—	Kula Chodamani P.	—	—
60	Bhupa Churamani	—	—	Vamsa Bhushana	Raja Churamani	—	Raja Chodamani P.	—	—
61	Arinardhana	—	—	Chola Churamani	Bhupa Churamani	—	Bhupa Chodamani P.	—	—
62	Sek'hara Nat'ha	—	—	Kula Churamani	Kulesa	—	Kulesa P.	—	—
63	Vira Bahu	—	—	Raja Churamani	Arinardana	—	Arinardana P.	—	—
64	Vikrama Bhaga	—	—	Bhupa Churamani	Jaganath	—	Jaganath P.	—	—
65	Pracrama B.	—	—	Marutan	Virabahu	—	Virabahu P.	—	—
66	Durnada	—	—	Jaganath	Vikramabahu	—	Vikrama P.	—	—
67	Karunya	—	—	Virabahu	acramabahu	—	Surab'hi P.	—	—
68	Sarvasasana	—	—	Vikramabahu	Virabahu, or Vagvalen	—	Kunkuma P.	—	—
69	Sundhatum	—	—	Prakramabahu	Kunkumadanya	—	Karpura P.	—	—
70	Nagurika	—	—	Suratama	Karpura	—	Karunya P.	—	—
71	Chencalhoetyetta	—	—	Vagvalata P.	Karunya	—	Purubottama P.	—	—
72	Vedeya Vinta	—	—	Kunkumatenen P.	Purubottam	—	Satrusasana P.	—	—
73	—	—	—	Karpura	Satrusadana	—	Kubja P., afterwards called Sundara P.	—	—
74	—	—	—	Karunya	(Kona Pandya, or Sundara.)	—	— Beautiful — and known in Tamil as Kda or Kda P.	—	—
75	—	—	—	Purubottama	—	—	—	—	—
76	—	—	—	Chitra Satana P.	—	—	—	—	—
77	—	—	—	Kuna, or Sundara.	—	—	—	—	—

3. CHOLA DYNASTY.

It remains to notice the succession of the Cholas. That they, as well as the Pándyans, occupied their present position on the coast of Coromandel (Shorāmandalam) before the Christian era, is shown by Asoka's edict as before stated, as well as by Ptolemy.¹ But they appear to have been the most important state in Drávida from having given their name to the whole of the eastern coast, and from the practice of the Síngalese historian using the term Sollee for the country, and that of Solleans² for the inhabitants, in their relations with the mainland, although their-intercourse was more frequent with Madura and the Pándyans. Of their early political status we have no certain information. Their first capital was at Uraiyúr (Warriore=the city of habitation), called also Kóri,³ the oldest part of the modern Trichinopoli (Tirisiráppálli). This Wilson supposes may be the Orthoura (*Ὀρθούρα*) of Ptolemy.⁴ On the destruction of this place by some catastrophe (natural or political?), the capital was transferred about the seventh century to Malaikurram, the modern Kumbhakonam, which still retains traces of its former celebrity. The seat of government was at one time (about the tenth or eleventh century?) at Gangondaram (Gangaikandapúr, Gunganádapúram, Gangáikundu Solapúr), one mile from the southern Great Trunk Road, and about five miles north of the Kollidam (Coleroon), where a magnificent temple and other remains bear testimony to its former importance. This name appears to be connected with that of the Sundara Pándyan, the brother-in-law of Rajendra, and his vice-regent in the south, whose name, according to Dr. Caldwell, was also Gangaikopda Chola, or Gangaikondán. Finally the seat of power was fixed at Tanjávvúr (Tanjore). Of the causes which led to these removals no information has as yet been obtained, but they were perhaps connected with dynastic changes in the succession.

The lists of princes are more numerous, more uncertain, and more incomplete than those of the Pándyans. Prof. Dowson has collected ten or twelve of these in his paper on the Chéra dynasty,⁵ no two of which correspond, although two or three familiar names recur in all. They are moreover inextricably confused by the practice so frequent in this dynasty

¹ Ellis, *Mirasi Right*, App. p. ii.: Mack. Cat. vol. i. p. lxxxiv.


² Cald. Comp. Gram. Intro. p. 17.

⁴ Mack. Cat. vol. i. lxxxiii.


³ Turnour's *Mahawanso*, App. pp. lxiv, lxv.

⁵ J.R.A.S. vol. viii.

of using titles instead of the proper designations of individuals,¹ and sometimes two or three changes of this kind are found arising out of events in the history of the same person. Dr. Burnell, who had special opportunities in Tanjore for becoming acquainted with their history, has given the results of his inquiries in a note at p. 40 of his *Palæography*. These are deduced from inscriptions, mostly without dates. More accurate chronological details are derived from the Chola-Chalukya inscriptions in the Telugu country, which are invariably dated. A very full copper exemplar² of one of these, dated s.s. 1001, gives the following particulars:—



whose inscriptions, as Viceroy of the Rājamahendri or Vengi province are found from s.s. 1001= A.D. 1079 to A.D. 1135. From this Burnell's statement differs considerably, and is as follows:—



These discrepancies will doubtless be cleared up hereafter; at present, we may conclude with tolerable certainty that the Chola power was in the ascendant from early in the eleventh to the middle of the thirteenth century, and extended from the Godāveri to Cape Comorin. During the latter half of this period the Pāndyans appear to have recovered much of their independence, and the affairs of the two states are greatly intermixed.

After the middle of the thirteenth century the Hoysala Ballāla dynasty under Soma or Vīra Somesvara and Vīra Narasimha exercised a considerable control over the Chola and Pāndya states, interfering, according to their own accounts, as the allies of the Cholas.⁵ The

¹ This is noticed by Wilson, *Mack. Cat.* vol. i. p. lxxii; Caldwell, *Hist. of Tinnevely*, p. 32; Burnell, *South Ind. Palæography*, 2nd ed. pp. 40, 45.

² See *ante*, p. 88.

³ Saptama, i.e. seventh Vishnuvardhana, the name being repeated so many times in the eastern, from Kubja Vishnuvardhana the founder, in like manner as that of Vikramāditya

was in the western Chalukya branch. He succeeded his brother as Viceroy of Vengi, who only held the office for one year.

⁴ Conf. Burnell's *Palæography*, p. 22. Also Dr. Burgess's list, see additional notes, p. 135.

⁵ Copper grant of Somesvara (Hoysala) Bangalore, A.D. 1250, and subsequent inscriptions. See *Mysore Inscriptions*, p. 322, and *post*, p. 134.

confusion of this period is intensified by the Muhammadan raids for the subjugation of Ma'bar, or the south, under the generals of 'Alá-ud-din, and after them of Muhammed bin Tughlak Sháh, which ended only with his death in A.D. 1350.

I must now endeavour to follow the progress of the Chola coinage during this period, as far as materials exist for the purpose. These have not yet been found of an earlier date than the tenth or eleventh century, as I had occasion to remark when adverting to the change of character which I have endeavoured to connect with the existence of relations between the mainland and Ceylon. The oldest specimens I have met with antecedent to that change are pieces of impure silver, showing the power of the Cholas to have been then paramount in Drávida, after their conquest of the Pándyan kingdom, related in the previous section. Gold coins of contemporary, and of still earlier date, must doubtless have existed, but none have yet, as far as I know, been met with. A solitary specimen once fell into my hands, but I hesitated whether to assign to it a Chola or a Pándyan origin. It was about the size of a sixpence, and might weigh between 50 and 60 grains. The obverse and reverse, which were exactly the same, exhibited a fish on one side to the proper right, and opposite it on the other a sitting tiger, with an implement like a sickle or elephant goad (?), over the head of each; behind the tiger, four characters like Grantha (?) which I could not read. This unique specimen has unfortunately been lost, but I have a faithful drawing of it. The oldest of the silver coins weighs 62 grains, and, like the above, the obverse and reverse are the same, displaying a tiger seated under an imperial umbrella, on each side of it a chowrie, in front of the tiger two fish, and behind it a bow, the emblems respectively of the Pándyans and the Chéras, implying that the paramount authority was now vested in the Cholas. Below these is a Nágari legend, which has been read as *Ráchó Kōnu Chola*,¹ a name I cannot identify with any of those in the lists, unless it be meant for Rajendra. But this can hardly be the case, for in two others communicated to me by General Pearse, and similar in all respects to it, the name on one is distinctly *S'ri Rajendra*,² that on the other is not so legible. Four other specimens of silver and one of copper have the same figures as the above on the obverse only, with the words *Uṭṭam Chola* in two lines on the

[¹ The majority of the Nágari letters in the adapted Chola Alphabet seem to follow the main outlines of what Prinsep called the "Kutila" alphabet of the Bareilly Inscription, though this is by no means the uniform result; but it is clearly seen in the consonants *क* *ख*, and in the *ज*,—acclimatised in Ceylon—as well as in the *त* forms of *श* *स*, and *ल*. The *र*, again, is nearer the Bengáli script of 1665 A.D., and creates a difficulty in its similarity to the *च* *छ*, and the possibility of the opening *रा* being taken for a *स*. A very curious point may be noticed in the discrimination of the short and long *e*'s, the latter of which, of ancient use, was superseded elsewhere by the Sanskrit sound of *ai*. So that all told the limited number of letters we have to account for on our coins, as applied to an unaccustomed language, do not yield such conclusive results as might be desirable.

The most satisfactory transcript of the legends I can make—from the repetitions on the obverse and reverse of the two coins before me—is the following:

राची कोणु चोलः

Ráchó Kōnu Cholah.—E.T.]

[² Mr. Thomas adds: There is a contrast in the tenour of Rajendra Chola's coin-superscriptions, which seems to me to remove them from the direct association above suggested by Sir Walter Elliot. The Nágari legend runs clearly—

श्री राजेन्द्रः

S'ri Rajendrah.

And it is the use of the quasi *स* for the necessary *रा*, in this instance, which determines the purport of the opening *Rá* in the previous example.)

reverse.¹ These words appear to refer to an epithet rather than a name, being generally found in combination qualifying another word, *e.g.* Kulottunga, Kulottama, Purushottama, etc., implying chief, or head, or ornament of a race or family. It may, however, have pertained to an individual of the Chola family, as the following instance shows. In a Tamil MS. in the Mackenzie Catalogue,² entitled the Nava Chola Charitra, the author, Panditarádhyā, gives accounts of nine Chola princes named—

Kerikala.	Varadherma.
Vikrama.	Satyendra.
Uttunga.	Manujendra.
Adivara.	Vira and Uttama.

and of their attachment to the Vira Saiva or Jangama religion. It is a sectarian work of no authority, as none of these princes, although staunch professors of the Saiva creed, ever conformed to the Jangama or Vira Saiva doctrines; but the list of names appears to include those of veritable Chola princes, and the last seems to agree with that on the coin. All the foregoing, however, must be anterior to the introduction of the Ceylon type, and consequently be older than the tenth or eleventh century. After this date the character of the currency is completely changed, and these archaic forms do not again appear, but give place to the universal adoption of the new type, and so plentiful is it that large numbers are still to be found throughout the south. Copper pieces, the more perfect specimens weighing from 50 to 60 grains, bearing the name of Rāja Rāja, are met with every day. They are brought in numbers to be melted up by the coppersmiths, and one find within my own knowledge in Tanjore yielded upwards of 4000. One gold piece, weighing 65 grains, found its way from the Southern Mahratta country into Mr. Gibbs' cabinet during the late famine; and I myself obtained half a dozen or more of silver weighing 66 or 67 grains. All these had the name of Śri Rāja Rāja under the left arm of the sitting figure on the reverse. Smaller copper pieces, half or quarter, of the ordinary type are not uncommon, and I have varieties of the small size displaying a well-formed galloping horseman on the obverse, and on the reverse the usual sitting figure and the name Rāja Rāja. Another variety has the figure of Kṛishṇa as Muralidhara = the flute-player, with a similar reverse, which shows a leaning to Vaishnava opinions. Gold fanams with the Ceylon type on the obverse, and an indistinct Nāgari reverse, are occasionally met with. I received from Tanjore two gold pieces, weighing about 8 grains, of later date, because they have the standing figure of the Ceylon type on the obverse. The reverse has three old Nāgari letters, which may be read *iraka?* or *daraka?* throwing no light on their origin. Mr. Rhys Davids has figured this form as No. 13 of his Ceylon coins. I was inclined, when I first obtained them, to assign them to the Cholas; as they are not infrequent in the island, their origin is but doubtful.

[¹ उट्टम चोलः
Uṭṭam Cholaḥ.]

² Vol. i. pp. xc and 305; Taylor's Or. MSS. vol. iii. p. 546

The Cholas likewise struck coins during their occupation of Rájamahendri or Vengi in the Chalukya territory. Gold fanams with the fish, sometimes one, sometimes two, others with the tiger, and others again with the boar, are found near Pittapúr, Waddáda, and other old places, after heavy rains. They weigh from 6 to 7 grains each. I have likewise two examples of a larger size, one gold and one silver, weighing each alike, viz. $7\frac{2}{10}$ grains, and the device on both precisely the same. The obverse has the central tiger, surmounted by the imperial umbrella, between the bow and fish, and the reverse a Nágari legend, very clear on the silver specimen, which I read as *Udaya Malla*.¹ I have also two copper pieces with a standing figure on the obverse, and a boar on the reverse, weighing fifty-two grains. All the above clearly refer to the Chola-Chalukya period, to which I may add the description of a coin in General Cunningham's cabinet, of which he sent me a drawing several years ago, representing on the obverse a boar under an umbrella, with the sun, moon, and four stars, and on the reverse the Ceylon sitting figure, with, as far as I can make them out, the letters Rájá Rája under the arm.

A large number of copper coins are found, weighing from fifty to fifty-two grains, which have the Ceylon standing figure on the obverse and a bull on the reverse, with various symbols. I am inclined, though with hesitation, to attribute them to the later Chola period. Some of these have the Nágari letter व in front of the animal, indicating, perhaps, the initial letter of the royal name (Vikrama?). Others have, instead of a letter, a lozenge or diamond-shaped figure, a sword, *śankha* shell (?), or crozier (?), etc.; but without more accurate information it is needless to speculate on the import of these signs. A similar form was afterwards assumed by the Zamindárs of Rámnád and Sívaganga, whose coins exhibit the standing figure on the obverse and an elegantly-depicted bull couchant, with the Tamil legend *Setu* on the reverse, indicating their title of Setupati.² Examples of it are not uncommon. I have several copper coins received from the southern districts, with the bull on the obverse, and a tripartite object on the reverse like a fleur-de-lis or the trisula of Śiva, nearly resembling which is a coin figured by Sir Arthur Phayre,³ with the note that it was struck in Arakan about the eighth century A.D. Sir Arthur gives fuller details of this type in his contribution to the I. N. O., eight varieties in silver of which are figured in Plate II., and shows that they pertain to a dynasty of Chandra princes ruling in Arakan. The intercourse which prevailed between the Coromandel coast and the opposite side of the Bay of Bengal

¹ Mr. Thomas, in describing another specimen, adds—[The edges of this piece (one of General Pearse's) are so reduced, and the forms of the letters so eccentric, that I do not think it would be advantageous to speculate on the context beyond the third letter of line one, which may be read as *dada* or *dada*?—E.T.]

General Pearse's cabinet contains specimens of the gold piece which his Pandit read *Yuddha Malla*, a version to which he adheres, but I have found no king of that name in the lists as far as yet made out, the only one being an early Chalukya prince, son of Tilapa, a usurper, who flourished before the Chola conquest of Vengi took place. See p. 87, *ante*.

² Prinsep long ago figured this coin in his plate (xxxv. fig. 13), and describes it at p. 423 (see *Essays*, vol. i.). The Setupatis of Rámnád were the chiefs of the Marawár tribe, the most important of the southern predatory classes, and the principal feudatories of the Pándyana. The office of Setupati or guardian of the approaches to Rameswaram, though claiming a high antiquity, appears to have been conferred or restored on the Zamindár by Mutta Kriahappa Náyak, the father of Tirumala Náyak of Madura, about A.D. 1600. Nelson's *Madura*, part ii. pp. 39-41; part iii. pp. 109, 110, 113.

³ *Hist. of Burma*, p. 47.

must have been at all times considerable. I have already adverted to the intimate relations between Drávida and Ceylon, where not only numbers settled as colonists, but many were subsidised both as mercenaries and seamen.¹ That similar relations existed between Kalinga and the northern Circars with Arakan and Burma appears from Sir Arthur's statement of coins and medals with Hindu symbols being found in Pegu,² and by the fact that the inhabitants of the opposite coast are distinguished by the name of *Klings* to this day. It is therefore by no means improbable that the Chandra dynasty, which flourished in Arakan from the eighth to the tenth century,³ may have owed its rise to Chola influence, then in its zenith. The appearance about the same period of an almost similar currency, distinguished by Saivite emblems, to which the Cholas were so persistently attached, lends great probability to such a surmise. The founders of the Chandra race are said to have been foreigners from some unknown locality, and the native annals point to disturbances in the country caused by Bráhmaṇ and Buddhist votaries struggling for the mastery during this very period.⁴

NOTE.—Since the foregoing was written, Dr. Burgess has published in the last number of the *Indian Antiquary* (vol. xiii. pp. 58-9), a list of Chola kings sent to him by the late Dr. Burnell, who, however, said he had no faith in it, "though some of the names were no doubt real ones."

1. Kulóttunga.	9. Kírttivardhana.
2. Déva-Chóla.	10. Jaya-Chóla.
3. Saśisékhara.	11. Kanaka-Chóla.
4. Sivalinga Chóla.	12. Sundara-Chóla.
5. Karikála-Chóla.	13. Kálakála-Chóla.
6. Bhíma-Chóla.	14. Kalyána-Chóla.
7. Rájarájendra.	15. Bhadra-Chóla.
8. Viramártanḍa.	

On this Dr. Burnell well remarks that it seems impossible to make this list correspond with the inscriptions, and the editor adds the following list (supplied perhaps by Mr. Fleet?), from inscriptions collected by me; my copies of which, as already stated, being all in India, I am unable to verify them, but as far as my recollection serves me, they do not accord with the results I then obtained:—

- Rájarája Chóla I. (circa s. 910), A.D. 988.
- Rájendra Chóla I. (circa s. 930), A.D. 1008.
- Rájarája II. or Naréndra Chóla (s. 944-985), A.D. 1022-1063.
- Rájarájendra II., s. 985-1034, A.D. 1063-1112, whose *abhisheka* was performed in s. 1000, A.D. 1078.
- Vikramadéva Kulóttunga Chóla, s. 1034-1049, A.D. 1112-1127.
- Rájarája Chóla III., s. 1049, A.D. 1127.
- Víradéva Kulottunga Chóla, s. 1054 to perhaps 1078, A.D. 1132-1156.

¹ Tennant's Ceylon, vol. i. p. 395.

² History of Burma, p. 31.

³ *Id.* p. 45.

⁴ *Id.* p. 31.

PART III. SECTION iii.

TRAVANCORE, COCHIN, AND KERALA.

The only remaining Dravidian state (if indeed it can be rightly included in Drávida proper), which has not been mentioned, is that of Travancore, or Vénádu as it was first called, and of it I have so slight a knowledge that I am able to say but little of its past and present currency. Shut off by its natural position from familiar intercourse with its neighbours, little is known of its history from the time that it became independent on the partition of Kérala, to the time that it was invaded by the Muhammadans. The first Muhammadan inroads took place in 1680 A.D. during the regency of Umayamma Ráni (1677-1684), but the invaders were expelled before her son attained his majority in the latter year. During the three following reigns disputes arose with the Dutch East India Company for frontier territory unwillingly ceded by the Rája, which were finally adjusted by the Treaty of Mávalikara in 1753.¹

Meantime Haidar 'Áli had been casting wistful eyes on the Malabar coast, but his attempts to add Kérala to his kingdom were frustrated by the English and Dutch East India Companies, the former by the Treaty signed at Madras in 1769, the latter by the refusal to allow the passage of Maisur troops through their territory.² In 1762 the then Rája gave effectual aid to the Rája of Cochin in repelling an invasion of the latter by the Zamorin, receiving in return certain frontier villages for the aid so rendered. After Haidar's death in 1782, his son Tipú made renewed efforts to seize on Travancore. Though successfully resisted at first, he would in all likelihood have effected his object had not the war with the English (1789-90) humbled the ambition of the Sultán, and the Treaty of Seringapatam (1792) assured the safety of the Rája's dominions.³ Since that time the Travancore state has continued in the full enjoyment of its independence.

Believing that the history and progress of a long-established Hindu mint would yield valuable data, I submitted a request to the government of Travancore for information on the subject. From the Dewán (Nánú Pillai), and more recently from His Highness the present enlightened Rája, who succeeded in 1880, I received courteous replies; but the hopes I had entertained were disappointed. The Mint, I was informed, had been destroyed by fire, and no early records of the coinage were in existence. I received from the Dewán, however, a list accompanied by fourteen specimens of the different coins of the state, to which the Rája himself

¹ Shanguni Menon's History of Travancore, p. 160.

² Wilks's Mysore, vol. iii. pp. 58-66, and pp. 243-244.

³ Wilks's Mysore, vol. ii. p. 124; vol. iii. p. 33.

very kindly added four old pieces, three of lead and one of iron. Failing to obtain from other sources information regarding the origin and changes of the currency, I must now therefore endeavour to describe them as far as the limited means at my disposal will allow.¹

According to the general belief of the people, the oldest coin known is the *rāsi* (No. 1 in the Dewān's list). They even go so far as to declare that it was struck by Parasu Rāma when he made over the rule of Kēraja to Bhanu Vikrama,² the first king! Judging from its appearance alone, it must have been subsequent to the four pieces presented to me by the Rāja, which probably go back to the earlier years of the monarchy, and would therefore date from a period anterior to the seventh or eighth century. The reverse of all four is smooth, and the obverse, which is much worn, exhibits an imperfect outline of what may have been a *śankha* shell, the ancient cognizance of the Travancore state, which it still retains. They, as well as the *rāsi*, have long given place to a more modern currency, the oldest form of which is the *kāli*, properly called the *kāli-yugen rājen fanam*, or money of the *kāli-yuga*, at one time current over the whole of Kēraja. Of this there are two varieties bearing a slight resemblance to the *rāsi*. One of these is said to have been issued by the Kolatnād, or Cherakal Rāja,³ which was afterwards imitated by the Zamorin, and called the *vira rājen putiya fanam*, or Zamorin's new coin, to distinguish it from that of Kolatnād, which then became the *palaya* or old fanam. Both these were accepted in the northern countries of Kēraja as the general medium of exchange, but were not a legal tender in Travancore.⁴

The present circulation consists of the silver *chakram*, in whole and half pieces, and the copper *cash* in four forms—single, double, quadruple, and the double of the last, or eight *cash*, equal to the half silver *chakram*. Report says that *chakrams* of gold⁵ had once been coined; but this, though probable, lacks confirmation. Besides these, the silver fanam is stated to have been issued about 1868–9, equal to four *chakrams*.⁶ This coin is generally known as the new *velli* (or silver) fanam to distinguish it from the old *velli* fanam, which, as appears from the records in the Calicut Kacheri (Cutcherry), was originally coined tentatively in Bombay in 1730 A.D. It was first issued of the value of $\frac{1}{2}$ of a rupee, as an experiment, when, finding that it was readily accepted by the people of Tellicherry and other towns, a new supply was ordered. These old *velli* fanams had generally the numeral 5 in English or Malayālim, on one side

¹ These consist of the native "Hist. of Travancore," by Shangun Menon (Madras, 1878), who held the office of Dewān Peshkar of Travancore; "The Land of the Permaula or Cochla," by Francis Day, F.L.S., Mad. Med. Est. (Madras, 1863); "The Land of Charity," by Rev. S. Mateer (London, 1871); and "Letters from Malabar," by Jacob Canter Vischer (Madras, 1862).

² History of Travancore, p. 24. See also the notice by Mr. Walhouse, headed *Rāsis of Parasurama*, in his Archaeological Notes, communicated to the Ind. Ant., in which he states that they are still found in large numbers in Coimbatore, both buried in the ground, and picked up after heavy rains in the approach to fords of the principal rivers. Tradition says that Parasurama sowed them broadcast over Kēraja, depositing what remained in the *kistavans* on the eastern slope of the hills,

some of which are called by his name to this day and held sacred by the hill Arriyans, or Malaiārāsars—mountain kings. He also mentions a stone circle, much dilapidated, which was known as the *rāsi hill of Parasurama*. Mr. Walhouse states that the natives call them *Shūnār kash*, which is an error, that term being confined to the *Venetian sequins*, sometimes called *vil kash* in Cochin (Ind. Ant. vol. iii. p. 191).

³ The *Côlatri* of the Portuguese. It was also called *Kôlatṭiri*, p. 63, ante.

⁴ Hist. of Trav. pp. 82–4. In 1793 the Zamorin is said to have made over his mint to the officers of the English East India Company, on condition of receiving half the net profits (Aitchison's Treaties, vol. v. p. 410).

⁵ Hist. of Travancore, p. 83.

⁶ Land of Charity, p. 110.

whereas the modern fanam has the word *puttu* in Tamil on it.¹ It is not known where the small silver pieces called *tárés* (p. 58 *ante*) were coined, but it must have been in North Malabar, probably Calicut. The word *táram* is found in Gundt. Dict. p. 445, meaning a small copper coin, which Buchanan states to be equal to half a *paisa*.²

Dr. Day has some pertinent observations on the early coinage of Malabar, in which he refers to the pieces of twisted silver wire, known as hook or fish money, termed *vidi*, as having been introduced by the Portuguese.³ Although used likewise by the Dutch, it does not appear to have ever obtained a general currency. Sir Bartle Frere told me he had some specimens, found in the Konkan,⁴ which were stamped with the name of the 'Adil-Sháhi princes of Bijapur, thus strengthening the suggestion made at p. 56 *ante* of the temporary adoption of the local currency by that dynasty. But it was not of indigenous origin, and probably owed its introduction to the mercantile ventures of the European and Arab traders. In some remarks of the late Dr. John Wilson on a find at Sangameswar in the Ratnagiri district, they are said to bear the Arabic name of *sáir*,⁵ meaning custom or excise duty, which goes far to establish that origin. They were also known as *lári*, from *Lár* south of the Persian Gulf, where they are said to have been invented. Travellers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries call them *larin*; they were long the currency of the Maldivé Islands, and though the coins there are now of the ordinary form, the name *lári* is still retained.

The following is a description of the 14 specimens received from the Dewán.

No. 1 *rási* (Gundt. Dict. p. 885) is a gold coin weighing grains $5\frac{3}{8}$, with an obliterated form on the obverse, which may be a degraded representation of the *śankha*? The reverse is exactly the same as that of the fanam, so common in the Carnatic under the names of *vir-ráya*, *rati val*, or plough fanam. Though seldom seen in circulation, it is still the denomination used in Northern Malabar for recording the value of lands and the ancient revenue assessed on them; but for all ordinary transactions, it has long been superseded by the *káli* fanam, five of which equal 1 *rási*.

Nos. 2 and 3. The *anandarámen* and its half the *chinna* fanam are gold coins of comparatively recent introduction, weighing respectively grains $5\frac{7}{8}$ and $2\frac{3}{8}$. The obverse has what may be a degraded figure of the *śankha* shell, but it is difficult to assign any definite form to the dots and lines on the reverse. The whole coin indeed baffles my powers of description. They appear to have been coined under the direction of the Dewán in the reign of King Ráma Rája (1758-1798), when the finances of the country, which had been somewhat embarrassed, were re-established by the levy of an additional land-tax and the reform of the coinage.⁶ It was only retained for a limited period, and has since been discontinued by Dewán Venkata Ráo.

¹ Private letter from Dr. Gandert.

² Buch. Journ. vol. ii. p. 540. It may be worth noting that *tari* is the name of a coin used, at least in calculation, in the Two Sicilies till 1860. Its value was about half a franc; and the name is generally regarded as a Saracenic survival of *dirhem*. Was the Malabar *táré* and *táram* the same in origin?

³ Land of the Permauls, p. 574.

⁴ They were lost with part of his baggage in the Húgli.

⁵ Proc. of Bom. As. Soc. in Journ. vol. iii. p. 138.

⁶ Hist. of Trav. p. 250-1.

No. 4 *kāli fanam* (Gundt. Dict. p. 219). It is of gold, weighing grains $5\frac{1}{16}$. The device resembles Nos. 2 and 3, but is equally unintelligible. A crescent-like form on all the *anandarāmen* fanams I have seen is absent from this single specimen of the *kāli* now before me.

Nos. 5 and 6 need no description. They are gold and quite modern, having been struck by the last two Rājas in imitation of the British coinage with the letters R.V., and the date (on this one) 1877 in English.¹ They weigh grains $78\frac{1}{16}$ and $39\frac{3}{16}$.

No. 7 *velli fanam*, silver, weighing grains $22\frac{3}{16}$ (Dict. p. 602). It resembles in some respects the *kāli fanam*, but has a floral wreath round the edge on the obverse, and on the reverse, whatever it may be, a double branch facing right and left, the whole within what appears to be a Tamil legend, probably referring to the value of the coin. It has superseded the former gold (*pon*) fanam, of which there were two kinds—the *palaya* or old fanam, of which 4, $3\frac{1}{2}$, and the *puṭiya* (or new), of which $3\frac{1}{2}$ went to the rupee.

No. 8 double *chakram* (Dict. p. 340), a silver coin weighing grains $11\frac{1}{16}$. On the obverse a *ṣankha* shell, and on the reverse two equilateral triangles interlaced, forming a six-pointed diagram (commonly known as Solomon's seal), surrounded by a Malayālim legend. In another specimen the shell has some resemblance to the *murex* on No. 14.

No. 9 *chāḍkram*, a small silver coin, which has been compared in size to the split half of a pea. It weighs grains $5\frac{7}{16}$. The device on the obverse resembles that on the *kāli fanam*; the reverse a few dots and lines, fancifully compared by the natives to the legs and toes of the national deity Padmanābha.²

No. 10 *chinna chakram*. Small silver coin weighing grains $2\frac{3}{16}$. It resembles the double *chakram* in all respects.³

No. 11 *kāsu*, vulgarly *cash*. The smallest copper coin in use, weighing grains $9\frac{1}{16}$. On the obverse the standing figure of a god, said to be Kṛishṇa, and on the reverse the hexagonal diagram.⁴

No. 12 double *kāsu*, same as above in all respects, with the Malayālim numeral 2 below the standing figure. It weighs 19 grains.

No. 13, 4-*cash tuṭṭu*, resembles the last two, with the Malayālim numeral 4 under the standing figure, and the addition of a floral sprig under each arm. It weighs grains $39\frac{7}{16}$.

No. 14, 8-*cash tuṭṭu* (Dict. p. 466). On the obverse a different form of the *ṣankha* shell with spines like the *murex*? and the Malayālim legend *ara* (half) *chakram* round it. On the reverse the same diagram within a circle. It weighs grains $80\frac{3}{16}$.

¹ I learn that the present Rāja has struck pieces like the English sovereign, with his own head on the obverse, but they do not seem to have been put into circulation.

² Land of Charity, p. 119.

³ The unknown silver coin which I received with the *tāris* (*supra*, p. 58) I now find to be a half *chakram*.

⁴ Land of Charity, p. 109.

LIST OF THE TRAVANCORE SOVEREIGNS.¹

	Began to reign A.D.
1. Śrī Vīra Rāma Martanda Varmā Rāja	1335—6
2. Eravi Varmā Rāja	1375—6
3. Kēraḷa Kulasek'hara Perumāl	1382—3
4. Chēra Udaya Martanda Varmā Kulasek'hara Perumāl Rāja ...	1382—3
5. Vēnād Muttu Rāja	1444—5
6. Śrī Vīra Martanda Varmā Rāja	1458—9
7. Aditya Varmā Rāja	1471—2
8. Eravi Varmā Rāja	1478—9
9. Śrī Martanda Varmā Rāja	1503—4
10. Śrī Vīra Eravi Varmā Rāja... ..	1504—5
11. Martanda Varmā Rāja	1528—9
12. Udaya Martanda Varmā Rāja	1537—8
13. Kēraḷa Varmā Rāja	1560—1
14. Aditya Varmā Rāja	1563—4
15. Udaya Martanda Varmā Rāja	1567—8
16. Śrī Vīra Eravi Varmā Kulasek'hara Perumāl Rāja	1594—5
17. Śrī Vīra Varmā Rāja	1604—5
18. Eravi Varmā Rāja	1606—7
19. Unī Kēraḷa Varmā Rāja	1619—20
20. Eravi Varmā Rāja	1625—6
21. Unī Kēraḷa Varmā Rāja	1631—2
22. Aditya Varmā Rāja	1661—2
23. Umayamma Rānī (Regent)	1677—8
24. Eravi Varmā Rāja	1684—5
25. Unī Kēraḷa Varmā Rāja	1718—19
26. Rāma Varmā Rāja	1724—5
27. Vanji Martanda Varmā Kulasek'hara Perumāl Rāja	1729—30
28. Vanji Bala Rāma Varmā Kulasek'hara Perumāl Rāja	1758—9
29. Bala Rāma Varmā Kulasek'hara Perumāl Rāja	1798—9
30. Rānī Gaurī Lakshmi Bāi	1811—12
31. Rānī Gaurī Parvati Bāi	1815—16
32. Vanji Bala Rāma Varmā Kulasek'hara Perumāl Rāja	1829—30
33. Vanji Martanda Varmā	1847—60
34. Rāma Varmā	1860—80
35. Rāma Varmā, the present Rāja	1880

¹ Trevandram Calendar for 1858.

COCHIN.

Nearly connected with Travancore is the principality of Cochin. Like the former State it dates its independence from the time that Kérala threw off the yoke of the Chéras, but was inferior to it in size and importance, having only a population of 400,000, while that of Travancore exceeds 1,500,000. Although it has been stated by the Portuguese on their first arrival in 1498 A.D., that none of the native princes, except the Zamorin, were allowed to coin money,¹ this is open to question; for, in the *Viaggio di Vincenzo Maria*,² it is said that when he visited the coast in 1657 A.D., the privilege of coining was confined to four states, viz. Cannanore, Calicut, Cochin, and Travancore. This appears to be more correct, as these places exactly correspond with our information of the four independent states or *sucarupas* into which Kérala was divided.³

The present Dewán, in reply to my request for information on the subject, states, that owing to the small extent of their territory, they never had a regular mint, resorting to temporary establishments when coin was required. During the Dutch occupancy they had recourse to the Factory mint on several occasions,⁴ as 1782-3, 1790-1 A.D., when a large quantity of the coins called *puttans* were struck. After these dates no mint operations appear to have taken place till 1820-1, when, for the first time, 9,62,673 double *puttans* were coined by means of the servants of the State. Between the years 1032 and 1034 M.E.=A.D. 1856 and 1858, 2,08,313 double *puttans*, and 2,05,532 single *puttans* were coined. From this it appears that the authorized currency of Cochin consists entirely of silver *puttans*, which, as the name (=new) denotes, are of no great antiquity. The single *puttan* weighs from 5(?) to 8 grains, the double 16 grains. Specimens of all these have been kindly furnished to me by the Dewán, of which the following is a description. First, the *káliyamáni* or *ṣankhalāta* (=without *ṣankha*) *puttan*, silver, the oldest form, now rare, so called because the distinctive device of the state, the *ṣankha* shell, is wanting on them. The date of coinage is unknown. Weight $4\frac{5}{16}$ grains. The obverse has been imperfectly struck, a few dots and lines; reverse, part of the device as found on the gold *rāsi*, *rāti val* or plough fanams. Second, the *puttans* coined at the Dutch mint, also silver, show that different dies have been used, but all have the *ṣankha* shell on the obverse, and on the reverse the same device above mentioned, which here may be compared to a horizontal letter J, with two or three rows of dots above it. The heaviest of two weighs grains $5\frac{2}{8}$. Third, the double silver *puttans* coined by the late Rāja

¹ Land of the Permauls, p. 574.² Folio. Rome, 1670.³ *Ibid* *supra*, pp. 62, 63.⁴ Gundt. Dict. p. 675; Wils. Glossary, p. 430.

about 1820-1, weigh 16 grains. The device on both sides is the same as that on the single *puttan*. The latest issue of single and double *puttans* took place in 1856 and 1858. The heaviest of each kind found weigh respectively $8\frac{1}{16}$ and $16\frac{1}{16}$. They have on the obverse the *śankha* shell, and the curious device on the reverse is replaced by the sitting figure of the god Śiva according to Dr. Day,¹ as worshipped in the Rāja's temple at Tripunathorai, with snakes on either side, a chaplet of skulls, etc., etc., as usually depicted. Others, however, state it to represent Vishṇu, and the reverse the *śankha* shell. This would be more appropriate to the Vaishnava symbol of the reverse, but the forms issuing from each elbow of the god appear very distinctly to be serpents, a symbol pertaining characteristically to Śiva and not to Vishṇu. There appears to be some confusion between the two.²

The copper money now current in Cochin consists entirely of Dutch pieces, most of which exhibit dates from 1731 to 1792 A.D.³

Canter Visscher (p. 82) describes a base coin struck at Cochin which he calls *Boeserokken*,⁴ an alloy of lead and tin, with the arms of the Dutch East India Company on one side, and something like a harp on the other.⁵ They are cast in a mould, and sixty of them are equal to a Cochin fanam. Some further remarks on the fanams will be found in the concluding section.

LIST OF THE COCHIN RULERS AS FAR AS KNOWN.

	End of reign.		End of reign.
Vīra Kēraja I.	1549	Rāma Varmā I.	1746
_____	1565	_____	1750
_____		_____	1760
_____		_____	1775
_____	1565	_____	1790
_____	1601	Virolam Tambūrān	1798
Vīra Kēraja II.	1615	Rāma Varmā II.	1805
Rāvi Varmā I.	1624	Rāma Varmā III.	1809
_____	1637	Vīra Kēraja III.	1828
_____	1645	Rāma Varmā IV.	1837
_____	1646	Rāma Varmā V.	1842
Vīra Arya	1665	Rāma Varmā VI.	1851
_____	1697	Vīra Kēraja IV.	1853
_____	1697	Ravi Varmā II.	1864
_____	1722	Rāma Varmā VII. ascended the throne in 1864.	
_____	1742		

¹ Land of the Permaula, p. 577.

² Dr. Gundert observes in a private letter, "I think in the whole of Malabar attributes of Śiva and Vishṇu are not very carefully kept distinct." I have already adverted to a similar discrepancy with regard to some of the Durgi pagodas found in the valley of the Kriśṇa.

³ Land of the Permaula, p. 575.

⁴ The last part of this word is probably *rāka*, Hindi, a small piece of money. But the etymology is very obscure. The name (*basaruko*, etc.) is found from the beginning of Portuguese coinage in India; and it was also a denomination of the earliest English coinage at Bombay.

⁵ See a full account of this and the earlier coinage in his Letters, p. 82.

SECTION IV.

CONCLUDING REMARKS.

The great number and diversity of coins now found in all parts of the country have been accounted for by the right of striking money assumed by so many provincial, and even by village authorities in later times. I have several small groups in my collection, to which I am unable to assign either authors or localities. An exception, however, must be made with reference to the remark at the end of sub-section 9, Part II. (page 99), regarding the assumption of the royal style and titles on the decay of the Vijayanagar empire along its eastern and southern frontier. Three principal types are conspicuous. One of these has the standing figure of Vishnu, with or without his two wives, on the obverse, and a granulated reverse, and prevails chiefly along the east coast southwards. Another, having the seated figure of Durga, with, as before stated, the Vijayanagar reverse of Śrī Pratāpa Kṛishṇa, much affected by the Zamíndárs of the Ceded Districts (Kadapa and Bellary), and those of the neighbouring Carnatic provinces along the valleys of the Tungabhadra and Kṛishṇa. Thirdly, the effigies of Śiva and Parvatī seated, in Ikkeri, Bednur, and the north-west parts of Maisur, which has been already noticed in treating of that province. But they were not confined to one locality. Sir Thomas Munro states that in the Ceded Districts alone "the currency consisted of thirty-two different kinds of pagodas, and fifteen of rupees, issued by Nawábs, Rájás, and Polygars," giving rise to endless fluctuations of value in exchange, so that the saráfs or money-changers reaped a rich harvest, realizing sometimes as much as 12 per cent. on a single transaction.¹ It would answer no useful purpose to enter into a minute description of these, as they have no historical value, and are gradually disappearing. A few only survive as objects of curiosity.

i. The type of the first class appears to have been derived from the favour in which the Vaishnava tenets were held by the later Vijayanagar princes of Chandragiri, the chiefs of Venkatagiri, and at the sacred shrine of Tripati. It thus came to be adopted by the European factories and by the Nawábs of the Carnatic. Conspicuous examples of these are found in the *Star pagoda* of the English East India Company at Madras, and in the *Porto Novo pagoda*, believed to have been first coined by the Portuguese at Porto Novo or Feringhipet, and at

¹ Letter from the Collector to the Board of Revenue, 25th January, 1806.

Arcot under the Nivayat Nawábs of the Carnatic.¹ The *húns* of Sa'adut Ulla Khán, of this type, who succeeded Nawáb Dáwad Khán, and died in 1731, are recognized by the Persian letters الله (*illah*) on the granulated reverse, which is replaced on those of his relative Safdar 'Ali Khán by the letter ع (*ain*). On his murder in 1741, the office of Nawáb was conferred by the Nizám on another family, that of Anwar-ud-dín Khán, but his son Muhammad 'Ali, received his investiture direct from Delhi, with the high-sounding title of Walajáh Nawáb-ul-Hind in 1766. In 1858 I received some information regarding his coinage with extracts of the mint accounts from the Dewán of the late Nawáb. By these it appears Walajáh struck coins at other places besides Arcot, viz. Porto-Novo, Trichinopoli and Tiruvamur, and among the coins named are the *Walajáhi*, *Kuruki*, 'Umdat-ul-Múlki, *Star* and *Feringhipet*. Some of these I have not seen. The *Kuruki* is not uncommon. It has the three standing figures strongly marked, and a plain granulated reverse. It and the *Star*, so called from the star impressed on the granulated reverse, were probably coined at Tiruvamur, beyond the precincts of the English Factory, at which place the mint was said to be still standing in 1858.² According to the Imperial Gazetteer³ the Madras mint was built within the walls of Fort St. George in 1723. But the Factory must have exercised the right of coining at a much earlier period, for the Madras Public Records state that consignments of bullion despatched to Fort St. George on the appointment of Sir George Winter as governor in 1661, were coined

¹ In all these the figures are erect, but there is a smaller coin in which the figures are represented as seated, with an obscure Nágari reverse, probably belonging to the last princes of Vijayanagar, as may also the pretty little coin known as the *Lakshmi mada*, in which the goddess is also seated. A friend at Madras was so fortunate as to purchase a sapphire ring on which the three seated deities had been beautifully and deeply cut as a seal, perhaps the signet of a Vijayanagar prince or noble. It is now in the possession of Mr. Franks of the British Museum.

² The Dewán states that from the mint records of Hijri 1207 = A.D. 1792, Walajáh is shown to have coined.

<i>Arcot rupees</i>	55,772
<i>Pondicherry rupees</i>	66,772
Total	1,22,544

The accounts of Hijri 1198 = A.D. 1783 show that the annual coinage at the Porto Novo mint was 300,000 pagodas, on which the profit to the state (Sircar), including mint charges, fees (*rusúms*), at the rate of 10½ *Feringhipet pagodas* per thousand, was 3,150 pagodas.

The mint records of Hijri 1186 = A.D. 1772 show that the gold coinage was—

<i>Walajáhi pagodas</i>	1,370½
<i>Kuruki do.</i>	22,654½
'Umdat-ul-Múlki do.	996½
<i>Star do.</i>	3,81,062½
<i>Feringhipet do.</i>	15,098½
Total	4,21,171½

The silver coinage for the same year was—

<i>Arcot rupees</i>	7,230 12 9
----------------------------	------------

<i>Tiruvamur do.</i>	2 3 0
<i>Old Pondicherry do.</i>	10 6
Total	7,233 10 3

The mint records of Hijri 1216 = A.D. 1801 show that under 'Umdat-ul-Umara there was coined in gold—

<i>Kuruki pagodas</i>	17,200½
<i>Star do.</i>	1,21,287½
<i>Feringhipet do.</i>	16,970
Total	1,55,458½

In silver—

<i>Arcot rupees</i>	803 0½
<i>Tiruvamur do.</i>	745 2½
Total	1,548 2½

The Dewán adds that the rupees struck at Tiruvamur did not bear the name of that mint, but that of Arcot, and that the heathen mint officers, to distinguish them, added to the die a mark like that on their foreheads, probably the triple *padma* of the Sri Vaishnava sect. On the establishment of the English mint at Madras in the time of Azim-ud-Daula, the same mark retained its place in the die first used; but when this was superseded by the new die, a flower mark was substituted. A person I sent to examine the dies in the Nawáb's palace at Chepak found two, one having the figure of Hanumán, the other three standing figures and the word *Wala* which was always used by Walajáh on the granulated reverse. I cannot learn that coins were ever struck with them, but their existence showed that some such design had been in contemplation.

³ Vol. vi. p. 165.

into pagodas in the Fort mint, of what denomination, however, is not stated.¹ Also that in 1688 a proclamation was issued forbidding the introduction into the Factory of a counterfeit pagoda, fabricated at the Dutch mint of Pulicat, "of the same stamp, but not three-quarters the value of ours, which has raised great doubts and scandals upon our coin, . . . to the great prejudice and discredit of our pagodas and the loss of our mint custom."² Still later, at the siege of Fort St. George in 1702, among other conditions of surrender, Nawáb Dáwad Khán demands the surrender of the Mint.³

The preceding remarks appear to refer to the gold coinage, but in 1725 the attention of the Factory was attracted to the coinage of the rupee. It appears that the profits gained at the Madras mint on the coinage of silver had encouraged the issue at the native mints at Arcot, St. Thomé, and Covelong of rupees inferior in standard, but of the same nominal value, so as to divert the flow of bullion from the Company's mint to their own. This led to stringent regulations prohibiting the export of bullion from the Factory, and to a reduction of the mint charges.⁴ In 1730 the Factory pagoda was found to have become much depreciated, and it was resolved "that a new pagoda be coined, of equal weight and fineness with the *Negapatam pagoda*, with the same stamp, only distinguished with the letter 'M' on each side the image."⁵ From these extracts compared with the information of the Dewán, it appears that these Vaishnava *húns* were struck at the same time by the English, the Portuguese, and perhaps the Dutch, as well as by the Nawábs of Arcot, and though bearing the same name, were not confined to the same mints. Thus the *Star*, *Kuruki* and *Porto Novo* were struck equally at the Factory and the Nawáb's mints, the latter being situate, according to the Factory records, at Arcot, St. Thomé, and Covelong, but according to the Dewán at Arcot, Tiruvamur, and Porto Novo. By St. Thomé and Covelong are probably meant the obscure village of Tiruvamur. The *Porto Novo húns* I apprehend to have been first produced by the Europeans at that place, whence it came to be also designated as Feringhipet. When the influence of the Portuguese on the Coromandel coast was circumscribed by the Dutch and the Muham-madans, the mint appears to have passed into the hands of the Nawáb, who continued to issue *húns* under the name of *Porto Novo*, *Feringhipet*, *Negapatam* (where had also been a Portuguese Factory), and afterwards of *Scot pagodas*. Buchanan found in 1800 that at Pálghát "the accounts were kept in *Feringy* or *Porto Novo pagodas* or *rarahuns*; *pudameni*⁶ commonly called *vir ráya fanams* and *cash*," and that there was a profit in bringing *Porto Novo pagodas* from Dhárapuram in Coimbatore to Pálghát, and carrying back *vir ráya fanams*.⁷

ii. The *Durgá pagodas* constituted the bulk of those current in the Ceded Districts at the time they were brought under British administration as mentioned above. They are known by the names of *Durgá*, *Gurramkonda*, *Harpanhalli* old and new, *Chitaldurgi*, *Dhárucári*, *Sracanore*, etc., etc. They were all much alike, presenting only slight differences recognizable by the

¹ Wheeler, Madras in the Olden Time, vol. i. p. 32.

² *Ib.* p. 208.

³ *Ib.* vol. ii. pp. 387-8.

⁴ *Ib.* vol. iii. p. 92.

⁵ *Ib.* p. 393.

⁶ *pudameni*, signifying new coinage, so called in contradistinction to the *palaya* and *kalaya mani*, or ancient coinage. (See Gundt, Dict. p. 859.)

⁷ Buch. Journ. vol. ii. pp. 353-4.

money-changers, which enabled them to assign them to the localities where they were said to have been struck. I have got thirty specimens, which I do not consider worth while to distinguish by attempting to describe them separately. All have the Nágari reverse except one, which is granulated. Several have Vaishnava emblems of the *śankha* and *chakra*.

iii. The third class having the figure of Śiva and Parvati, sometimes called *Uma Mahesvara pagodas*, were, as has been stated, coined at Ikkeri and afterwards at Bednur. They were current chiefly in Maisur, under which head, at p. 105, they have already been mentioned. I do not therefore, purpose to notice them further, but will leave them to be dealt with by local numismatists, who will have opportunity for determining their origin on the spot. Descriptions of them would form suitable adjuncts to the volumes of the districts to which they belong in the series of Manuals in preparation by the orders of the Indian Government.

I wish before closing this paper to add a few words on the many varieties of gold fanams. Though no longer current, they are still found in considerable numbers, many of them having curious devices, without legends, which are difficult to explain, and afford little clue to the discovery of their origin.

These small gold pieces, representing the tenth part of the *kañjanu*, weigh from 5 to 6 grains, and might be taken for the unit of the system,¹ as indeed they are in the case of the *Kaṇṭhirāya fanams*, which were in use long before a metallic *Kaṇṭhirāya pagoda* was struck. They appear to have been much esteemed by the people in their small dealings, and are found in every part of the country. Those connected with the principal dynasties already discussed generally exhibit the same devices as the *hūns* and *pagodas*, of which they are the multiples. Such are those of the Kalachuris, the elephants of the Koṅgas, the Chōlas, the boar, fish, and fish and tiger fanams of the Chola-Chalukyas, the lion or *sinha* from Kadapa and Bellary, some with the lion looking back, the device of the Kadambas, others are not so distinguished. Others with a *nāga* serpent called *subramanya*, or, according to Marsden, *suberau*;² the *Sri Vaishnava* three-pronged sectarian mark, probably struck at Venkatagiri or Tripati; the *Getti* fanam with the figure of a dagger identified with the Mahrattas of Tanjore; the bull, which may be related either to Kondavid, Worāṅgal, or perhaps to the later Chōlas;³ the seated *Lakshmi fanam* connected with the *Lakshmi māda* or half *pagoda*, etc., etc. Besides these there are great numbers which have no resemblance to any other, the devices on which are unintelligible. A very common type is that which passes among the sarāfs as the *rīr rāya*, *rati cal*, single or double plough, etc., fanams, and deserves special notice. In the latter part of the section on Travancore and Kēraḷa coins I have assumed, on grounds therein stated, that they derive their origin from the rulers of the small principalities on the Malabar coast

¹ See p. 47, ante.

² Num. Or. vol. ii. 747. *Subramanya* was the son of Śiva to whom the serpent was sacred. These, according to Colonel Hawkes, were coined by the Polygar of Kudikonda in Bellary in three forms, recumbent, erect with hood expanded, and also with three heads, when it is called *surayoda* (Madras Exhib. Cat.

1856). I have specimens of the two former, but not of the last.

³ Since the above was in type General Pearse has shown me two gold fanams, weighing respectively grains $6\frac{1}{5}$ and $6\frac{2}{5}$. He calls them Western Chalukyas, which I consider doubtful. The obverse is a front standing four-armed figure Viṣṇu (?), the reverse the same in profile before a lamp.

who first rose to éminence on the partition of Kérala, amongst whom the Zamorin was conspicuous. In this view I am supported by Buchanan, who states that they were struck at Calicut,¹ the capital of that prince, a more correct explanation than that of Marsden, who attributes them to the Rája of Kodagu or Kurg (Coorg).² Accepting this assignment of the origin of the gold *cir ráya* fanams, a greater difficulty is found in accounting for their general distribution over all parts of Southern India, often in spots far distant from the place of their origin. But here, too, the careful observation and exact record of Buchanan comes to our aid. He says, when dealing with the money of Pálghát and Cherakal *taluks* of Malabar, that "the exchange of the *pagoda* for the *pudameni* or *cir ráya* fanams is very variable, fluctuating between $11\frac{1}{4}$ and $11\frac{3}{4}$ per cent.," so that a profit of from 11 to 12 per cent. is gained by bringing pagodas from the Carnatic into Malabar and carrying back *cir ráya* fanams.³ The number of the latter still found in the eastern districts is thus explained. But it is by no means improbable that their prevalence in the eastern districts may have led to their being imitated there to facilitate local currency, which would account for the variations observed among them. Without seeking for them, but on the contrary refusing to purchase, I find that my cabinet contains between sixty and seventy, insensibly accumulated during a course of years by my collectors, or sent by persons who knew I was inquiring for coins. They weigh generally from 5 to 6 grains.⁴ The obverse sometimes presents an indistinct curved line or lines, which may possibly be the remnant of an effaced *shankha*, or a sort of oblong or elongated mark, which I take to be the remains of a degraded standing figure, and the reverse a device which has defied, and still continues to defy, all attempts to give it an intelligible meaning. That it pertains especially to Malabar may be inferred from its appearance on the *rási*, the oldest coin there extant, as well as repeated on many of the later pieces. It exhibits a transverse bar, sometimes with the end turned up like the letter *J*, or simply elongated something like a crocodile or saurian; at other times with one or two dependent lines, which have given rise to the money-changer's name of single or double plough fanams. Above this is always a number of dots arranged in two or three lines over each other. Marsden has compared this symbol to a *jinjál* or wall piece of ordnance with its pile of shot.⁵

These refer only to the gold fanams, but Marsden describes a silver *cir ráya* or *Mangalore* fanam, weighing $5\frac{3}{4}$ grains,⁶ having the same symbols and devices as the gold *cir ráya*. I have not met with it, but have little doubt that the *tárés* mentioned at p. 57, and weighing from 1 to 2 grains, are just the fractional parts of that piece. From the greater distinctness of the symbols on these, especially that of the standing figure on the obverse, an inspection of the full-sized silver fanam may throw further light on the device of the gold *cir ráya*.

¹ Buch. Journ. vol. ii. p. 310.

² Num. Or. vol. ii. p. 744.

³ Buch. Journ. vol. ii. p. 353-4.

⁴ 10 *cir ráya* fanams weighed $56\frac{1}{2}$
Heaviest $6\frac{1}{2}$

Lightest	$3\frac{1}{2}$
10 others	$59\frac{1}{2}$
Heaviest	$6\frac{1}{2}$
Lightest	$5\frac{1}{2}$

⁵ Num. Or. vol. ii. p. 744.

⁶ *Ib. ib.*

It might have been thought that the small size of the fanam would have rendered its general use inconvenient; but so far from this being the case, they were still further minimised, as appears from minute pieces, of which I have a considerable number, weighing from 1 to $2\frac{1}{2}$ grains. These may be taken to represent half and quarter fanams in the same manner as the *tárés* have been taken to represent fractional parts of the silver *vir ráya*. Some of them are distinguished for their great purity, from which circumstance they are called *Aparanji* fanams. They are found on old sites after heavy rains, and were brought to me on several occasions from Dráksharam, Waddáda, Kalingapatam, etc., in the Rájamahendri district.

The trouble of reckoning and carrying about such small pieces might have been thought to prove adverse to their popularity, but the modern practice of Travancore shows that this is not found to be an obstacle in transacting business. Of the two coins in popular daily use there, viz. the silver *chakram* and the *cash*, the former being "small and globose is exceedingly difficult to count or handle, so much so that it slips out of the fingers and runs over the floor, and is only discovered again with difficulty. £100 sterling amounts to 28,500 *chakrams*, weighing 24 pounds avoirdupois, and hours would be wasted in reckoning this number of small coins. They are therefore measured or counted by means of a '*chakram* board,' a small square wooden plate with a given number of holes the exact size and depth of a *chakram*. . . . A small handful of coins is thrown on the board, which is then shaken gently from side to side so as to cause a single *chakram* to fall into each cavity, and the surplus, if any, is swept off with the hand. A glance at the board, when filled, shows that it contains the exact number of coins for which it is intended. The rapid manipulation of this simple but ingenious implement requires some practice, but the Government clerks and native merchants are exceedingly expert and exact in its performance."¹ Although the use of this expedient does not appear to have extended beyond Malabar, its employment in that district to the present day exemplifies the partiality of the natives for such small pieces of money.

¹ Land of Charity, p. 111, where a figure of the *chakram* board will be found.

APPENDIX.

RECENT DISCOVERIES OF ANCIENT RĀSHTRAKUṬA COINS (p. 77).

I have been informed by Mr. James Campbell, since the foregoing was printed, that besides the coins found at Nasik in 1872, three other hoards have been discovered, namely, at Karād in Sātārā; in 1882, at the village of Malgaon near the Kanduti caves, four miles east of Andheri station in Salsette; and in Bombay Island in excavating a drain in Cavel Street, Kalbadevi Road. All these were silver, similar to those above described in Nasik, weighing about 33 grains, having the head of the king on the obverse, and a bull couchant on the reverse, with the legend, as read by Professor Bhagwanlāl, "Parama Maheśvara Mátapitripadanudhyata Sri Krishnarāja," meaning, "The illustrious Krishnarāja, the great lord, meditating on the feet of his mother and father." General Cunningham's ascription of these coins to Krishna Rāja Rāshtrakūṭa is thus confirmed. It is probable, as has been surmised, that he was father of that Indra who was overcome by Jayasinha Chalukya on his first invasion of the Dakhan, and that his dominions extended over the western half of Kuntala and the Konkan, forming the ancient kingdom of the Rāshtrakūṭa or Raṭṭa Kuta *kula* race. On this assumption his date may be placed in the latter half of the fourth century, or from 360 to 400 A.D., and this explains why his coins have no relation to the South Indian monetary system, either as regards their value or execution, then in a very rude and primitive condition, but were formed on the elegant Greco-Parthian model which at that time characterized the circulation of his western neighbours in Gujarāt.

CORRECTION OF EASTERN CHALUKYA GENEALOGY (p. 87).

Since the above was printed I have found a memorandum, and copy of a translation of the Chélûr copper-plates, made for Colonel McKenzie, which enable me to clear up, in some degree, the confusion found in the latter part of the genealogy of this family as given in the text. The succession, as therein stated, agrees as far as Amma Râja I. and his immediate successors. I therefore transcribe the remainder of the dynasty, from that prince, according to the translation now found. Amma Râja reigned seven years, when he was expelled by a usurper named Tadapa (other accounts say that it was the son of Amma, who was of tender years), but he only maintained himself in power one month, when he was driven out by Vikramaditya, the son of Chalukya Bhima, who only reigned for eleven months. He was dethroned by Yuddha Malla, the son of Tadapa, who reigned seven years, and he in turn was conquered by Râja Bhima, the brother of Amma, who reigned for sixteen years. His son, Amma Râja II., succeeded him, and reigned for twenty-five years, and after him his step(*sic*)-brother, Dana Nripa, ruled for three years. After his death there was an interregnum of twenty-seven years. Saktivarmâ, the son of Dana Nripa or Danârâva, restored the monarchy and reigned for twelve years. He was succeeded by his son, Vimaladitya, who ruled seven years, and he by his son, Râja Râja Narendra, who ruled for forty years. His queen is stated to have been a princess of solar race, the daughter of a Chola named Rajendra, and their son was Rajendra Chola, "who became the head of Râjas, and ruled over Vengi, Kérala, Pândya Kuntala, and likewise occupied the throne of the Chola Râj, where he resided." He married a princess of solar race, named Madhurantari, the daughter of Râja Rajendra Déva, by whom he had several sons. One of them, named Râja Râja, was deputed by his father to be Viceroy of Vengi, vacant on the death of his father's uncle, Vijayaditya, who had administered it for fifteen years. In this post he only remained for one year, when, disliking the duty, he returned to his parents at the Chola capital, and his brother, Vira Chola, was sent in his stead.

Notwithstanding the further light thrown by this inscription upon the Eastern Chalukya succession, it is still involved in considerable doubt. That Rajendra Chola was the next illustrious prince of the Chola line, and ruled over the greater part of Southern India from 1064-1113 A.D., seems to be certain. By a rough calculation of the number of years assigned to each of the Vengi princes in the *insanum*, the period from Kubja Vishṇuvardhana, or 605 A.D., would bring the close of Râja Râja Narendra's reign to 1058 or 1060 A.D., which tallies pretty nearly with the ascertained date of Rajendra (see pp. 120-21). But the inscription refers to previous relations existing between the Chalukya and the Chola families,

and even calls Rajendra Chōla the son of Rāja Rāja Narendra. Dr. Burnell's chronology, as given in his *Palæography* (p. 40), agrees with this, but makes the latter to be a Chōla and not a Chalukya prince. The confusion has apparently arisen from intermarriages between the lunar Chalukya princes and the solar Chōla princesses. It may be inferred from the language of the *gasanam* that Vijayaditya was the first viceroy appointed by Rajendra to administer the government of Vengi, and that he was a Chalukya, but I find no mention of him elsewhere. On his death, about 1077-8 A.D., Rajendra deputed his own son, Rāja Rāja, to succeed him, but he only retained the office for one year, when he was replaced by his brother, Vira Déva Chōla, Kulottunga Chōla or Saptama Vishṇuvardhana, who appears to have been a ruler of great ability, and to have had a long tenure of power.

But here another doubt arises in the identification of Rāja Rāja, who could not be the Rāja Rāja of the Ceylon type of coins. The difficulty may be accounted for by the multiform nomenclature of these princes, who were sometimes called by one name, sometimes by another. Thus we find in the list given by Burnell, p. 131 *ante* (who from his situation in Tanjore had exceptional means of ascertaining the truth), that the prince whom I have considered throughout as the great Rajendra, is Vira Chōla, alias Kulottunga Chōla I, Rājarajendra (Rāja rāja) Koppakesari Varmā, with the date 1064-1113 A.D. (see also p. 42 *ante*).

DESCRIPTION OF PLATE I.

[The contents of the accompanying 4to. Plate represent the reproductions, by lithography, of specimens selected from the series of six svo. copper plates, engraved by native artists in Madras, to illustrate Sir W. Elliot's original articles on South Indian coins, published in the Madras Journal of Literature and Science. No attempt has been made to recast the order in which they now appear—they simply follow the old arrangement of the svo. Plates. The selection having been mainly made with a view to preserve some of the more artistically accurate copies of the originals, in preference to a resort to modern autotype illustrations, which do not always so clearly show the more minute details of coins of lead or copper which have chanced to suffer from age and oxydation.]

No. 1.—Primitive spherule of copper, probably the normal form. p. 58

No. 2 —Spherule of gold. Normal form. p. 53 and note 1.

Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6.—Silver. *śalākās*, purāṇas, or eiddings, impressed with the symbols of various attesting authorities, whether dynastic or commercial. p. 45 and note 8, also pp. 50, 51.

Nos. 7, 8 —Gold. *padma-ṭaṅkas* or *kaṃala mudras* of the natives. From Banawās. pp. 54, 66.

No. 9 —A true die-coin. 66 grains. Eight-rayed figure, *chakra* or Sun. *Rev.* plain. From South Mahratta country. Early Chalukya? (Fig. 31 of Glean., p. 233.)

No. 10 —58 grains. Similar to 7 and 8. Centre (?)—At either side the archaic form of the word *Sri*, below a vase, above the word *Vijaya*. From Malabar. (Fig. 32 of Gleanings, p. 232.)

No. 11 —54 grains. The same class as above. From Karnul (Fig. 33 of Gleanings, p. 232.)

No. 12 — 53 grains. Ditto. Centre has Krishna piping, on either side the old form of the word *Sri*, sun and moon above. From Tanjore. (Fig. 35 of Glean., p. 233.)

Nos. 13, 14, 15 —Ditto 58 grains. Kadamba dynasty. From South Mahratta country. p. 66.

No. 16 —63 grains. Ditto. From Hewli. p. 66.

No. 17.—63 grains. Die-coin. From Hewli. Kadamba dynasty. p. 66.

No. 18 —60 grains. Standard floral reverse. p. 55.

Nos. 19, 20.—Like 7, 8. With boar symbol, early Chalukya. From South Mahratta country. 55 to 58 grains. pp. 54, 66, 67, 70.

No. 21.—Early Chalukya. p. 70.

No. 22 —From Bellary. 58 grains. p. 70. (Gleanings, pp. 88-90.)

OBVERSE.

Boar with trappings.

REVERSE.

Chakra.

No. 23.—Like the above, but ruder. From South Mahratta country. p. 70. (Gleanings, pp. 88-90.)

Nos. 24, 25.—ANDHRA COINS from Dipaldinni.

OBVERSE.

Horse.

Broken Legend, No. 25. सतकणस रज

. *Satakana Raño*. p. 34.

REVERSE.

Ujjain symbol. p. 22.

Nos. 26, 27 —Ditto. 70-90 grains. pp. 22-3.

OBVERSE.

Chaitya.

REVERSE.

Ujjain symbols. pp. as above

No. 28.—Unique coin of Gautamiputra. See *Ind. Ant.* vol. vi. p. 276, No. 5. (p. 32 of this work.)

Legend रज गोतमिपुतस सिरि यत्र सतकणस

. *Raño Gotamiputasa Sira yatra Satakana*.

Nos. 29, 30 —p. 23.

OBVERSE.

Elephant.

Legenda, No. 29.

. सतक

. *Sataka* p. 34.

No. 30

. सतक

. *Sataka*. p. 34.

REVERSE.

Ujjain symbol.

KURUMBAR OR PALLAVA COINS OF THE COROMANDEL COAST. p. 35.

No. 31.—Bull and curious device, surrounded with sun-rays.

No. 32.—Ditto, and sun

No. 33.—Ditto, and Maltese Cross (sun).

Legend.

स्यतक

(Kuda) mpa taka.

No. 34.—Bull and sun.

Legend.

. तक

. *taka*.

No. 35.—

No. 36.—

REVERSE.

Crab.

No. 37.—

REVERSE.

Fish.

No. 38.—

REVERSE.

Two-masted ship like the modern coasting vessel or *d'honi*, steered by means of oars from the stern

EXPLANATORY NOTE TO PLATES II. III. IV.

More than a twelvemonth has elapsed since the completion of Plate I., owing to the difficulty, caused by my loss of sight, of selecting the coins required for the Autotype process. General Pearse undertook this task, but after four or five days succumbed to illness while preparing the Catalogue. The opportune arrival, at this juncture, of Mr. Robert Sewell, Madras C.S., who kindly proffered his aid, has enabled the work to be finally completed.

The rough Catalogue, thus hastily prepared, has now been revised in the absence of the coins (left in London). The diction has been altered considerably, several coins have been omitted as irrelevant, and a very few added. In some instances the arrangement has also been altered, involving a change in the order of the numbers. The result, though not so satisfactory as could be desired, exhibits examples of the most typical varieties described in the memoir, references to which are given in the descriptions.

The whole was placed in the hands of Prof. Percy Gardner, who kindly undertook to superintend the execution of the Autotype Plates. To him, and to Mr. Fleet for his readings of some of the legends, as well as to the two gentlemen first mentioned, viz. General Pearse and Mr. Sewell, the latter of whom has conducted the Catalogue through the Press, my most grateful acknowledgments are due.

W. E.

December, 1885.

PLATE II.

ANDHRA COINS.

[To this series belong Nos. 24-30 of Plate I.]

- No. 39.—Lead. From Kolhâpur. *Obv.* Bow and arrow. Legend around reading *Raṇḍ Gôtami-putasa Vilidyakurasa*.¹ *Rev.* Rail, *chaitya*, and tree. Codrington's Collection. (*Bombay Journal*, xiii. 303.)
- No. 40.—Copper. From Kolhâpur. *Obv.* Bow and arrow. Legend around reading *Raṇḍ Gôtami-putasa V[s][i][t][s]e[d]yakurasa*.¹ *Rev.* as in No. 39 (?). Codrington's Collection.
- No. 41.—Lead. Weight, 250 grains. From Kârwar. *Obv.* *Chaitya* with two rows of inverted semicircles at the base, and a larger open or cupola-arch above. Legend, *Raṇḍ Mala(?)na* . . . *Rev.* The sacred tree in a square pedestal, emblems at the side. Pearse's Collection. (p. 31, *ante*.)
- No. 42.—Lead. Weight, 278 grains. From Kârwar. *Obv.* Central device similar to No. 41. Legend (?). *Rev.* similar to No. 41, with the *taurus* symbol. Pearse's Collection. (p. 31.)
- No. 43.—Lead. From the Krishnâ district. *Obv.* Elephant to right with legend below, reading *Raṇḍa S[s][i][t][s]e[d]yaṇḍa*¹ *Rev.* Ujjain symbol. (p. 23.)
- No. 44.—Lead. From the same place. *Obv.* Horse to right, moon above. Legend reading *Sata-kanasa Raṇḍo*.¹ *Rev.* Plain.
- No. 45.—Lead. From the same place. *Obv.* Two-masted ship. *Rev.* Ujjain symbol. (*Madras Journal*, iii. s.s. 243, fig. 74.)
- No. 46.—Lead. From the same place. *Obv.* Maneless lion, facing right; in front a tree. *Rev.* *chaitya* (?). p. 23.
- No. 47.—Lead. Weight, 602·3 grains. From the same place. *Obv.* Maneless lion facing left, above legend (?). The letter *sa* alone is legible. *Rev.* Plain. (p. 23.)
- No. 48.—A rock-crystal seal bearing the letters *sala*, followed by the symbol for "2," which Gen. Pearse refers to *Sālivāhana*. (*See* p. 19, *note*.)

PALLAVA COINS.

The coins which have the effigy of a maned lion, as mentioned on p. 23, were originally assigned, doubtfully, to the Pallava dynasty of Vengi (*Madras Journal*, iii. s.s. 237, figs. 48, 49, 50, 52). The tract of country in which they occur, and the statement of the late Dr. Burnell that "the tiger banner of Vengai is quoted in a Chola inscription of the eleventh century at Tiruvadai-marudūr in Tanjore" (*South Ind. Palæography*, 196; *conf. Ind. Ant.* v. 50), confirms me in the accuracy of my first impression.—W.E.


- No. 49.—Alloy (coarse silver?). *Obv.* Lion with ample mane, facing right. Some examples have a sword above or in front of the animal. *Rev.* Vase on a stand, between two tall trifid lamp-stands or standards (?), the whole within a broad circle of radiating lines.
- No. 50.—Alloy. Design on both sides very similar to No. 49.
- No. 51.—Gold. Whence not known. Mionnet's scale, 2½; weight, 72·7 grains. *Obv.* Lion to left, paw upraised, within a circle around which is the legend *Sri Siṅṅanasaṇḍ (?) pra (?)*. *Rev.* Three-storeyed edifice or temple (?); below, a word not read. A doubtful coin.
- No. 52.—Gold fanam. Weight, 7·5 grains. From Rājamahendri. *Obv.* Lion with paw uplifted. *Rev.* The letter *Ma* with another imperfect letter under it.
- No. 53.—Gold. Weight, 5·7 grains. *Obv.* Lion to left. *Rev.* The syllable *La* or *Bi*, according to the date of the alphabet.¹
- No. 54.—Gold. Mionnet's scale, 3; weight, 59·5 grains. *Obv.* Maned lion to right. *Rev.* Apparently a three-storeyed building with pillars (?) below.


KURUMBAR COINS.

- No. 55.—Silver (?). Mionnet's scale, 4. Weight, 39·6 grains. From the Arcot District. *Obv.* Horse facing right, with a pellet in front; above, a very doubtful inscription in characters which have been read *Sirma Rāja* (?). *Rev.* indistinct. This is one of the two coins mentioned on p. 36, supposed to have been lost. It was discovered in arranging the present series, but the other is still missing.

The remaining coins of this series are thin copper, as are also Nos. 31 to 38 of Plate I., all from the sea-shore south of Madras. The obverse of all is the same, an elegantly shaped bull facing right, except in No. 35, where it looks to the left. (p. 35.)—W.E.

¹ I am indebted to Mr. J. F. Fleet for these readings.—W.E.





No. 56.—Rev. A tree. (Conf. "Gleanings," fig. 80.)

No. 57.—Rev. A tree.

No. 58.—Copper. Weight, 43.9 grains. *Obv.* An animal like a dog. *Rev.* Four dots or bosses within a circle. ("Gleanings," fig. 103.) This unique specimen may perhaps belong to the preceding or Pallava section, and the reverse suggests that it may possibly have been a weight. (Conf. Prinsep, in J.A.S.B. iv. 627-8, figs. 34, 35, 36.)

EARLIEST PUNCH AND DIE COINS.

Under this head fall the coins figured in Plate I. Figs. 1-6.

No. 59.—Gold. Weight, 58 grains. Very early rude punch-marked coin, from the Ahmednagar District. *Obv.* None of the punch-marks give any distinct design. *Rev.* Plain. Gibbs' Collection. (p. 55.)

No. 60.—Gold. Weight, 57.2 grains. *Obv.* Various punch-marks, amongst which appears twice the word *S'ri*. *Rev.* Plain. Gibbs' Collection.

No. 61.—Silver. Weight, 12.8 grains. Transition punch-coin, found with others in the Konkan, with a bull, erroneously called a lion at pp. 50 and 66, superimposed on the punch-marks. Received from Dr. Codrington.

No. 62.—Silver. From Sultānpur, near Wai. *Obv.* and *Rev.* As described at p. 55. Received from Dr. Codrington.

No. 63.—Gold. Described at length at p. 56, *q.v.* From zinc impressions, there being originals in the British Museum.

No. 64.—Gold. From zinc impressions sent by Dr. Codrington. (p. 55.)

No. 65.—Gold. Weight, 55.4 grains. *Obv.* Seated figure with umbrella, chowrie, sun and standard. Floral reverse. Gibbs' Collection. In connection with this see Tavernier's coin, and Fig. 18, Plate I. and p. 55. Origin of all three unknown.

KADAMBA COINS.

Nos. 7, 8, 10, 11, 13 to 17, of Plate I. belong to this Series. (Conf. "Gleanings.")

No. 66.—Gold. Weight, 54.5 grains. From Sunda. *Obv.* Indistinct figure of a lion in the centre, with four punch-marks, two being the word *S'ri*, the third a word which has been read *Bhujān* (?), the fourth an *āṅkus*. *Rev.* Plain.

No. 67.—Gold. Weight, 58.525 grains. From Sunda. *Obv.* The emblem called a *padma* in the centre, with some punch-struck retrospectant lions round it. *Rev.* Scroll ornament and two indented marks. (Conf. Plate I. figs. 13, 14, 15, and p. 66.)

No. 68.—Gold. Weight, 66.3 grains. From Bombay. *Obv.* Apparently the front face of a lion. *Rev.* A Nāgari legend, unread. Gibbs' Collection.

No. 69.—Gold. *Obv.* Lion passant to left. *Rev.* Four lines in Nāgari, *S'ri-Saptakōṭṣīvara-charaṇa-labdhā-vara-vīra-Sōyidēva*,¹ i.e. "The brave Sōyidēva who has obtained boons from the feet of the holy Saptakōṭṣīvara." Sōyi, or Sōyidēva, or Sōmēsvara, was a prince of the Goa branch of the Kadamba family. (From an electrotpe of the original coin in the Bombay Museum.)

No. 70.—Gold. *Obv.* Lion looking backwards, with Canarese legend below, which has been read *Seluga* (?). *Rev.* An indistinct object in the centre surrounded by a circle of dots, and that again by an outer circle in which an ornament resembling an omega or a circular buckle alternates with a trefoil. From zinc impression of the original coin in the Bombay Museum. (See *Bombay Journal*, ii. 65, plate xii. fig. 3; "Glean." fig. 37.)

No. 71.—Gold. *Obv.* Lion looking to the front, and in old Nāgari the word *Prāmōda*, i.e. the cycle-year of coinage. *Rev.* Legend, *S'ri-Saptakōṭṣī-labdhā-vara-vīra-Jayakēś'idēva-Malacaramāri*,¹ i.e. "The brave Jayakēś'idēva, the destroyer of the Malavas, who obtained boons from the holy Saptakōṭṣī." Jayakēś'i was one of the Kadambas of Goa. From zinc impression of the original in Bombay Museum. (*Bombay Journal*, x.; *Proc.* xxiv. liii.)

Nos. 72, 73.—Gold fanams. Weight, 2.9 grains. From the Southern Mahratta country. *Obv.* Lion regardant, similar to No. 71. *Rev.* Legend undecipherable.

No. 74.—Gold fanam. Weight, 5.9 grains. Imperfect. *Obv.* A lion. *Rev.* An *āṅkus*.

No. 75.—Copper. From Maisūr. *Obv.* Lion looking backwards. *Rev.* A star of eight rays. Pearse's Collection.

No. 76.—Copper. *Obv.* Lion passant and regardant. See Nos. 71, 72, 73. *Rev.* Indistinct. Pearse's Collection.

No. 77.—Copper. From Bangalore. *Obv.* Lion passant, regardant. *Rev.* Crossed lines, with pellets in the squares. Probably of late date. Pearse's Collection.

No. 78.—Gold. Weight, 65.1 grains. *Obv.* Lion within a floral border (?), like that on No. 70. *Rev.* Hanumān, seated between two chowries, and below him an old Canarese word *Nakars*, referring possibly to the god Nakarēsvara, of Bāṅkūr. An electrotpe of a similar coin has been received from Bombay.

¹ See note to p. 152a.

PLATE III.

CHALUKYA COINS.

No. 19 to 23 of Plate I. belong to this series. (Conf. "Glean." pp. 88-90, figs. 1-5.)

No. 79.—Gold. Weight, 65½ grains. A large thin plate, having on the *Obv.* the figure of a boar in the centre under an umbrella, and two chowries with the *taurus* symbol, and a lamp in front of and another behind the animal; around, impressed by separate punches, old Canarese letters, reading *Srī Chālukya Vallabha*. The last word doubtful. *Rev.* Plain. Another specimen has been figured by Lieut. Lutter in J.A.S.B. xv. 240, fig. 6.

No. 80.—Gold. Weight, 66½ grains. *Obv.* Similar to the above, the separate letters reading *Srī Rāja Rāja*, and a doubtful letter which has been read *ndra* or *rya* (pp. 51, 54, 66, 67, 70). With these compare Nos. 19, 20, of Plate I.

No. 81.—Copper. *Obv.* A boar, facing right, sun and moon above. *Rev.* A *śaṅka*.

No. 82.—Copper. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* Indistinct.

No. 83.—Copper. *Obv.* Boar facing right. *Rev.* Lion looking backwards. This perhaps refers to the conquest of Banawāsi by the Chalukyas.

No. 84.—Copper. *Obv.* Boar facing left, with sun and moon above. *Rev.* Indistinct.

No. 85.—Gold fanam. Weight, 6·7 grains. From Rājamahendri. *Obv.* Boar facing right, above him an *ankus*. *Rev.* The letters *sa*, under which is the number 11 in decimal figures. From the locality this probably belongs to the Eastern Chalukya branch.

No. 86.—Gold fanam. Weight, 6·2 grains. *Obv.* Boar facing right, enclosed in a circle. *Rev.* A lion, above him the sun.

KALACHURI COINS.

No. 87.—Gold. Weight, 54½ grains. From the Sattārā province. *Obv.* Figure advancing to the right. *Rev.* Three lines of Old Canarese, in the second of which is the name *Murāri*. From the locality, and the name *Murāri*, this may be assigned to the second prince of the Kalachuri dynasty of Kalyān. (p. 78.)

COINS OF THE YADAVAS OF DEVAGIRI.

No. 88.—Gold. Weight, 52·2 grains. *Obv.* A figure like that of an anthropoid garuḍa with head covered, nose somewhat pronounced. *Rev.* Three lines of Old Canarese, which have been read *Rāja Sivabāṣa*, for *-bhaṣa*. From Bijapur. The cognizance of the Yādavas of Devagiri being a garuḍa. I attribute this coin, though with doubt, to that family.—W.E.

No. 89.—Silver, small. Weight, 4·2 grains. *Obv.* figure of garuḍa, like the preceding. *Rev.* Apparently letters, unread. Two of these coins, found in the bund of a tank at Seotur, on the Pūna Road, eight miles from Sattārā, were sent me by Sir Bartle Frere, the Resident.—W.E.

No. 89½.—Copper. *Obv.* Garuḍa. *Rev.* A legend, *Venkata* in the centre.

COINS OF THE YADAVAS OF DVĀRASAMUDRA.

The only coins of this dynasty which I know (but have not seen) are those procured by Gen. Pearse in Maisūr.—W.E.

No. 90.—Gold. Weight, 61·75 grains, size 2½. *Obv.* Maned lion before an altar; above him a smaller one, with the sun in front; both lions face to the right. *Rev.* Three lines of Old Canarese, reading *Srī Talakḍḍu gonḍa* (as now read, not *-dona*), i.e. "He who took the glorious Talakḍḍu," namely, Viṣṇuvardhana, the fourth prince. (pp. 80, 82, note 1, 115.)

No. 91. Gold. Weight, 63 grains. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* Three lines of Old Canarese, reading *Srī Nonambavḍḍi gonḍa*, i.e. "He who took the glorious Nonambavḍḍi." (p. 82, and note 1.)


No. 92.—Copper. *Obv.* Elephant facing right, above *Srī*, in Canarese. *Rev.* Legend not legible. This refers, perhaps, to the Nāyaks of Tonnūr. (p. 81.)

COINS OF THE KĀKATĪYAS OF WORANGAL AND VĒMA REPPIS, OF KONḌAVĪP(?).

No. 93.—Gold fanam. From Rēwāda. Weight, 6·6 grains. *Obv.* Bull couchant to right. *Rev.* Doubtful. (pp. 85, 102.)

No. 94.—Copper. *Obv.* Bull couchant to right, over his back a lingam. *Rev.* Legend in Telugu. (pp. 85, 102.)

No. 95.—Copper. *Obv.* Like the last. *Rev.* Legend in Telugu. (pp. 85, 102.)



5

VIJAYANAGAR COINS.

For the description of coins Nos. 96 to 105 we are indebted to Mr. Thomas, who has described them in note 1 to pp. 97-98. It is remarkable that the gold coins should be of a Śaivite character, while the symbols on the copper coins and on the seals of the dynasty, probably through the influence of the minister, are Vaiṣṇavite. But the Kuruba princes generally bore names derived from Śiva, while those of the succeeding dynasty, except the last, were adopted from Viṣṇu. (p. 92.)

- No. 96 (Thomas's No. 1).—Gold. Weight, 25·2 grains. *Obv.* Śiva and Pārvati seated. *Rev.* Nāgari legend श्री प्रताप हरिहर *Srī Pratāpa Harihara*, the second king of the first or Kuruba dynasty.
- No. 97 (Thomas's No. 1).—Gold. Weight, 25·4 grains. Same as the last.
- No. 98. (Thomas's No. 2).—Gold. Weight, 24·2 grains. *Obv.* Two-headed fabulous bird called Gaṇḍabheruṇḍa walking to the left (*conf. note 3, p. 96*), like a heraldic spread-eagle, holding elephants in each beak and each claw. *Rev.* (See No. 99.)
- No. 99 (Thomas's No. 2).—Gold. Weight, 26·4 grains. *Obv.* Two-headed insessorial Gaṇḍabheruṇḍa, with elephants as above. *Rev.* of Nos. 98 and 99, the Nāgari legend श्री प्रतापाच्युत राय *Srī Pratāpa Achyuta Rāya*, i.e. the third king of the Narasimha line, the ninth from Bukka.
- No. 100 (Thomas's No. 3).—Gold. Weight, 52·6 grains. *Obv.* Same as No. 96. *Rev.* श्री प्रताप सदासिवा राय *Srī Pratāpa Sadāsiva Rāya*, i.e. the fourth of the Narasimha line, and the tenth from Bukka.
- No. 101 (Thomas's No. 4).—Gold. Weight, 25·7 grains. *Obv.* Same as No. 96. *Rev.* Indistinct legend, possibly श्री कंव वरमल राय *Srī Kāva Trīramala Rāya*, for "Tirumala Rāya," the brother of Rāma Rāja of the third dynasty, who removed to Pennakonḍa. (p. 93.)
- No. 102 (Thomas's No. 4).—Gold. Weight, 26 grains. Same as above.
- No. 103 (Thomas, No. 4).—Copper. Mionnet's size 3; weight, 55 grains. *Obv.* Boar facing right, sword and sun above. *Rev.* Three lines of Nāgari चलम वरमल पक *Chalam (Chalan) Trīramala taka* for (*taṅka*). (See remark on No. 101.)
- No. 104. *Obv.* Boar to right, sun and moon above. *Rev.* Legend unread.
- No. 105 (Thomas's No. 5).—Gold. Weight, 51·2 grains. *Obv.* Viṣṇu. *Rev.* श्री वेङ्क ईश्वराय नमः *Srī Veṅka Īśvarāya namaḥ*. Probably one of the Veṅkaṭas in the list on p. 93.

- No. 106 (Thomas's No. 6).—Gold. Weight, 52·7 grains. *Obv.* The youthful Kṛishṇa trampling on Kaliya (the dancing Kṛishṇa). *Rev.* A legend read as श्री चिकदेव राय *Srī Chikadēva Rāya*. Mr. Thomas doubts whether this belongs to the Vijayanagar series (*note, p. 98*). I am also doubtful (*see p. 97*). It may belong to a Maisūr sovereign named Chikadēva.—W. E.
- No. 107.—Gold. Weight, 25·9 grains. *Obv.* The youthful Kṛishṇa again. *Rev.* Nāgari legend, indistinct, (?) Veṅkadēva.
- No. 108.—Gold. Weight, 58 grains. *Obv.* Rāma and Sita seated, sun and moon above, a figure (Hanumān?) standing before them. *Rev.* In Old Canarese *Srī Īśvara*. This coin appears to be connected with the *Rāma-Taṅka* medals, attributed to the second dynasty. (pp. 95, 99.)
- No. 109.—Gold. Weight, 174 grains. The third-sized *Rāma-Taṅka*, cup-shaped. Convex side, Hanumān to the left, with Nāgari letters. Concave side, Rāma and Sita seated, with attendants. Nāgari legend below. (p. 99.)
- No. 110.—Gold (spheroid). Weight, 18 grains. *Obv.* Hanumān. *Rev.* Śiva and Pārvati (?), indistinct. (p. 99.)
- No. 111.—Cancelled.
- No. 112.—Gold. Weight, 119·7 grains. *Obv.* Viṣṇu under an arch. *Rev.* *Srī Kṛishṇa Rāya*. (*Conf. Nos. 174 to 178.*)
- No. 113.—Gold. Flat. Weight, 123·4 grains. *Obv.* Rāma and Sita seated, with attendants; around, and on *Rev.*, Nāgari legend not read. A modern *Rāma-Taṅka*, of no value. (p. 99.)—W. E. (Pearse's Collection.)

MAISŪR COINS.

- No. 114 (Thomas's No. 3a).—Gold. Weight, 53 grains. *Obv.* Śiva and Pārvati seated. *Rev.* *Sadāsivā*, adopted from the Vijayanagar type by Sadāsivā, the first Nāyak of Ikḱēri. (pp. 97, 105, 106, 146; *conf. J.A.S.B. lii. 45, 93.*)
- No. 115.—Gold. Weight, 52·8 grains. *Obv.* Śiva and Pārvati seated. *Rev.* *Srī Kṛishṇa Rāja*. Later Ikḱēri type, afterwards adopted by Haidar 'Alī. (p. 105.)
- No. 116.—Copper. *Obv.* A Canarese number (?). *Rev.* Lines crossing at right angles. (p. 105.)
- No. 117.—Copper. *Obv.* Elephant to left, sun and moon above. *Rev.* Double lines crossing at right angles with a circle in the centre. In others, *Rev.* various, often smooth from attrition. This is the *āne paṣa*, or "Elephant Cash," so common in Maisūr, referred to at p. 105.

CHÉRA OR KOŌGU COINS.

No. 118.—Gold. Weight, 52·3 grains. *Obv.* Elephant. *Rev.* Floral design.

No. 119.—Gold. Weight, 58·5 grains. *Obv.* similar to the above, but here the animal is caparisoned.

These two coins, attributed to the Chéras, have been frequently reproduced in the south-west. I have fanams of the same type. The figure on the seal of the Koŋgu copper-plates is exactly similar. See next number.—W. E.

No. 120.—Seal, having the figure of an elephant, attached to the copper grants of the Koŋgani princes. From an electrotype impression.

Nos. 121-127.—The seven following characteristic copper coins are difficult to describe. They all have on the reverse the same symbol, which may be compared to an altar or drum-shaped object? The obverse has generally a bow, and one or more five-pointed posts or standards, in one instance together with an elephant, in others a weapon like a sacrificial bill or axe. (p. 117.)

No. 128.—Copper. *Obv.* Seated figure, Ceylon type, with *ankus*. *Rev.* Bow with arrow strung, and umbrella. It is doubtful if this is a true Koŋgu coin, but I once had an example with the Ceylon sitting figure on one side, and the true Koŋgu altar on the other.—W. E.

PĀṆḌYAN COINS.

No. 129.—Gold. Weight, 57 grains. *Obv.* Two fish under an umbrella, flanked on right by lamp and on left by chowrie, sun and moon above. *Rev.* legend in Nāgari, uncertain. (*Conf. As. Res.* xvii. 592-3; pl. iv. fig. 81.)

No. 130.—Gold. Weight, 6·5 grains. *Obv.* Two fish. *Rev.* Unrecognizable.

No. 131.—Gold. Weight, 6·2 grains. *Obv.* A fish. *Rev.* Figure before an altar.

These two coins, Nos. 130, 131, with several others having one or two fish, or a boar, were found in Rājamaheśvri, and may be connected with the Chōja-Chalukya period.—W. E.

No. 132.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure, Ceylon type. *Rev.* A mountain; below, the Tamil word *Ketu*. *Ketu*, being synonymous with *dheva*, I attribute this, with hesitation, to Malayadhvaja, the second name in the Purāṇic lists. (pp. 125-6.)—W. E.

No. 133.—Copper. *Obv.* Similar standing figure. *Rev.* Fish on either side of sceptre or crozier, flanked by lamps. Above, in Tamil letters, *Vira Pāṇḍiyan*, the fourth or fifth king in the lists. (p. 126.)

No. 134.—Copper. *Obv.* Anthropoid Garuḍa with *Sanḥa* and *chakra* above. *Rev.* *Samara Kōḍhala*, the forty-first king in the lists. (*Compare* No. 137.)

No. 135.—Copper. *Obv.* Similar, Garuḍa kneeling on the fish. *Rev.* *Samara Kōḍhala*. (p. 126.)

No. 136.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure with two characters to right. *Rev.* Fish between lamps; in margin *Samara Kōḍhala*. (p. 126.)

No. 137.—Copper. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* Two fish surrounded by legend *Samara Kōḍhala*.

No. 138.—Copper. *Obv.* Anthropoid Garuḍa carrying a bow, *Sanḥa* above. *Rev.* *Bhuvanēka Viran*. (p. 126.)

PLATE IV.

PĀṆḌYAN COINS (continued).

No. 139.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure. *Rev.* In old Tamil, *Korkai Āṇḍār*, i.e. "Ruler of Korkai," a title of the Pāṇḍyan princes. Sewell's Collection.


No. 140.—Copper. *Obv.* Similar standing figure. *Rev.* Seated figure, and legend *Kāṇa Pāṇḍya*, the last name in the lists. (pp. 121, 126, 128-9.)

No. 141.—Copper. *Obv.* Sceptre between two fish under a crescent. *Rev.* *Sundara Pāṇḍiya*, in Tamil. (p. 127.)

No. 142.—Copper. *Obv.* Horse galloping to right, under three symbols indistinguishable. *Rev.* Fish on either side of sceptre; above, a bull to left with chowries. (p. 127.)

No. 143. Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure. *Rev.* A crocodile to left; above, the legend *ke* (or *se*?), and a scorpion (*Makara ketu* or *dheva* (?), could such a name be found); below, two fish looking inwards. Gen. Pearce's gold coin I have not seen.—W. E. (p. 127.)

No. 144.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure, with two fish and sceptre to right. *Rev.* Seated figure with legend, which has been read *Terumalai* (?) (p. 127.)



- No. 145.—Copper. *Obv.* Two fish crossed; in the angles a crescent and *trisola*. *Rev.* *Kacchi Valungum Perumān*, i.e. "Conjeveram-bestowing chief or prince." (p. 122, note 2.)
- No. 146.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure. *Rev.* Seated figure and sceptre between two fish.
- No. 147.—Copper. *Obv.* Two feet (or *Vishnu-pāda*?) under sun and moon. *Rev.* Legend which, according to Dr. Caldwell, reading from another coin found at Kāyal, is *Kāyal*. (pp. 124–5.)
- No. 148.—Copper. *Obv.* Boar to right, under sun and moon. *Rev.* *Sundara Pāndiyan*, above two fish on each side of the sceptre. This belongs to what has been called the Chola-Chalukya period, in which the relations between the Pāndyans and the Cholas have not been clearly ascertained. (pp. 121, 134.)
- No. 149.—Copper. *Obv.* Figure, with halo round the head, seated on the fish. The right arm rests outstretched on the knee, the leg pendant, the left leg is doubled, and the left arm akimbo. This is a doubtful coin, its only connection with the Pāndyans being the figure of the fish.
- No. 150.—Copper. Bull to right, sun and moon. *Rev.* Sceptre between two fish.
-
- CHOLA COINS.
- No. 151.—Gold. Coin lost; facsimile in woodcut. Weight, 50 to 60 grains. *Obv.* and *Rev.* Exactly the same, viz. a tiger seated to the proper left, opposite it a fish, over the head of each an *aṅkus*, and behind the tiger four characters unread. (p. 132.)
-
- No. 152.—Impure silver. Weight, 62 grains. *Obv.* and *Rev.* The same, viz. a tiger seated under a canopy, chowrie on either side, opposite it two fish, behind it a bow, and below all the Nāgari legend *Rāchō Keṇu Chola*. (pp. 124, 132.)
- No. 153.—Impure silver. Weight, 52·2 grains. *Obv.* and *Rev.* Same as above, legend *Sri Rājendraḥ*. (pp. 124, 132, note 2.) *Pearse's Coll.*
- No. 154.—Impure silver. Weight, 62·6 grains. *Obv.* The same group of symbols occupying the whole field. *Rev.* *Uttama Chola* in Nāgari. (pp. 132–3.)
- No. 155.—Gold. Weight, 7·2 grains. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* *Yuddha Mallāḥ*. (p. 134, note 1.) This coin was received since the note was written.
- No. 156.—Gold. Weight, 6·7 grains. *Obv.* Fish and tiger seated under a crescent. *Rev.* Legend not legible.
- No. 157.—Pale gold. Weight, 8·2 grains. *Obv.* Standing figure. *Rev.* In old Nāgari *iraka* (?) or *daraka* (?) under a chowrie. (Doubtful coin.) (p. 133.)
- No. 158.—Copper. *Obv.* Seated figure with apparently the legend *Rāja Rāja* under the arm. *Rev.* Boar to left, legend above and below illegible. The letters above seem to be in Tamil and to read *Vira*. (pp. 133–4.)
- No. 159.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure, crescent to right of head. *Rev.* Boar, sun, moon, and lamp, above a scroll. (p. 134.)
- No. 160.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure. *Rev.* Two fish surrounded by an obscure legend, *Rāja Rāja* (?). (p. 134.)
- No. 161.—Copper. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* Seated figure with fish and sceptre where the legend *Rāja Rāja* usually appears. (p. 134.)
- No. 162.—Copper. *Obv.* Seated figure with legend *Rāja Rāja*. *Rev.* A horseman between two umbrellas galloping to right. (p. 133.)
- No. 163.—Copper. *Obv.* Seated figure. *Rev.* Two horses and rider, umbrellas above. (p. 133.)
- No. 164.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure of *Krishna* as *Muralidhara* (the flute player). *Rev.* Seated figure and legend *Rāja Rāja*. (p. 133; *conf. Pl. I. Fig. 12*.)
- No. 165.—Gold. *Obv.* Standing figure, crescent in field, and fish below four dots. *Rev.* Seated figure and name *Rāja Rāja*. (p. 133.)
- No. 166.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing figure, and *Rev.* Same as No. 165. (p. 133.)
- No. 167.—Copper. *Obv.* Very rough, same as above. *Rev.* Bull erect to right, sun and moon. p. 134.
- No. 168.—Cancelled.
- No. 169.—Copper. *Obv.* Same as the last. *Rev.* Bull to right, crescent above. (p. 134.)
- No. 170.—Copper. *Obv.* Same as above, with a lozenge having dots in the angles and one in the centre. *Rev.* Seated figure having an axe to his proper right. (p. 134.)
- No. 171.—Copper. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* An elephant to left, above his head a *zankha*, and an illegible legend.
- No. 172.—Copper. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* Bull couchant to left, with crescent in the field, below, in Tamil letters, *Setu*. (p. 134.)

No. 173.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing bull facing to left, lamp in front. *Rev.* *Kōnceri Rāyan*,—who he was, not known.

No. 174.—Copper. *Obv.* Standing bull facing right. *Rev.* A large three-leaved symbol, or fleur-de-lis? *trisola*? This coin, examples of which are not infrequent, closely resembles one figured by Sir A. Phayre as struck in Arakan about the eighth century A.D. (p. 134.)

MODERN COINS.

No. 175.—Woodcut of coin accidentally omitted. Gold. Weight, 26 grains. *Obv.* Lakshmi seated, with *sankha* and *chakra*. *Rev.* *Sri Pratāpa Kṛishṇa Rāya* in Nāgari. Not very rare. The *Lakshmi mūda* of the *śārāṭa*, of elegant design. It appears to me to be connected with the series of Kṛishṇa coins, Nos. 106, 107, pp. 97, 144, note 1.—W.E.



No. 176.—Gold. Weight, 26 grains. *Obv.* Vishnu standing under an arch. *Rev.* Like Nāgari cut by one ignorant of the character. This coin, which should have been omitted instead of the preceding, is the *Venkatapati Naidu pratāpa*, or half pagoda of the *śārāṭa*, very common, often made into ornaments.—W.E.

No. 177. Gold. *Obv.* Same as the last. *Rev.* *Venkat Rāya* in Telugu. (Pearse's Coll.)

No. 178.—Gold. *Obv.* Vishnu standing. *Rev.* Granulated.

No. 179.—Gold. *Obv.* Same as the last. *Rev.* Granulated charged with a star. (p. 143.) This is the Madras star-pagoda. This and the preceding numbers came into use on the fall of the Vijayanagar dynasty, and were adopted by the local chiefs of Raichūr, Venkatagiri, Tripati, etc. (pp. 98, 143), and by the European factories. Nos. 180, 181, 182, like the above, were struck on the east coast, some by the Nawābs of the Carnatic, others by Zamindārs (p. 143). The same remark applies to No. 183, only the figures are seated.—W.E.

No. 180.—Gold. *Obv.* Vishnu and his two wives standing. *Rev.* Granulated, known as the *Kuruki* pagoda, struck by the Nawābs of Arcot. (p. 144.)

No. 181.—Gold. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* Granulated, with the Arabic letter *ain* (ع) struck by Safdar 'Ali, of the family of the Arcot Nawāb. (p. 144.)

No. 182.—Gold. *Obv.* Same as above, but the three figures are seated. *Rev.* *Sri Pratāpa Kṛishṇa*.

No. 183.—Sapphire ring with same figures as on the last. (p. 144, note.)

No. 184.—Gold. (Spurious.) *Obv.* Vishnu and his two wives standing. *Rev.* Granulated, with the Arabic letters *Wāḷā*, for the Nawāb Wāḷājāh. (p. 144, note 2.)

No. 185.—Gold. (Spurious.) *Obv.* Hanumān. *Rev.* Same as the last. (p. 144, note 2.)

No. 186.—Gold. *Obv.* Durgā seated. *Rev.* *Pratāpa Kṛishṇa* in Nāgari. The same coin as No. 7 in Mr. Thomas's note, p. 98, undoubtedly belonging to one of the Ceded District Polygars. (pp. 105-6, 143, 145-6.)

No. 187.—Gold. *Obv.* and *Rev.* Same as the last.

No. 188.—Gold. *Obv.* Same as the last two, with Vaishnava emblems of *Sankha* and *Chakra*. *Rev.* Same as above. (pp. 99, 142, note 2, 143, 145-6.)

Nos. 189, 190, 191, 192.—Gold. *Vīrarāya* fanams. *Obv.* Transverse bar with three lines of dots above. *Rev.* A design not explained. (p. 146-7.)

No. 193.—Silver. The Malabar *tārē*. *Obv.* A deity. *Rev.* Similar to above. (pp. 57, 147.)

No. 194.—Copper. Mionnet's scale, size 4½. Weight, 172 grains. *Obv.* and *Rev.* Like the *Vīrarāya* fanam, of which it is apparently a multiple. Not common.

No. 195.—Cancelled.

No. 196.—Silver. Weight, 11·6 grains. Double *Chakram* of Travancore. *Obv.* *Sankha* shell. *Rev.* Hexagonal diagram interlaced. The *Sankha* shell is the cognizance of the Travancore state, and the diagram is seen on many of their coins. (p. 139.)

No. 197.—Copper. A *duddu* of four *kāṣas*. Weight, 39½ grains. *Obv.* Vishnu or Kṛishṇa with a sprig or branch under the arm, and the Malayalam numeral 4 in the exergue. *Rev.* Hexagonal diagram. (p. 139.)

N.B.—The last two Nos. (196, 197) are added as examples of Western Coast coins.

INDEX.

A.

- Abd-er-Razzak's account of the city of Bijanagar, 93a.
 'Abdullah Kutb Shah, 100.
 'Abdullah Wazir's notice of a disputed succession in the Pāṇḍyan state, 123.
 Abhiras, Abiria, the pastoral tribe of Abira, 89.
 'Adil Shāhi dynasty, 98; coins of, 138.
 Adodai, or Tondamān, 37.
 Ador, inscription at, 68.
 Agastya, early mission of, 1.
 Aghoreswara, 105.
 Agrestial slaves, 15a.
 Agni kula, fire dynasties, 70.
 Agumbadyan, a Tamil caste, 43a.
 Ahavamalla, title of a Chalukya king, 73, 121.
 Ahira, a great pastoral tribe, 89.
 Ahmadnagar, gold coins found at, 55.
 Aihole inscription, the, 65.
 Aira, inscription of king, 29.
 Al Biruni referred to, 123a.
 Ala Vēma Reddī, 101.
 'Alā-ud-dīn, his conquest and plunder of the south, 53, 57, 79, 81, 90, 123, 132.
 'Alī 'A'īl Shāh, 95.
 Allada Bhūpati, Allanda Reddī, members of the Vēma Reddī family, 101.
 Amara Kōsha, the, 37a.
 Amariwati, inscription at, 101.
 Amir Khusrū on a disputed Pāṇḍyan succession, 123.
 Amoghavarsha of the Ratta dynasty, 74, 75, 76, 77.
 Anai kāsū, Anai mitti, A'ne paisa, elephant stamped coins, 105, 116.
 Ananda, name of an Andhra prince, 12.
 Anandaramen, name of a Travancore coin, 138, 139.
 Andara, or Andhras, so called by Pliny, 10.
 Andhras, coins of the, 7; notice of the, by the Greeks and Romans, 9; mentioned in the tablet at Shāhbāgarhi, 11; inscriptions relating to the, 12, 13, 14; period of their rule, 15; distribution of the, 15; migration of the, 16; Andhra-bhrityas, 13, 18; Andhra-jātiya, 18; close of dynasty, 21; monetary system of the, 22; list of coins, 28-34.

- 'Angka, the, a fabulous bird, 97a.
 Annigeri, inscription at, 121.
 Anumakonda, inscription at, 82.
 Anwar-ud-din Khān, Nawāb of Carnatic, 144.
 Aparanji fanams, 148.
 Arādhyā, a class of Brahmans, 78.
 Arakan, Chandra dynasty of and relations with the Cholas, 134, 135.
 Araviti-pura, lords of, 109a.
 Arcot, 21, 36, 42, 89, 98, 144, 145.
 Arimardhana, Pāṇḍyan king, legend of, 127.
 Arrian, quoted, 10a., 22.
 Aryans, migration of the, 1.
 Asa Aheer, Aseergurh, 89.
 Ashar, mentioned by Marco Polo, 125.
 Asoka, 15; his edict, 19, 107, 111, 130; his inscription at Ganjam, 28.
 Attirana Chanda Pallava, 43.
 Augustus, coins of, 45a.
 Aurangzeb, referred to, 98.
 Avanita, Avinita, Mahādhirāja Bhadatta, Prince of the Kōṅgu dynasty, 113, 114.
 Ayama of the Vatagotra, mentioned in inscriptions, 26.
 Azim-ud-Daula, Nawāb of the Carnatic, 144.

B.

- Babu Rajendra Mittra, referred to, 29a.
 Bādāmi inscription, the, 65.
 Bagavadi, the tutelary deity of Madras, 51a.
 Bahāduri pagoda, 106.
 Bahmani kings, 84; state of Kalburga, 90.
 Bala Kṛishna, figure of, on Maisur coins, 106.
 Balabhi era, 19a.
 Balavarāja, 40.
 Ball, Vincent, on obsolete copper mines, 37, 38.
 Ballāla, Hoysala, 80, 81, 90, 116, 122, 131.
 Banawāsi, 15, 25, 42, 64, 65, 67, 69, 70, 77, 91, 92, 99.
 Bar yuchre, the, name of a fabulous bird, 97a.
 Basava, founder of the Lingōyat sect, 44; Basava-purana, the sacred book of the Lingayats, 78.

- Bauddhas and Jainas, feud between, 38a.
 Bayley, Sir E. Clive, referred to, 45a.
 Beal, Rev. S., referred to, 38a.
 Bedara, a predatory tribe, 5, 105.
 Belūr (Velapūra) inscription at, 116a.
 Bhagwān Lal Indrajī, referred to, 12a., 13; his discoveries at Sopārā, etc., quoted or referred to, 25, 28a., 29, 74a., 103.
 Bhandarkar, Prof., referred to, 74, 113.
 Bhānu Vikrama, early Malabar prince, 137.
 Bhāradwāja gotra, 40, 41a.
 Bhāskarāchārya, author of the Līlāvati, 46.
 Bhau Daji, Dr., referred to, 12, 19, 28.
 Bhillama, 79.
 Bhila, a predatory tribe, 1a.
 Bhima, Kāja of Vardhamānanagarī, 82.
 Bhujabala, Ganga, 116a.
 Bhuvananaikamalla, 65a.
 Bhuvanikaivira, 65a.
 Bhuvanika viran, 126.
 Bidie, Dr., referred to, 96, 97, 98, notes.
 Bijjala, 72, 77, 78.
 Bir Pāndya, 123.
 Bird, Dr., opens the tope at Kāyheri, 13.
 Boar, the, a symbol of the Chalukyas, 67, 72; adopted by the Vijayanagara, 95.
 Boesroeken, a base coin so called, 142.
 Bow, a, the Chēra emblem, 66, 108, 117, 120; with arrow, 23, 29.
 Broun, Mr., on the weights of Travancore, 50a.
 Buchanan, Dr. Francis, on coins of Malabar, 64a., 89, 105a., 138a., 143, 147.
 Buddha Varma Rāja Chalukya, 71.
 Buddhist symbols on Andhra coins, 22.
 Buddhists, persecution of the, 92, 126.
 Bühler, Dr., referred to or quoted, 12a., 17, 24, 26, 72a., 75.
 Bukkarāja, founder of Vijayanagar, 90.
 91; doubtful coin of, 95; a second of the name, 100.
 Burgess, Dr., referred to or quoted, 13a., 25, 26a., 74a., 93a., 96, 97a., 100, 135.
 Burnell, Dr. A. C., quoted or referred to, 2, 11, 37, 38, 39, notes, 75, 86a., 88, 94, 95, 107, 111a., 112, 131, 135; his list of Vijayanagar kings, 94.
 Burnouf, M., his opinion on the Purāṇas, 9a.; referred to, 45, 51, 69.

C.

- Cassalpinia, the seeds of a species of, used as weights and for other purposes, 48.
 Caldwell, Dr., quoted or referred to, 2a., 4a., 11a., 37, 38a., 48a., 49a., 107a., 119, 120, 121, 122, 124, 125a., 126, 130, 131a.
 Canarese numerals on Wodeiyar coins, 105.
 Cannanore, the Bibi of, 63; mint of, 141.
 Cash, caru, kazu, coin, generally copper, 59, 137, 139, 148.
 Ceylon type or series, term applied by Prinsep to a class of southern coins, 108, 109, 110, 117, 120, 122, 125, 126, 127, 133.
 Chaitya cave, the, 13.
 Chakra, the, with sukha shell, emblems of Vishnu, 120, 146.
 Chakrams, small silver coin of Travancore, 137, 319; board for reckoning, described, 148.
 Chalukya Empire, Western, historical survey of, 70 *et seq.*
 Chalukya inscriptions, 120.
 Chalukyas, invade the Pallava country, 39; overcome the Kadambas, 65; coins of the, 67; their high-sounding titles enumerated, 70-1; interregnum of, 73; their characteristic symbol 72; genealogical list of the, 73.
 Chalukya kingdom, Eastern, notice of, 85; subversion by Cholas, *ib.*; genealogical list of, 87.
 Chama Rāja, 104; supposed coins of, 105.
 Chand, the poet, 70a.
 Chanda Varma, 41.
 Chandadanda, 69.
 Chandra Sri, coin of, 20a.
 Chandragiri princes, 98.
 Chandragupta, founder of the Maurya dynasty, 7, 9, 28.
 Chashtana, coin of, described and illustrated, 25-8.
 Chatrapana Satakani, coin of, 24.
 Ch'hapas, 45a.
 Chedi dynasty, 11, 77.
 Chēra dynasty, 61, 78, 107, 108, 111.
 Cherakal Rāja, 137; tāluk, 147.
 Cherapati, 111.
 Chērumān Perumāl, 61.
 Chilka Lake, 15.
 Chinese coins found at the Coromandel coast, 35.
 Chōna fanam, 138; chakram, coins of Travancore, 139.
 Chitaldrug, the Bedar Polygar of, 105; inscription at 90; pagodas, 146.
 Chivari Karna, 13.
 Chōdōdaya, 82.
 Chohāna, the, one of the Agni kula, 70a.
 Chola dynasty, historical notice of, 130, *et seq.*; genealogical lists of the, 130, 131; notes on their coinage, 132; lists of, 133, 135; silver coins, 67, 108; Chola-mandalam, 108; Chola-Pāṇḍyan dynasty, 109.
 Cirrade, Pliny's term for the Kirātas, 10.
 Cochin, Rāja of, 136; historical note on, 141; coinage of, 141; list of Rājas of, 142.

- Coda (Choda) dynasty, 107. Same as Cholas.
 Codrington, Dr., on Western coins, 55, 56.
 Cōlāstri, 137.
 Colebrooke, Sir H. T., his opinion on the Purānas, 9a.; inscriptions edited by, 90a.
 Conti, Nicolò, the Venetian traveller, 93.
 Copper coinage, described, 58 *et seq.*; endless variety of coins, 60.
 Copper deeds relating to the Pallavas, 39.
 Coromandel coast, coins found along the, 35.
 Cowrie, derivation of, 59a.
 Cunningham, General, referred to or quoted, 9a., 11a., 15a., 16a., 17, 19a., 20, 21a., 26a., 32a., 45, 48a., 64a., 72a., 77a., 126a.
 Curzola, battle of, 124.

D.

- Dakhamitra, 14; or, Dakshamitra, daughter of Nahapana, 26.
 Dakhan or Dakshana, geographical description of the, 1; Dakshanapati, 24; Dakshināpathajamanas, a term comprehending the Dravidian races, 1a.
 Dalawāi, a military title, 98.
 Dalton, Colonel, referred to, 14a., 38.
 Damaruka drum, the, 78a.
 Dandārava, Eastern Chalukya king, 86.
 Danda-nāyakas, title of, 72, 81.
 Dantidurga, or Dantivarman, the Ratta king, 72; inscriptions of, 74, 77.
 Dāsa Karni, Andhra, 13.
 Datta Avinīta, 113. *See* Avinīta.
 Davids, T. W. Rhys, quoted or referred to, 47a., 49a., 109, 110, 122a., 133.
 Day, Dr. Francis, referred to or quoted, 137a., 138, 142.
 Dellon, Dr., quoted, 57, 58a.
 Dēva Rāja, 96, 98a.
 Dēvagiri, Yādavas of, 79.
 Devī Nāyanikāya, 13.
 Dhanakakūṭa, supposed site of, 15.
 Dhārwarī pagodas, 145.
 Dhruva, 72, 77.
 Digambara idols do duty for Hindu gods, 37a.
 Dinika, 14, 26.
 Diodorus, 10a.
 Dirhem, a coin, 138a.
 Dodaya Redḍi, 101.
 Dowson, Professor, referred to, 70a., 107, 111a., 130.
 Drāvida, and Drāvida-dēcam, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 21, 42, 69, 61, 89, 93, 109, 122, 123, 124, 125, 130, 132, 135, 136.
 Dravidian coinage, 108; dynasties mentioned in Asoka's edict, 107, 111, 130; literature, 2, 107; migration of the, 1.
 Durga pagodas, 105, 145.
 Durga, figure of, on gold coins, 99, 105, 143, 145.
 Durgi, Rādrāma Devī's inscription at, 83a.
 Dutch East India Company, 136, 142; mint at Pulicat, 145; supplant the Portuguese, 145.
 Dvārāsamudra, Yādavas of, 80; destruction of, 81.

- Dvārāvātipur-varadhiwara, 79, 80.
 Dynastic lists:—
 Andhras, according to the Purāpas, 8.
 Cochin Rājas, 142.
 Hindus of South India, 4.
 Mahomedan kings of the Dakhan, 5.
 Pāṇḍyan Kings, 12a., 129.
 Travancore Sovereigns, 140.
 Vijayanagar Rājas, 93, 95.
 Dynasties of Drāvida, 111 *et seq.* *See* Genealogical Lists.

E.

- Earliest indigenous coins, 53 *et seq.*
 Eggeling, Prof., referred to, 12, 39.
 Egyptians, use of scales by the, 49a.
 Elding, term suggested for the earliest silver coins, 45a., 111.
 Elephant cash, 105.
 Elephant, the, used as the ensign of various dynasties, 50; the emblem of the Kōnganis, 108, 116.
 Ellis, F. W., quoted or referred to, 14a., 36, 37a., 38a., 40a., 43, 46a., 48, 59a., 61, 107, 130a.
 Elliot, Sir H., Historians, referred to, 53a., 89, 123.
 Elphinstone's India, referred to, 53.
 'Elurā, inscription of Dantidurga, the Ratta king, at, 74.
 Eras, earliest Indian, 19a.
 Eratosthenes, 10a.

F.

- Fabretti's Etruscan Antiquities, 32a.
 Fanam, 49, *et seq.*; especially 146-148.
 Fergusson, James, on the native eras, 19a.; referred to, 27a., 37a.
 Feringhipet pagodas, 144, 145.
 Ferishta, testimony of, to the use of gold in the Carnatic, 53, 57 notes; referred to, 83, 93, 94 notes.
 Fish, a, the Pāṇḍyan emblem, 108, 119, 120, 125.
 Fish money, 138.
 Fleet, J. F., quoted or referred to, 27a., 39, 65, 67a., 69, 70, 71, 75, 79, 88, 91, 93a., 96, 100, 101, 112, 113, 114, 115, 135.
 Fort St. George, mint at, 144.
 Foulkes, Rev. T., referred to, 39, 41, 44, 116.
 Frederick, Caesar, quoted, 60, 93a., 94.

G.

- Gadhyānam, name of pagoda, 51.
 Gajapati kazu, 116.
 Gaji Lakshmi, the goddess, 11.
 Ganapati Dynasty of Worangal, 82-4.
 Gaoḍabhērunda, a fabulous bird, 96.
 Ganga dynasty, 112.
 Ganga-Kandarpa, 114, 115.
 Gangaikondan, 130.
 Gangaspeṇmanādi, paṇasa of, 65.
 Gangarides, 10.

Ganges, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15; Canal, 45; Sundarbans of, 45.
 Gangondaram, inscription at, 120, 130.
 Ganita Sāstram, the, 46.
 Garuda, the emblem of Amoghavarsha, 77; of the Yādavas, 79; of the Silāhāras, 103; other dynasties, 96; on Pāṇḍyan coins, 126.
 Gautami, the Great Queen, 12, 18, 24.
 Gautamiputra, 12, 13; the most conspicuous of the Andhras, 17, 18; was he the Śāka king?, 19.
 Genealogical lists:—
 Chalukyas, 73.
 Cholas, 131.
 Eastern Chalukyas, 87.
 Hoysala Ballālas, 80.
 Ikkeri Rājas, 105.
 Kadambas, 68, 69.
 Kakatiyas, 84.
 Kalachuris, 78.
 Karnata Kings, 100.
 Kongania, 117, 118.
 Pallavas, 41.
 Rattas, 76.
 Śāka Kings, 20.
 Silāhāras, 103.
 Vēma Reddīs, 102.
 Vijayanagars, three lists of, 93; Dr. Burnell's list, 94.
 Wodeyar Dynasty of Mairur, 104.
 Yādavas, 79.
 Getti fanam, 146.
 Ghāta, the, 3, 21, 38, 43, 64, 102, 108; Pāyan-ghāt and Bālā-ghāt, 3.
 Gibbs, Mr., unique gold coin of, 54, 66, 99, 133.
 Gildemeister, quoted, 46a.
 Gīrnār inscription, the, 12, 14, 17, 25, 42a.
 Gnāna Sambandha, 126.
 Godāvari river, 3, 6, 7, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 131; the two branches of the, named from two great Queens, 21.
 Gold coins, earliest examples of, 51, 63; of the Pāṇḍyans, 119.
 Gold fanams, doubtful, 137.
 Gold fanams of Mairur, 105.
 Gold fanams, remarks on, 146; pagodas of Ikkeri, 105.
 Golden bull, standard of the, 78a.
 Gonds, 1.
 Gotami, 24.
 Govinda Rājā, III., military prowess of, 72, 77.
 Govindarāja, 82.
 Grama Devi, 51a.
 Gramadevatā festival, 90a.
 Greek models of the Śāh coins, 18.
 Griffin, gryphon, grypto, a fabulous bird, 96a, 97a.
 Gujarat, 12, 14, 16a, 18, 39a, 70a, 71, 74a, 77.
 Guṇḍa of Mantrakūta, 82.
 Gundert, Dr., quoted or mentioned, 38a, 46, 48a, 51, 58, 64a, 96a, 138a, 142a, 145a.
 Gupta coinage, referred to, 110; era, 19a.
 Gurrunkonda pagodas, 145.
 Guthrie, Colonel, his collection of coins dispersed, 99.

H.

Haider 'Alī, 104, 105, 106, 136.
 Haigas, a sect of Brahmins, 64.
 Hai-haya dynasty, 11, 77.
 Halepāka, 84.
 Hall, Prof. FitzEdward, referred to, 11, 31.
 Hālī branch of the Kadamba dynasty, 65.
 Hanumān, an insignia of the Kadambas, 66; on the Vijayanagar coins, 95, 96, 98; supposed figure of, on Chōla coins, 109; on Carnatic Nawāb's dies, 144a.
 Harihara, 90a, 91, 92, 97a, 98a.
 Hari Varmā, 115, 116.
 Harpanhalli pagodas, 145.
 Harsha, king of Ujjayini, 19a; his era, 19a.
 Hawkes, Colonel, mentioned, 146.
 Heyne's Tracts on India, referred to, 50a, 60a.
 Hiouen Tsiang, mentioned, 126a.
 Hodgson, Brian H., quoted, 46a, 61a.
 Hook money, 138.
 Hoysala Ballālas, 80; coins of, 81, 82; mentioned, 116, 122, 131.
 Hultzsch, Dr. E., referred to, 99.
 Hūns, gold coins so called, 98, 106, 116, 144.
 Hunter, Dr. W. W., referred to, 15a.

I.

Ibn Batuta, referred to, 59a.
 Ibrahim 'Adil Shāh II., 94.
 Idangalī, 46a.
 Ikkeri Rājas of, 105; pagodas, 106.
 Imād-Shāhī rulers, 84.
 Indo-Scythian coins, 14, 15a.
 Iron coin of Travancore, 137.

J.

Jacob, Sir G. Le Grand, referred to, 92.
 Jagadēva, 82.
 Jagayapetta stūpa, 25.
 Jāhnavī kula, 112. See Ganga dynasty.
 Jains, the earliest cultivators of Dravidian literature, 37; evidences of their skill, 31; relics of, 40; persecution of the, 92; great slaughter of the, 126.
 Jainism, character of, 119.
 Jaitugi, 81.
 Jangama or Lingāyat sect, 78, 92, 133.
 Jaṇḍan, inscription at, 28.
 Jaya Simha, 71.
 Jayakēsi, 65, 66.
 Jervis's Weights of Konkan, referred to, 50a.
 Jones, Sir W., quoted, 2a.
 Junāgaḍh, inscription at, 28.

K.

Kādam, 46a.
 Kadamba dynasty, 44; historical notice of, 64 et seq.; genealogical lists of the, 68, 69.
 Kaira, copper-plates found at, 70-1a.

Kakatiya dynasty, 72; historical notice of, 82-84; genealogical list of the, 84; coins of the, 85.
 Kalachuri dynasty, 11; family, 72; historical notice of, 77; coins and insignia of the, 78; genealogical list of the, 78.
 Kālam, 46a.
 Kālānda, 47a.
 Kālanju, a seminal weight, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 146.
 Kales Dewar, 123.
 Kalhapa purāṇ, 72.
 Kālī fanam, 137, 138, 139.
 Kālī Vikramā, 40, 65, 88.
 Kālī-yugen rājan fanam, 137.
 Kalinga, 10.
 Kalingsapatam, 15.
 Kalingas, 14, 15.
 Kallan, Kallars, a predatory tribe, 42, 43.
 Kāmākshi, 125a.
 Kamala Mūdras. See Padma tankas, Pl. I. Figs. 7, 8.
 Kapakku Sāram, the, old treatise on arithmetic, 46.
 Kānci, 122a, 125a.
 Kāndara, king, 41.
 Kānheri (or Kēsner), the temple at, 13, 14, 20, 24.
 Kanishka, inscriptions of, 15, 16.
 Kaṇṭhīrāya Narasa Rāja, 105.
 Kaṇṭhīrāya fanams, 146; hūns, 106; pagodas, 146.
 Kānvāyanasa gōtra, 112.
 Karikal, colossal statue at, 37.
 Karkara, the last Rājā king, 72.
 Kārī, the temple at, 12, 14, 22, 26.
 Kārṇa, a dynastic title, meaning of the word, etc., 21.
 Karūr, inscription at, 122a.
 Kāṇ, the smallest copper coin, 59. See Cash.
 Kayal, port of, 124; king of, 125.
 Keladi Rājas of, 105a.
 Kennedy, Colonel Vans, opinion on the Purāṇas, 9a.
 Kerāja, 3a, 4, 62, 63, 65, 141, 146, 147; political divisions of, 61; dynasty of, 107, 108, 111, 136, 137.
 Kerāja Ulpattī, the, 61.
 Kerikala Chōla, 81.
 Kern, Professor, referred to, 11.
 Kesava, an author, 37a.
 Khagārātha, the race of, 16.
 Khārāveḷa, king of Kalinga, 29.
 Khārapātan plates, 103.
 Khonds, 1a.
 Kirtivarmā Chalukya I., inscription of, 65, 72a; referred to, 86.
 Kirtivarmā Chalukya II., 71.
 Kittel, Mr., referred to, 115.
 Kittos, Captain M., referred to, 29a.
 Klings, 135.
 Ko Parakēsari Varmā, 42.
 Kodagu (Coorg), inscriptions from, 115.
 Kōlar gold mines, 38.
 Kolatnād, 137. See Cheralal.
 Kolatturi, 62, 63, 137a.
 Kolhapur grants, 103.
 Kōlkōi or Kōrkōi, 119.
 Kōls, 14a.
 Kondaviḍ, Vēma Reddīs of, 101.

Koṅṅani dynasty, 101; K. Mahārāja; K. Varmā, K. Vṛiddharāja, 112-116.
 Koṅṅu dynasty, historical notice of, 111 *et seq.*; dates of the, 115; their coinage, 116; genealogical lists of the, 117.
 Koṅṅu-dēsa Charitra (or Rājaka), the, 111, 114a, 116.
 Konkana, the, 3a.
 Kottam, a district, 36.
 Kṛṣṇa river, 6, 7, 14, 15, 18, 21a, 22, 23, 39, 74, 101, 142a, 143; K. Sātavāhana, 12; K. Ratta king, 72a; K. of Vijayanagar, 93, 100; supposed coins of, 96, 97, 98, 100; mentioned, 101, 143; K. Muralidhara, 133; K. Rāja Wodeyar, 104; his coin, 106.
 Kōshartha, 14.
 Kshatrapa Nahapāna, 12, 14.
 Kūḥja Vishnu Vardhana, 39, 86.
 Kūḥja Vishnuvardhana I., 71.
 Kudiṅṇa, Polygar of, 146a.
 Kulasekhara, the Pandyan king, 109, 121; inscriptions of, 122, 123; seal of (illustrated), 123.
 Kulottunga Chola, 88, 133.
 Kōṇa Pandya, 121, 126.
 Kunn-ala-kōṇṇiri, 62.
 Kuntala, 2, 4, 6, 15, 16, 17, 21, 42, 64, 71, 74, 75, 81, 102, 104; dynasties and coins of, 64 *et seq.*
 Kuntala Swāti Karna, 16.
 Kuppēḍir inscription, the, 95, 96, 100.
 Kuruba dynasty, 89, 90, 92; list of the, 93.
 Kuruki pagoda, 144, 145.
 Kurumba-bhūm, 3a.
 Kurumbar communities, 14a; halberd (illustrated), 31; historical notice of the, 36; proofs of their former high state of civilization, 37; their present degraded condition, 89.
 "Kutīla" alphabet, 132a.

L.

Lakmēshwar inscription, the, 114, 115, 116, 121.
 Lakṣmi fanam, 146; māda, 144, 146.
 Lalitāditya, the Kashmirian king, 72.
 Lane, W., Arabian Nights, referred to, 97a.
 Lankāpura-danda-nātha, 122.
 Lankeswara (Lankaswara), 109, 110.
 Lārī, larī, 138.
 Lassen, C., quoted, 12a; referred to, 74a, 112a.
 Lātī alphabet of Asoka, 28.
 Lead, the basis of the Andhra coinage, 22.
 Lead coins of Travancore, 137.
 Leyden, Oriental Congress at, 124.
 Līlāvati, the standard Sanscrit work on mathematics, 46.
 Lingāyats, 44, 78.
 Lion, the symbol of the Andhras, 22, 23; of the Kadamba kings, 60, 66; of the Hoysalas, 81, 82; adopted in Maisur, 106; on fanams, 146.

M.

Maccocalingae, name in Pliny, 10.
 Mackenzie, Colonel, referred to, 19a, 39, 45a, 71, 92, 107, 111; Collection of MSS., referred to, 36a, 39a, 85, 92a, 101a, 133.
 Madacalam seal, described, 124; illustrated, 127.
 Mādattinkil, or Mādattal, 62.
 Mādāva, the celebrated minister of Bukkarāja, 91, 92, 95.
 Madhwaśhārya, 92.
 Madura chronicles, 120a; Sangam or College, 120; the goddess of, 121; the kingdom of, 120.
 Magas, or Heliclaters, 31a.
 Mahābhārata, the, quoted, 9a; referred to, 89.
 Mahādēva, brother of Rudra of Worangal, 83.
 Maha Hākuri, 13.
 Mahākshatrapa Rudra, 24.
 Mahāmāndaleśvara, its import as a title, 90a. See also 65, 77, 80, 82, 103.
 Mahasir, a fish, 126a.
 Mahawansa, the, referred to, 109a, 122a, 130a.
 Mahmūd of Ghazni, 90.
 Mahrattas, origin of the modern, 74.
 Maisur, Wodeyar dynasty of, 104.
 Malabar, coinage of, 138.
 Malakārāṣṭra, 137a.
 Malaikuram or Kumbhakomam, 130.
 Malas, 15a.
 Malaya dhwaja, same as Malaya ketu, coin of, 125, 126.
 Maldive Islands, currency of the, 138.
 Malik Kāfur, 57, 83, 84, 123.
 Mallohalli copper grant, the, 113.
 Mallogi Yādava, 79.
 Manār Barmūl, 123.
 Mangaligiri, unpublished inscription at, 82.
 Mangalīśa inscription, the, 63, 67.
 Mangalore fanam, 147.
 Mānikka-viśagar, 126a, 127.
 Manjāḍi, a seminal weight, 47, 48, 49, 62a.
 Manson, Mr., murdered, 42a.
 Mann, the Institute of, referred to, 45.
 Mānyakhēta, or Mākhēd, 74.
 Marasimhadēva, 114, 115, 116.
 Maravan, Maravars, a predatory tribe, 43.
 Marco Polo, referred to or quoted, 83a, 96a, 124a, 126a.
 Marsden's Numismata, 56; referred to, 147.
 Matcer, Rev. S., referred to, 137.
 Maund, diversity of the, 57a.
 Mauryan dynasty, 16.
 Māvalikara, Treaty of, 136.
 Mayūra Varmā, 64.
 Medicott's Geology, referred to, 81.
 Megasthenes, ambassador to Chandragupta, 9; his statements impeached, and defended, 10.
 Mēṅkāvāḡara, 44.
 Merkars copper plate, the, 112.
 Metrical system, origin of, 45 *et seq.*
 Minākṣī — Minātchi — Minavan, 121, 125.
 Modu-Galingas, name in Pliny, 111.

Monetary scale of Andhra coinage, 23; system of India, of indigenous origin, 60.
 Moor's Hindu Pantheon, referred to, 70, 96a, 119.
 Mrigēśavarmā Kadamba, 42, 69.
 Muhammad 'Alī, 144. See Walajāh.
 Muhammad bin Tughlak Shāh, 152.
 Muller, Dr. Ludwig, 32a.
 Muller, Prof. Max, quoted, 2a, 27a.
 Munro, Sir T., referred to, 98, 103, 143.
 Murtura Husain Nizam Shāh, 94.
 Mushkara, of the Koṅṅu dynasty, 118.
 Muttili [Metapilli], Queen of, 83a.
 Mutta Krishnappa Nayak of Madura, 134a.

N.

Nadus, divisions of Tondamandalam, 37, 38a, 62.
 Naga Kānda, name of an Andhra, 13.
 Naguladēvi, wife of a Vijayanagar prince, 93a.
 Nagamangala copper-plate, the, 117, 113.
 Nahapāna, a Satrap of Gujārāt, 14, 18, 19a, 25; coins of, 26.
 Nairs, a tribe of Malabar, 46a, 61a.
 Nambōris, Malabar Brāhman, 61.
 Nānaghāt, the sculptures at, 13.
 Nanda, king of Magadha, 29a.
 Nandi, recumbent sacred bull, 85, 102, 134.
 Nandi Varmā Pallavamāla, 40; Pota Varmā, 41.
 Nāṅṅ Pūḷai, Dēwan of Travancore, information received from, 136.
 Narapati dynasty, 90a.
 Narasimha Ballāja, 81, 116, 122, 131.
 Nara singa Rāja of Vijayanagar, 92; coin of, 95; his dynasty, list of, 93.
 Nāṣik cave temple, inscriptions, 7, 12, 14, 17, 18, 19a, 20, 26, 59; constructors of, 18.
 Native scales and weights, 48a, 49a.
 Nava Choja Charitra, the, Tamil MS., 133.
 Nawāb Dāwad Khān, 144.
 Negapatam, ancient edifice at, 126; pagoda, 145.
 Nelson's, J. H., "Madura," 43a, 121a, 123a, 126a, 134a.
 Nepāl, Rājās of, 89.
 Newars, 46a. See Nair.
 Newton, Mr. Justice, quoted, 12a, 19a.
 Nilakantha, author of the Kapakku Sarana, 46a.
 Nirguṇḍ, Rājā of, 42.
 Nishkaa, gold coins, 61.
 Niyogi Brahmana, 83.
 Northern die-coins, 7.
 Noubat, the, an honorary distinction, 78a, 103.

O.

Oldenberg, Dr., referred to, 10a.
 Orthours, site of, 130.

P

- Padmanābha, Travancore deity, 139.
 Padma-tankas, gold coins, 54, 55, 66, 99.
 Pagoda, European origin of the term, 41a.
 Pahlava, 42a.
 Pahnarao, 42a.
 Pāla dynasty, 89.
 Pallavarayanpetta, 42.
 Pallavas, 17, 21; geographical and historical notice of the, 39; genealogical list of the, 41; grants of the, 44.
 Pallavādhirāja, 42, 113; Pallavarāja, 42.
 Palla, a caste, 42.
 Pāna, 47.
 Pancha mahā sabdā, 78a, 103. *See* Noubat.
 Panchalar caste, the, 38.
 Panchum tribe, 40, 41.
 Panditarādhyā, 133.
 Pāṇdu kṛtja, or kistvaens, 45, 137a.
 Pāṇḍyan dynasty, 107; historical notice of the, 119 *et seq.*; coins of the, 119; discrepancies in the genealogical lists, 121; difficulties of their later chronology, 123; further notes on their coinage, 125; dynastic lists of the, 128, 129.
 Pāṇḍya Narendra Varma, etc., legend on a seal, 124.
 Parākrama Bāhu the Great, 109, 110, 122.
 Parau Rāma, 61, 137a.
 Parīhāras, the, one of the Agni kula, 70a.
 Parthad Andhari, 15.
 Parthalis, name in Pliny, 10.
 Parvati, figure of, on coins, 97, 105, 143.
 Pearse, Gen., referred to, vi, 22; coins belonging to, described by E. Thomas, 31-32; Hoysala coin belonging to, described, 81; inscriptions of Kulasekhara described by, 124; gold Pāṇḍyan coins described by, 125; Chola coins belonging to, 132, 134, 146.
 Penakonda, second capital of Vijayanagar, 38a, 93, 95, 98.
 Permādi, first of the Kalachuris, 77.
 Permāl, Perumālā, or Prolaya Reddi, first of the Worangal family, 101.
 Peutingirian Tables, quoted, 10, 11a.
 Phayre, Sir Arthur, referred to, 134.
 P'hūliḥūn, flowery pagoda, 55.
 Pirates of the west coast, 51.
 Pliny the Elder, referred to, 9; quoted, 22.
 Plough tanam, 138, 146.
 Polyandry, 46.
 Polygamy in Rājput families, 17.
 Polygars, 44, 93, 146a.
 Pon, the original name of the earliest gold coins, 63.
 Porto Novo pagoda, 143, 144, 145.
 Powell, Principal E. B., on the origin of the Vishnu Purāṇa, 9a.
 Prāmāras, the, one of the Agni kula, 70a.
 Prāṭha Rōdra, history of, 83-5.
 Prastī, Eastern, 1a.
 Predatory tribes in India, names of, 1a, 5a, 15a, 42, 43a, 61, 105.
 Prinsep, James, quoted, 2a, 12a, 13, 16a, 29a, 45a, 50a, 55, 60a, 108a, 109, 134a.
 Prithvi Kōngani, 112.
 Prōla, founder of the Kākatiyas, 82.
 Ptolemy, his geography, 10, 51a, 64, 74a, 89, 111, 112a, 130.
 Padamenu, coin, 145.
 Padumavi, inscription of, 12; coin of, 33.
 Pulikēsa, 39, 70, 71.
 Pulikēsa II., 71, 86.
 Pulindas, 11.
 Pulomat, the last of the Satavāhanas, 18, 25.
 Punch-coins, 45a.
 Pural, its bronze gates transported to Tanjore, 37.
 Purāna, name of the earliest silver currency, 45.
 Purāṇas, the sacred books, 7. Andhra dynasty according to the, 8; opinions on the, 9a.
 Purchas his Pilgrimes, quoted, 94.
 Purisadatta, name in Jaggaṇyapetta stūpa, 25.
 Putasa Savaira, coin of, 33.
 Puttana, Cochin new coins, 141, 142.

R

- Rāchā Kōṇu Chola, coin of, 132.
 Raj Wodeyar of Mysore, 104.
 Rāja Atti Varmā Pallava, 41.
 Rāja Rāhādūr of Pudukotah, 43.
 Rāja Malla, grant of, 114, 115.
 Rāja Narendra, Chalukya, 8a.
 Rāja Rāja Chola, 86, 88; coins of, 120, 133, 134.
 Rāja Virasena Abhira, 14.
 Rājamahendri kingdom, 88.
 Rājatarangini, the, 72.
 Rājendra Chola, 41a, 42, 86a, 120, 121; coin of, 132.
 Rāma Rāja of Vijayanagar, 93, 95, 98, 138.
 Ramanuja-charya, 81, 126; coin of, 82; mentioned, 92.
 Rāma-tankas, 55, 99.
 Ramayana, the, referred to, 89.
 Ramnād, coins of, 134.
 Ramuses, a predatory tribe, 44.
 Ranarāja Chalukya, 77.
 Ranga II., grant of, 100.
 Rashid-ud-din, referred to, 123a.
 Rāshtrakūṭa dynasty, 74; probably the same as the Rattas, 75.
 Rasi, an old Hindu coin, 137, 138, 147.
 Rati, the, seminal weight, 48 *et seq.*
 Rati val, 138.
 Rattas, 21; rise of the, 72; geographical and historical notice of the, 74-5; inscriptions of the, 74; genealogical list of the, 77; their coins, 77.
 Rattihalli, group of statuary at, 80.
 Ravenshaw, E. C., referred to in As. Res., 91, 93a, 98a.
 Ravi Varmā Pallava, 42.
 Reddis of Eastern Telingana, 74.
 Regād, black soil, 21a.
 Rheede's Hortus Malabaricus, quoted, 47a, 48a, 64a.
 Revatidvipa, conquest of, 67a.
 Rice, Mr., mentioned, 42a, 43a, 64a, 100a, 113, 114, 116a.
 Ridi, 138. *See* Hook or Fish money.
 Roc, the fabulous bird, 97a.

- Roman coins found on the Coromandel coast, 35.
 Rost, Dr., referred to, 97a.
 Rottler's Dictionary, referred to, 43a, 48a, 49a.
 Rudra Dāman of Gujarāt, 12, 14, 17, 18, 24, 28, 42a.
 Rōdra, son of Prōla of Worangal, 82.
 Rōdrana Devi, widow of Ganapati, of Worangal, 83.
 Rupees, first introduction of, 58; when first coined at Fort St. George, 145.

S

- S'asut Ullā Khān, Nawāb of Carnatic, 144.
 Sādāsiva, 93; Caesar Frederick's account of, 94; grant of, 29, 100.
 Saifdar 'Alī Khān, Nawāb of Carnatic, 144.
 Sāh dynasty of Surashtra, 12, 26, 77.
 Sair, 138. *See* Fish money.
 Sāiva doctrines, 92, 126, 133.
 Sāka era, the founder of the, 19a; meaning of, 19a.
 Sakas, Seythians, 17.
 Sala, the legend of, 80. *See* Hoysala.
 Salāka, or punch, 45a.
 Sālankāyana race or kula of Pallavas, 40.
 Sālāva dynasty, 43a, 98a.
 Sālāvāhan or Satavāhan, 19. *See* Era.
 Sālānkupam, 43.
 Sāmāntas, nobles of Kōrāja, 62, 89.
 Samara Kolāhala, coins of, 126.
 Sāmudri, 62.
 Samvat Sāka, 19a.
 Sanchi Tope, 12, 29.
 Sandracottus or Sandracoptus, 7.
 Sangama, ancestor of the Vijayanagar dynasty, 90.
 Sangameswara, find of coins at, 138.
 Sankarāchārya, the doctrines of, 91, 92, 126.
 Sankha, the, on Chalukya coins, 70, and Plate I. Fig. 19; on Pāṇḍyan coins, 126; the Travancore emblem, 137-9; Cochin, 141-2.
 Santala, 1, 90a.
 Saptakonkana, 3a.
 Saptam Vishnuvardhana, 131.
 Sarchariya, or Subhamiya, 20a.
 Satakūpi, 12, 14, 19.
 Satavāhana branch established, 18.
 Satyāśraya, surname of Pulikēsa II., Chalukya, 71.
 Satyavākya Kōṅgani Varmā, 114, 115.
 Saundatti inscriptions, 76.
 Sāvāra, a hill tribe, 1a.
 Sayaga, said to be the "brother" (?) of Mādava, 91.
 Seattie, English coin on which is the sun symbol, 23a.
 Schliemann, Dr., referred to, 32a.
 Schwanbach, Dr., editor of the fragments of Megasthenes, 9.
 Scot pagodas, 145.
 Seythian hurdles in Central Asia, 15; their power established in Magadha, 16.
 Seleucidan era, 26.
 Seleucus Nicator, 7, 9.
 Sequins, 59, 137a.

Séraks, or Jains, 28.
 Seringapatam, Treaty of, 136.
 Setupati, coins of, 134.
 Seven Pagodas, 43.
 Sewell, Robert, coins belonging to, described by Edward Thomas, 33, 34; referred to, 83a, 97a, 125.
 Shāhbāgarhi, the tablet at, 11.
 Shāhi pass, 60.
 Shāshir kash, 63, 137a.
 Shāngunī Menon's History of Travancore, referred to, 136a, 137a.
 Shepherd dynasty, 89.
 Silāhāras, notice of the, 102; genealogical lists of the, 103.
 Silver Andhra coins, described, 24; edding, 57; fanams, 137; the earliest known metallic currency, 45.
 Silverlings, 45a.
 Sūha Vishnu, early Pallava prince, 41a.
 Simurgh, the fabulous bird, 97a.
 Sindbad the Sailor, 97a.
 Singhalese chronology, 120; coins, 109, 110.
 Singhana Yādava Kalachuri of Kalyān, grant of, 78.
 Singhana Yādava of Dēvagiri, 79.
 Siva and Parvati, figures of, on coins, 143.
 S'iva, in Kadamba titles, epithets of, 64; the emblem of the Rattas (illustrated), 77.
 Sivachitta, name on Kadamba coins, 66.
 Sivaganga, coins of, 134.
 Skanda Varmā Pallava, 41a.
 Skandapūra, site of, unknown, 112a.
 Sleeman, Colonel, referred to, 10a.
 Smārta matha sect, 91.
 Solleas, 109, 120, 130.
 Somesvara Ballala, 116; seal of (illustrated), 81.
 Somesvara Chalukya I., 86, 88, 121; and IV., 78.
 Sondar Bandi Darar, 124.
 South-western coins, described, 61 *et seq.*
 Sovi Murāri Rāja Dēva, of Kalyān, 78.
 Srāvaks, 38. *See* Séraks.
 Srāvana Bālagole, colossal figure at, 37a.
 Sravanore pagodas, 145.
 Sri Pudumayī Vādisthiputra, 12.
 Sri Rājendra, coin of, 132.
 Sri Vāishnava sect, 92, 144, 146.
 Sri Vijaya Rāja Sarva Chalukya, grant of, 71.
 Sri Yajna Gantamiputra, 12.
 Stacy, Colonel, his collection of coins, 56.
 Star pagoda, 143, 144, 145.
 Steelyard, 49a.
 Stevenson, Dr., referred to, 13, 37a.
 Sthala Mahatmya, the, or local purāṇa, 127.
 Strabo, 10a.
 Stūpas, constructed by the Andhras, 21.
 Subramanya, 146.
 Sudarsana lake, embankment of the, 28.
 Sultāni hōn, 106.
 Sultānpūr, find of silver coins at, 55.
 Sultānpur mint, 84a.
 Sun worship of India, 23a.
 Sundara Pāṇḍya, 121, 123, 126.
 Sundara Pāṇḍya-Chola, 122.
 Suvarnas, gold coins so called, 51.

Swastika, sacred emblem, 33, 66; identified with the Triglyph, 32a.
 Syhartes, Satrap of Arachosia, 10a.
 Symbols on early silver coins, 50.

T.

Ta Indikā, work on India of Megasthenes, 9.
 Tagara, 13, 102.
 Taila III., 72, 77, 78, 82.
 Tailapa Dēva, 52; Tailapa II., 68; inscriptions of 72; Tailapa, 134.
 Talikota, battle of, 93.
 Talkād, 4, 61, 80, 82, 112, 115, 116a, 118a.
 Tamil proverb, 43a.
 Tāmūturi, title of the Zamorin, 62.
 Tanjāvūr (Tanjore), capital of the Cholas, 130; copper plate, 112; other mention, 5a, 21a, 37, 42, 51, 81, 88, 93, 103a, 113, 122a, 126, 131, 133, 140.
 Tāram, 138.
 Tārē, a small silver coin, 57, 138, 147.
 Tavernier, the traveller, quoted, 53, 55, 56.
 Taylor, Rev. W., referred to, 19a, 36a, 75a, 83a, 107, 112, 127.
 Terumalai, 127.
 Thirupparankunran, inscription at, 122a.
 Thomas, Edward, C.I.E., F.R.S., etc., quoted, 2a, 12a, 23a; describes the Andhra coins bearing Nāgari legends, 25-34; his article on the Indian Swastika, 32a; remarks on the legend *Kāṭampa loka*, 44a; referred to, 49, 60a; his Pāthān Kings alluded to, 63a, 84a; his notes on and transliterations of Vijayanagar coins, 96, 97a, 98a; his remarks on Chōlacoins, 132a, 134a.
 Tiger, the, an emblem of the Yādavas, 89; illustration of, 81; the emblem of the Cholas, 108, 120.
 Timma, 93a; Timraj of Vijayanagar, 92a.
 Tinnevely weights, table of, 52a.
 Tipu (Tippoo) Sultān, 50, 93, 104, 165, 106, 136.
 Tira (for Vira?) Pandi, 123.
 Tirumala, Trimala, brother of Rāma Rāja of Vijayanagar, 98.
 Tirumala Nāyak of Madura, 131a.
 Tiruppavānam, inscription at, 124.
 Tiruvamar, mint at, 144.
 Tod, Colonel, referred to, 70a.
 Tondemandalam, 4, 14a, 37, 40a, 89, 108.
 Tondainādu, 38, 111.
 Travancore, historical notice of, 136; coinage of, 137; list of sovereigns of, 140.
 Tribhuvana Chakravarti, a name of Vira Dēva Chōla, 8a; inscription of, 125.
 Tribhuvanamalla, a title assumed by various dynasties, 77, 82.
 Triglypton regia, 10.
 Tri-Kalinga, the Lords of, 11.
 Trilingum, 10.
 Trilochana Pallava, 42.
 Tughlak Shāh, his southern conquests, 84.
 Tuluva Vejjālar, 43.

Tuluva, princes of, 93a.
 Turanian colonies, 14a; law of inheritance, 17, 43.
 Turnour's Mahawanso, referred to, 109a, 122a, 130a.
 Tushapa, in Junāgadh inscription, 28.
 Tuttu (T dudu), a Travancore coin, 139.

U.

Udaya, 82.
 Udaya Malla, 134.
 Udayachandra, 40a, 42.
 Udayagiri, the Aira inscription at 29.
 Ujjain symbol on Andhra coins, 23.
 Ujjāitū, the Tartar king, 123a.
 Uma Maheswara pagodas, 146.
 Umayamma Rani of Travancore, 136.
 'Umdat-ul-Mulk, 144.
 Uraiyyar (Warriors), 139.
 Ushavadata, 14.
 Ushavadiatha, constructs a cave temple at Nasik, 18.
 Uttam Chōla, 132, 133.

V.

Vada Sri, 20a. *See* Chandra Sri.
 Vadagas, title of Northern people, 22.
 Vāishnavism of the Rattas, 77.
 Vāishnava emblem, garuda, 96; triple Nāma, 146; hūns, 145; fanam, 146.
 Vāishnava sect, 126, 144a.
 Vaivasvata, 2a.
 Varagan, general name of the gold pagoda coin, 51.
 Vasavadatta, 59.
 Vasishtha, 12; Vāśishthiputra, 12; Vāśishthaputra Sātākarni, 24; Vāśishthi, 20.
 Vaudeva, 26.
 Vedisiri, or Vedasiri, in the Nānaghat, inscription, 13.
 Vekukadaduta family, of Banawadi inscription, 25.
 Vejjālar, 42, 43, 75a.
 Velli fanams, 137, 139.
 Vejjikol, 49a.
 Vēma Reddi, 101.
 Vēnādu, ancient name of Travancore, 136.
 Venetian ducat, 59; sequins, 137a.
 Vengi, the kingdom of, 2, 4, 21, 39, 40, 71, 82, 88, 131, 134; dēsam or country, 86; puram or city, 39.
 Venkata Rāo, Dewān of Travancore, 138.
 Venkatasiri, Rāja of Vijayanagar, 95.
 Venkataspati hūns, 98.
 Venkatgiri, Zemindārs of, 74.
 Vera inscription, 29. *See* Aira.
 Vidyadhara Jimutavāhana kula, 103. *See* Silāhāras.
 Vidyaranya, name of Mādharma, 91.
 Vijaya Bāhu, 109, 110.
 Vijaya Nandi Varmā Pallava, 41.
 Vijaya Varmā Pallava, 41.
 Vijaya Wodeiyar, 104.
 Vijayāditya Chalukya, 86.

Vijayanagar kingdom, general account of the, 88-109; rise of the dynasty of, 90; administrative reforms in the, 92; lists of sovereigns of, 93, 94; break-up of the, 93; coinage of the, 95; Mr. Thomas's notes on the coinage of the, 96a, 97a; signet ring (?), 144a; foundation of the city of, 91; notices of, 93.
 Vikrama Chola, 133.
 Vikramāditya Chalukya, 114, 131a; Vikramāditya VI., 88; Vikramāditya era, 19a, 27a; Vikramāditya, Prince, 19a.
 Vikramanka Charitra, the, 88.
 Vil kṣaṇ, 137a.
 Vinayāditya Chalukya, 86.
 Vinayāditya Chalukya, 80.
 Vincenzo Maria, 139.
 Vindhya-kuta, a title of Agastya, 1a.
 Vira Ballāla, 80.
 Vira Déva Chola, sāsanam of, 88.
 Vira Narasimha of Vijayanagar, 92; Vira Narasimha, Hoysala 131.
 Vira Pāṇḍya, 122; coin of, 126; Vira Pāṇḍu, 109.
 Vira Saiva sect, 78. *See Jangama and Lingayat.*
 Vira Soma, seal of (illustrated), 81; referred to, 131. *See Someswara Ballāla.*
 Virabhadra of Woragal, 84; Vira of Kondavid, 101.
 Vir-rāya fanams, 138, 146, 146, 147.
 Virupaksha, inscriptions of, 93a.
 Visalakshi, name of Minākshi Dévi, 128a.
 Vishnu Bhūpāla of Hoysala family, 116a.
 Vishnu, emblems of, on Pāṇḍyan coins, 126; on Chola, 133, 142a; on hūns of Durga, 143.

Vishnu Gopa of the Kongu dynasty, 114.
 Vishnu Gōpa Varmā Pallava, 50.
 Vishnu Purāna, various opinions on the, 9a; referred to, 89.
 Vishnuchitta Kadamba, grant of, 51a.
 Vishnavardhana Chalukya, seal of, 78a, 116.
 Vishnuvardhana, grandson of Vinayāditya, 80, 81, 82.
 Vishnuvardhana Hoysala, 115, 126.
 Vischer, J. Canter, Letters, 137a, 142.
 Viswakarmā, 113.

W.

Walajāh Nawāb-ul-Hind, 144.
 Walajāhi pagodas, 144.
 Walhouse, M. J., *Archaeological Notes*, 137a.
 Wathen, Mr., quoted, 67a.
 Weber, Dr., quoted, 2a, 46a.
 Weights, tables of, 47, 48a, 49a, 52a.
 Western Chalukya dynasty, historical survey of, 70 *et seq.* *See Chalukya.*
 Wheeler, J. Talboys, quoted, 145a.
 Wilcox, Lieut., referred to, 10a.
 Wilford, Colonel, quoted, 8; his opinion of the Purānas, 9a; quoted or referred to, 11a, 19a, 29a, 67, 77.
 Wilkinson's Ancient Egyptians, referred to, 49a.
 Wilks's Mysore, referred to, 93a, 104a, 136a.
 Wilson, Dr. John, mentioned, 138.
 Wilson, Prof. H. H., quoted, 1a; his opinion of the Purānas, 9a; quoted or referred to, 10a, 11a, 12a, 16a, 22, 39a, 45a, 48a, 60a, 67, 71, 83, 85, 89, 90a, 91, 92, 95, 96a, 97a, 101, 107, 111, 119, 121, 130, 131a.

Winter, Sir George, mentioned, 144.
 Wodeiyar, a title, 90a; dynasty, historical notice of the, 101; genealogical list of the, 104; coins of the, 106.
 Wolf-children of Oudh, 10a.
 Woragal, Ganapati dynasty, 82; conquered by the Muhammadans, 83; fall of the, 101.

Y.

Yādavas of Dévagiri, 72; historical notice and genealogical list of the, 79.
 Yādavas of Dvārasamudra, geographical and historical notice of the, 80-2; mentioned, 115.
 Yālu, the ancestor of the Rattas, 74; of the two Yādava dynasties, 79, 80; of the Vijayanagar dynasty, 88, 90; and of Maisur, 90.
 Yagamma or Yagāttāl, the goddess of Madras, 51a.
 Yajna Satakarni, coin of, 24.
 Yajñari, coins of, 20.
 Yana Sataka, coin of, 34.
 Yarakula caste, 114.
 Yāvanas, 17.
 Yuddha Mallā, 134a.
 Yuddhishtira era, 19a.
 Yule, Colonel, referred to, 83a, 123a.
 Yusuf 'Adil Shāh's adoption of Hindu coins, 56.

Z.

Zamorin, the, 62, 136, 137, 141, 147.
See Samudra.




Photo Plates made by Shri Annapurna Block Works, Varanasi.

&

Printed at Manohar Press, Varanasi.

CATALOGUED.



Cal
N 303.74.

CATALOGUED.

Central Archaeological Library,
NEW DELHI.

48573

Call No. 737.470934/E.N.O/ELL

Author— Elliot, Walter

Title— Coins of Southern

"A book that is shut is but a block"

CENTRAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL LIBRARY
GOVT. OF INDIA
Department of Archaeology
NEW DELHI.

Please help us to keep the book
clean and moving.